Vestibular and balance dysfunction in children with congenital CMV: a systematic review

Annalie Shears 1,2, Georgina Yan 3,4, Harriet Mortimer 4, Elizabeth Cross,5,6 Shari Sapuan,7 Seilesh Kadambari 8,9, Suzanne Luck,10 Paul T Heath 10, Simone Walter,11 Katy J Fidler2,6

ABSTRACT
Objective This systematic review evaluates vestibular and balance dysfunction in children with congenital cytomegalovirus (cCMV), makes recommendations for clinical practice and informs future research priorities.

Design MEDLINE, Embase, EMCARE, BMJ Best Practice, Cochrane Library, DynaMed Plus and UpToDate were searched from inception to 20 March 2021 and graded according to Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) criteria.

Patients Children with cCMV diagnosed within 3 weeks of life from either blood, saliva and/or urine (using either PCR or culture).

Intervention Studies of vestibular function and/or balance assessments.

Main outcome measures Vestibular function and balance.

Results 1371 studies were identified, and subsequently 16 observational studies were eligible for analysis, leading to an overall cohort of 600 children with cCMV. All studies were of low/moderate quality. In 12/16 studies, vestibular function tests were performed. 10/12 reported vestibular dysfunction in ≥40% of children with cCMV. Three studies compared outcomes for children with symptomatic or asymptomatic cCMV at birth; vestibular dysfunction was more frequently reported in children with symptomatic (22%–60%), than asymptomatic cCMV (0%–12.5%). Two studies found that vestibular function deteriorated over time: one in children (mean age 7.2 months) over 10 months and the other (mean age 34.7 months) over 26 months.

Conclusions Vestibular dysfunction is found in children with symptomatic and asymptomatic cCMV and in those with and without hearing loss. Audiovestibular assessments should be performed as part of neurodevelopmental follow-up in children with cCMV. Case-controlled longitudinal studies are required to more precisely characterise vestibular dysfunction and help determine the efficacy of early supportive interventions.

PROSPERO registration CRD42019131656.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
⇒ Congenital cytomegalovirus (cCMV) is a leading cause of sensorineural hearing loss and developmental delay worldwide.
⇒ The majority of babies with cCMV are asymptomatic at birth.
⇒ Vestibular dysfunction is common in children with cCMV-related sensorineural hearing loss and may adversely affect balance and quality of life.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
⇒ Vestibular dysfunction can occur in children with asymptomatic cCMV and in children with normal hearing.
⇒ Vestibular dysfunction can be progressive.
⇒ It is important to follow-up infants with cCMV iduring early childhood, assess for hypotonia, head lag, gross motor delay and imbalance.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE AND/OR POLICY
⇒ Clinicians should have a low threshold for referral to a paediatric audiovestibular clinic and to paediatric vestibular physiotherapy if there are signs/symptoms of vestibular dysfunction.
⇒ Consider testing for cCMV (via stored dried blood spot) in children who present with vestibular dysfunction, balance problems and/or gross developmental delay.
⇒ Vestibular function and balance assessments should be considered when investigating the benefits of universal neonatal screening and antiviral treatment.

INTRODUCTION
Congenital cytomegalovirus (cCMV) is the most common non-genetic cause of sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) worldwide and affects 0.3%–0.7% of live-born neonates, with higher rates seen in low-income and middle-income countries.1–3 Clinical signs include microcephaly, being small for gestational age, widespread petechiae, jaundice, hepatosplenomegaly and chorioretinitis.4 Infants with signs of cCMV at birth are termed ‘symptomatic’; however, up to 90% of infected neonates have no signs of cCMV and are termed ‘asymptomatic’ at birth. The most common sequel of cCMV is SNHL, which may be present at birth or occur later in childhood. SNHL is found in 40%–58% of symptomatic and 12% of asymptomatic infants.2

Vestibular dysfunction can coexist with SNHL, depending on the cause, and is associated with delayed gross motor development, hypotonia, poor balance and impaired spatial awareness. Normal balance is maintained using inputs from
the inner ears (vestibular organs), eyes (vision), and muscles and joints (proprioception). Balance disorders due to vestibular impairment can be difficult to diagnose, as causes may be multifactorial, and the brain can compensate for vestibular deficits. Young children may not be able to describe symptoms such as vertigo or unsteadiness.

Vestibular function can be assessed via a variety of quantitative tests including cervical vestibular myogenic evoked potentials (cVEMPs), the caloric test and video head impulse testing (vHIT). A glossary of assessments is detailed in online supplemental appendix A. Each test measures slightly different elements, so a battery of tests is required to comprehensively assess the vestibular system. The peripheral vestibular system is delineated in online supplemental appendix B. Some tests can be used in infancy (cVEMPs), whereas others require cooperation (vHIT) or may be poorly tolerated (caloric testing). Abnormal vestibular function has been described through interchangeable terms such as hypofunction, impairment and dysfunction. In this review, abnormal vestibular function is referred to as dysfunction. Vestibular dysfunction can be reported per affected patient or per affected ear of each patient. Balance function tests include Movement Assessment Battery for Children (online supplemental appendix A).

Pathophysiology studies have shown cells with cytomegalic inclusion bodies indicative of infection with CMV in the inner ear of infected infants, including the vestibular system. Two studies have reported that vestibular dysfunction is more common than SNHL in children with cCMV. Zagólski found that in the ears of 26 infants with cCMV, 15.4% had SNHL and 30.8% had vestibular dysfunction. Pinninti found that in 40 children with asymptomatic cCMV, 17.5% had SNHL and 44.75% had vestibular dysfunction. However, routine vestibular assessment is currently not part of the recommended follow-up of infants with cCMV in the UK. A recent survey of paediatricians and audiovestibular physicians identified several barriers around vestibular assessment of children with cCMV in the UK, such as lack of time in clinic and insufficient training. Vestibular dysfunction is therefore likely to be underdiagnosed in this population, even though vestibular physiotherapy exercises may improve motor outcomes for affected children.

The objective of this systematic review was to collate evidence, characterise the nature of vestibular dysfunction and balance disorders in cCMV and inform clinical management and future research priorities.

**METHODS**

Search strategy

We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (checklist in appendix D). We searched MEDLINE, Embase, EMCARE, BMJ Best Practice, Cochrane Library, DynaMed Plus and UpToDate from database creation to 20 March 2021. Search terms described the population (infant/child/adolescent), disease (congenital CMV/cytomegalovirus) and outcome (audiovestibular/vestibular/balance). Synonyms for hearing loss (deafness/hearing impairment/sensorineural/cochlear) were included to identify studies that focused on SNHL but also described vestibular outcomes. Dizziness, vertigo and spatial awareness were synonyms used for vestibular. Only articles in English were included.
Table 1 Characteristics and findings of the eligible studies that performed vestibular-specific assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year, country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N with hearing loss (HL)*</th>
<th>Age (mean)†</th>
<th>Mode of vestibular assessment</th>
<th>STROBE quality</th>
<th>Vision assessment</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bernard, 2015, France</td>
<td>R Cohort</td>
<td>22 ScCMV 30 AcCMV</td>
<td>48 (18 ears with MI/ MO HL; 68 ears with SE/PR) CI use not reported</td>
<td>34.7 months</td>
<td>Caloric EVAR HIT OVAR CVEMP</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>► 90.4% (47) had canal dysfunction (caloric, EVAR, HIT) and 86.5% had otolith dysfunction (OVAR, cVEMP), ► 30.8% (16) had bilateral areflexia (lack of response) to all tests, 40.4% (21) had partial bilateral dysfunction and 21.1% (11) had unilateral dysfunction. ► 50% (7 out of 14) had progressive deterioration in vestibular function a mean follow-up period of 26.3 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhondt, 2019, Belgium</td>
<td>Case Series</td>
<td>4 ScCMV 1 AcCMV</td>
<td>5 SE/PR (3 using CI) 2–7.3 years</td>
<td>2–7.3 years</td>
<td>vHIT Rotatory test Caloric cVEMP OVEMP</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>► 80% (4) had peripheral vestibular dysfunction (2 unilateral, 2 bilateral), ► 2 had vestibular deficit established before cochlear implant surgery, ► Vestibular function can fluctuate; vestibular symptoms are episodic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhondt, 2021, Belgium</td>
<td>P Cohort</td>
<td>41 ScCMV 52 AcCMV</td>
<td>3 AcCMV (1 MO, 2 SE/PR) 14 ScCMV (1 MO, 13 SE/PR) ≥3 using CI</td>
<td>7.2 months</td>
<td>vHIT Rotatory Test cVEMP</td>
<td>Moderate-low</td>
<td>Fundoscopy</td>
<td>► 22% (9) ScCMV and 8% (6) AcCMV had vestibular loss (7 unilateral, 6 bilateral), ► 10% (6 out of 61) had deterioration in vestibular function over mean follow-up period of 10.2 months, ► 3.8% (2) AcCMV and 2.3% (1) ScCMV had abnormal fundoscopy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoue, 2013, Japan</td>
<td>P Cohort</td>
<td>8 cCMV</td>
<td>8 PR (pre-CI) 38 months</td>
<td>38 months</td>
<td>Damped Rotatory test Caloric cVEMP</td>
<td>Moderate-low</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>► 40% (2 out of 5) had abnormal rotation test (1 unilateral, 1 bilateral), ► 33% (2 out of 6) had abnormal caloric response (1 unilateral, 1 bilateral), ► 67% (4 out of 6) had abnormal cVEMP response (1 unilateral, 3 bilateral), ► 13% (1) had delayed head control and 25% (2) had delayed independent walking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karltorp, 2014, Sweden</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>6 ScCMV 20 AcCMV (Cx26)</td>
<td>26 SE (post-CI) cCMV 13 SE (post-CI) controls</td>
<td>7.8 years</td>
<td>Movement ABC-2 Caloric vHIT cVEMP</td>
<td>Moderate-Low</td>
<td>Visual acuity Ocular alignment Fundoscopy</td>
<td>► 88% (23 out of 26) had balance disturbance, ► 90% (9 out of 10) had abnormal caloric response (five unilateral, 4 bilateral), ► 20% (5) had unilateral ocular pathology (mainly chorioretinal scars).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laccourreye, 2015, France</td>
<td>R Cohort</td>
<td>15 cCMV</td>
<td>15 PR (pre-CI) 14–36 months</td>
<td>14–36 months</td>
<td>Caloric</td>
<td>Moderate-low</td>
<td>Investigation not specified</td>
<td>► 80% (12) had areflexia (4 unilateral, 8 bilateral), ► 20% (3) had ophthalmic abnormality. No further details reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maes, 2017, Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>16 ScCMV 8 AcCMV 8 cCMV negative controls 8 Cx26</td>
<td>8 ScCMV (R ear 80 dB SD 27; L ear 68.8 SD 39.3) 0 using CI</td>
<td>6.7 months</td>
<td>cVEMP</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Visual-motor integration (Peabody Developmental Motor Scale)</td>
<td>► 57% (4 out of 7) ScCMV with HL had no cVEMP response (1 unilateral, 3 bilateral), ► 14% (1 out of 7) ScCMV with NH had no cVEMP response (1 unilateral), ► 100% (8) AcCMV had normal cVEMP response. ► ScCMV with HL had significantly gross lower motor scores compared with all other groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1  Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year, country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N with hearing loss (HL)*</th>
<th>Age (mean)†</th>
<th>Mode of vestibular assessment</th>
<th>STROBE quality</th>
<th>Vision assessment</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pappas, 1983, USA</td>
<td>Case−control</td>
<td>19 AcCMV 17 cCMV negative controls</td>
<td>14 (1 MI, 1 MO, 12 SE/PR) CI use not reported</td>
<td>41 months</td>
<td>Caloric</td>
<td>Moderate−low</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>▶ 80% (4 out of 5) AcCMV with normal hearing had hypoactive/absent caloric responses (3× unilateral, 1× bilateral). ▶ 50% (3 out of 6) AcCMV with HL had hypoactive/absent caloric responses (1× unilateral, 2× bilateral). ▶ 8 patients could not have vestibular function confirmed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul, 2017, France</td>
<td>R Cohort</td>
<td>3 ScCMV 5 AcCMV</td>
<td>8 (2 MO, 6 PR/total HLI) CI use not reported</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>Canal and otolithic tests</td>
<td>Moderate−low</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>▶ 25% (2) had vestibular dysfunction. ▶ Ophthalmological examination findings not reported. ▶ No further details available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinninti, 2021, USA</td>
<td>Case−control</td>
<td>40 AcCMV 33 cCMV negative controls</td>
<td>7 (2 MI, 2 MO/SE, 2 PR, 1 not reported) CI use not reported</td>
<td>7.52 years</td>
<td>Rotatory test SVV cDVA cVEMP SOT BOT−2</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Vestibulo−visual tract Gaze stability</td>
<td>▶ 44.8% (13/29) had low VOR on rotatory chair testing. ▶ 44.7% (17 out of 38) had a diminished or absent cVEMP response (10 unilateral, 7 bilateral). ▶ 48.7% (19 out of 39) had difficulty maintaining stable vision during head movement. ▶ Up to 50% had difficulties maintaining balance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strauss, 1985, USA</td>
<td>P Cohort</td>
<td>6 ScCMV 5 AcCMV</td>
<td>3 ScCMV (SE) CI use not reported</td>
<td>22 months–8.5 years</td>
<td>Caloric</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>▶ 50% (3) ScCMV normal neurodevelopment. ▶ 50% (3) ScCMV with HL had abnormal vestibular responses to caloric test (2 unilateral, 1 bilateral).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zagólski 2007, Poland</td>
<td>Case−control</td>
<td>10 ScCMV 16 AcCMV 40 cCMV negative controls</td>
<td>8 ScCMV ears (SE/PR) CI use not reported</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Caloric cVEMP</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>▶ 60% (12/20) ScCMV ears had no cVEMP response. ▶ 12.5% (4/32) AcCMV ears had absent caloric response. ▶ 60% (12/20) ScCMV ears had absent caloric response. ▶ No pathological finding on clinical examination.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study design: R=retrospective; P=prospective.

Hearing loss=severity reported where available.
For mode of vestibular assessment, please see appendix A for glossary of vestibular and balance investigations.

Findings: (n)=number of cCMV cases out of N, where number of children tested is different to N, (n out of…) is reported.

*Where only mean decibel (dB) hearing threshold was reported, this can be interpreted as: <20 dB=normal; 21–40 dB=mild; 41–70 dB=moderate; 71–90 dB=severe; 91–119 dB=profound (Bernard, 2015).

†Range (where mean not reported).

ABC, assessment battery for children; AcCMV, asymptomatic cCMV; BOT−2, bruininks−osersky test of motor proficiency, second edition (BOT-2); cDVA, clinical dynamic visual acuity; CI, cochlear implant; cVEMP, cervical vestibular myogenic evoked potential; Cx26, connexin 26 mutation; EVAR, earth vertical axis rotation; HL, hearing loss; MI, mild; MO, moderate; N, number of cCMV cases; NH, normal hearing; OVAR, off vertical axis rotation; oVEMP, ocular vestibular myogenic evoked potential; P, prospective; PR, profound; R, retrospective; ScCMV, symptomatic CMV; SE, severe; SOT, sensory organisation test; SVV, subjective visual vertical; vHIT, video head impulse testing; VOR, vestibulo−ocular reflex.

Prevalence of vestibular dysfunction
The prevalence of vestibular dysfunction ranged from 14% to 90.4% across 12 studies using vestibular−specific assessments. Six cohort studies had a total of 187 study participants with cCMV, of whom 43.3% (81/187) had vestibular dysfunction. Four of six cohort studies had 15 or less participants. Vestibular dysfunction was reported in 25% (2/8; canal and otolithic tests) by Paul et al, 50% (3/6; caloric) by Strauss, 67% (4/6; cVEMP) by Inoue et al and 80% (12/15; caloric) by Laccourreye et al. Dhondt et al found 14% (13/93) had vestibular dysfunction, whereas Bernard et al found 90.4% (47/52) had vestibular dysfunction. Bernard’s study population were older (mean age 34.7 months vs 7.2 months), had a higher proportion of hearing loss (92.3% vs 18.3%) and underwent a more comprehensive battery of vestibular tests.

Three studies reported vestibular outcomes for children with symptomatic cCMV and asymptomatic cCMV (ie, normal clinical examinations, hearing, fundoscopy, blood tests and neural imaging at birth) separately. Vestibular dysfunction was more than twice as frequently reported in children with symptomatic cCMV (up to 60%; 12/20 ears) compared with those with asymptomatic cCMV (up to 12.5%; 4/32 ears).
**Table 2**  Characteristics and findings of the eligible studies that performed non-vestibular specific balance assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year, country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N with hearing loss (HL)</th>
<th>Age (mean)</th>
<th>Mode of balance assessment</th>
<th>STROBE quality</th>
<th>Vision assessment</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alarcon, 2013, Spain 10</td>
<td>M cohort</td>
<td>26 ScCMV</td>
<td>1 (severity not specified)</td>
<td>8.7 years</td>
<td>Movement ABC-2</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>▶ 27% (3 out of the 11 children without cerebral palsy) had borderline balance skills. ▶ 8% (2) had severe visual deficit – no further details available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Kegel, 2015, Belgium 19</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>26 ScCMV</td>
<td>19 (ScCMV 83.2 dB; AcCMV 94.0 dB)</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>Ghent Developmental Balance Test</td>
<td>Moderate–low</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>▶ Children with ScCMV and hearing impairment had significantly worse balance than controls and children with AcCMV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris, 1984, USA 25</td>
<td>P cohort</td>
<td>50 cCMV</td>
<td>5 (1 ML, 4 total)</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Traction response test</td>
<td>Moderate–low</td>
<td>Ophthalmological examination</td>
<td>▶ 9% (4 out of 43) had transient head lag. ▶ No ophthalmological abnormalities found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korndewal, 2017, N cohort, Netherlands 27</td>
<td>26 ScCMV</td>
<td>2 ScCMV</td>
<td>2 ScCMV (2 ears MO, 1 ear SE, 4 ears PR)</td>
<td>5 years, 6 months</td>
<td>Movement ABC Physical therapist report</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Ophthalmological examination. Optometrist examination</td>
<td>▶ 6% (8) had balance impairment. ▶ 2.8% (3) AcCMV had visual impairment (1 unilateral optic nerve atrophy impairment, 2 cortical visual impairment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study design:** R = retrospective; P = prospective; M = mixed (retrospective and prospective)  
**Hearing loss:** severity reported where available.  
**Mode of balance assessment** please see appendix A for glossary of vestibular and balance investigations.  
**Findings:** (n) = number of cCMV cases out of N; where number of children tested is different to N, (n out of...) is reported.  
*Where only mean decibel (dB) hearing threshold was reported, this can be interpreted as: ≤20 dB = normal; 21–40 dB = mild; 41–70 dB = moderate; 71–90 dB = severe; 91–119 dB = profound (Bernard, 2015).  
**ABC, assessment battery for children; AcCMV, asymptomatic cCMV; CI, cochlear implant; M, mixed (retrospective and prospective); MI, mild; MO, moderate; N, number of cCMV cases; P, prospective; PR, profound; R, Retrospective; ScCMV, symptomatic cCMV; SE, severe; STROBE, Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology.**

### Nature of vestibular dysfunction

The variety of vestibular-specific assessments used among the 12 studies indicate that dysfunction can affect various parts of the peripheral vestibular system and can be unilateral or bilateral. Zagólski demonstrated vestibular dysfunction can be detected as early as 3 months of age through caloric testing and cVEMP. Prevention of vestibular dysfunction ranged between 44.7% (17/38) and 90.4% (47/52) in the studies that performed caloric testing and/or cVEMP in children older than 12 months. Only two studies undertook follow-up; Dhondt et al found that vestibular function deteriorated in 10% (6/61) in children (mean age 7.2 months) over a mean period of 10 months and Bernard et al found vestibular function deteriorated in 50% (7/14) in children (mean age 34.7 months) over 26.3 months. Children with SNHL formed ≥50% of the study population in eight out of the thirteen studies. Maes et al found that 57% (4/7) of children with symptomatic cCMV and SNHL had vestibular dysfunction; however, vestibular dysfunction also occurred in children with normal hearing (1/7). Although the side and severity of vestibular dysfunction were significantly associated with the side and severity of SNHL, Bernard et al did not find any concordance in those associations. Dhondt et al also found a significant association between the presence of SNHL and the occurrence of vestibular dysfunction, but there was no association between vestibular dysfunction and the onset or the side of the SNHL.

Vestibular dysfunction may occur post cochlear implanta-
tion, which is a potential confounding factor. Karltorp et al reported that 90% (9/10) of children using cochlear implants had abnormal vestibular function. Inoue et al., Lacoureyee et al and Dhondt et al found that vestibular dysfunction can occur in the presence of severe-profound hearing loss prior to cochlear implant surgery, with a prevalence of vestibular dysfunction between 40% (2/5) and 80% (12/15).

Pinninti et al and Pappas et al reported a vestibular dysfunction prevalence of between 44.7% (17/38) and 63.6% (7/11) in children with asymptomatic cCMV, even in the context of normal hearing, compared with children without CMV (who had normal hearing). 11 24

### Prevalence of balance disturbance

Harris et al found 9% (4/43) of infants with cCMV had transient signs of head imbalance through traction response testing. Alarcon et al and Korndewal et al reported balance disturbance affecting between 6% (8/133) and 27% (3/11) in children with cCMV. None of those studies performed vestibular-specific assessments. De Kegel et al found that children with asymptomatic cCMV had significantly worse balance when compared with children who had asymptomatic cCMV.

Pinninti et al found 50% (20/40) of children with asymptomatic cCMV had difficulties maintaining balance but just 17.5% (7/40) had hearing impairment.

### DISCUSSION

This paper is the first systematic review of vestibular function in children with cCMV. The prevalence of vestibular dysfunction in children with cCMV is significant but difficult to quantify due to small single centre studies, variation in vestibular assessment and limited long-term follow-up of patients. Vestibular dysfunction was more common in children with symptomatic than asymptomatic cCMV but was identified in children both with and without hearing loss. Vestibular dysfunction in children with cCMV was reported to deteriorate over 10–26

Balance in children with cCMV was significantly worse than their peers. The exact mechanism by which CMV causes vestibular dysfunction is not clearly defined. Cytomegalic cells, loss of hair cells and degeneration of nerve fibres have been reported in the semicircular canals and otolith organs in the vestibular system. Dual pathology could potentially occur; however, high-resolution CT temporal bone imaging has not shown any anatomical abnormalities of the vestibular apparatus such as enlarged vestibular aqueducts in children with cCMV.

It was not possible to obtain an accurate prevalence of cCMV-related vestibular dysfunction, as children with symptomatic cCMV and/or hearing loss are overrepresented in this evidence base. There is currently no universal screening programme for cCMV, and therefore, asymptomatic neonates are often not diagnosed or followed up in clinic. Similarly, children who present with balance problems, developmental delay or cerebral palsy may not have been investigated for cCMV if they have normal hearing.

Vestibular dysfunction resulting in gross motor delay may also contribute to learning difficulties and other neurodevelopmental disabilities. Visual and hearing impairment are known sequelae of cCMV, and concurrent vestibular dysfunction leads to triple sensory loss in affected children. One study found out of 34 children with cCMV using cochlear implants, parents reported 26% had movement difficulties linked with balance and 15% had visual difficulties. Retrospective dried blood spot studies have reported a prevalence of cCMV of 9.6% (31/323) in children with cerebral palsy and of 5.6% (2/38) in children with autism. Vestibular dysfunction can occur in children with cerebral palsy, and vestibular stimulation has been reported to improve their motor function.

Further work needs to be undertaken to improve cCMV diagnoses in children with balance problems and gross motor delay and enable children with cCMV to access beneficial services. Future research priorities should include a universal screening study for cCMV, to identify symptomatic and asymptomatic neonates and healthy controls for a longitudinal study. Long-term follow-up involving a battery of tests to comprehensively evaluate vestibular function and balance, and controlling for effects of cochlear implantation, would provide a more accurate measure of vestibular dysfunction in children with cCMV. A multinational registry to collect long-term follow-up on several parameters including vestibular dysfunction is planned (cCMVnet); this would help advise parents, inform patient services and identify key questions for future treatment trials. A retrospective study testing for cCMV in stored dried blood spots samples of children attending vestibular, balance or developmental delay clinics could better delineate the contribution of cCMV in these conditions. Qualitative data on quality of life captured from children with cCMV and their families would inform and improve services.

Recommendations for clinical practice
Neonatologists, paediatricians and audiovestibular physicians should continue to follow neonates with cCMV closely during early childhood to observe for vestibular dysfunction in addition to other neurodevelopmental issues. A list of screening tools for vestibular dysfunction in the paediatric clinic is described in online supplemental appendix C. Clinicians should have a low threshold for referral to regional audiovestibular services. CMV tests, including the dried blood spot, should be considered for children presenting with vestibular dysfunction, balance disorder and gross motor delay.

Parents of children with cCMV should be counselled regarding the variable long-term outcomes for hearing, balance, gross motor development and vision. Early detection of cCMV helps to facilitate timely investigations and early supportive interventions such as hearing aids, physiotherapy and multidisciplinary follow-up.

Diagnosis of vestibular dysfunction in children with cCMV is important, as interventions such as vestibular-focused rehabilitation may improve balance. This can potentially improve quality of life, aid developmental progress and improve motor function. Further research into the benefits of paediatric vestibular-focused rehabilitation is needed.

Diagnosis of vestibular dysfunction also enables the child and parent(s) to have their health problem recognised and validated. Safety advice regarding swimming and riding a bicycle, particularly in the dark, which rely more on vestibular inputs, should be given to improve safety.

CONCLUSIONS
This systematic review has found vestibular dysfunction to be a common sequela of cCMV. It can occur in children who were asymptomatic at birth and in children with normal hearing. Balance disorder affects gross motor development, coordination and quality of life. Balance should be explored as part of routine clinical reviews, with use of vestibular screening tools to guide referral for testing. Vestibular function is an important outcome to measure when investigating the benefits of cCMV screening and of antiviral treatment. Large-scale collaborative research is needed to better understand vestibular function and balance in children with cCMV, using a test battery that tests both semicircular canal and otolith organs, includes quality of life measures and the effects of vestibular physiotherapy.
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## APPENDIX A

Modes of vestibular function and balance assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vestibular Function Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Caloric Test                             | • Ear-specific  
• Uses differences in temperature to assess horizontal (lateral) semicircular canal  
• Takes 20 to 25 mins to complete, can be difficult to tolerate for children as vision is occluded and hearing is temporarily disrupted by irrigation  
• Affected by middle ear pathology                                                   |
| Cervical Vestibular Evoked Myogenic Potential (cVEMP) | • Ear-specific  
• Assesses ipsilateral saccule function including the vestibulo-spinal tract  
• Need to be able to independently maintain contraction of the sternocleidomastoid  
• Cannot be performed if there is concurrent glue ear                                    |
| Ocular Vestibular Evoked Myogenic Potential (oVEMP) | • Ear-specific  
• Assesses contralateral utricle function                                                |
| Rotatory test (chair)                    | • Not ear-specific  
• Assesses horizontal semicircular canal; nystagmus can be recorded  
• Can be completed regardless of middle ear status  
• Short testing time (10-15 mins)  
• Child can sit on parent/carer’s lap                                                  |
| Video Head Impulse Test (vHIT)           | • Ear-specific  
• Examiner assesses the semicircular canals by accelerating and decelerating the head abruptly  
• Video goggles provide a quick and objective measure of the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR; the reflex to stabilize gaze during head movement).  
• Not affected by middle ear pathologies                                               |
| Earth Vertical Axis Rotation (EVAR)      | • Assesses semicircular canal function measuring the time constant and maximal initial phase of the VOR.                                                                                             |
| Off Vertical Axis Rotation (OVAR)        | • Assesses the function of the utricle and saccule including the vestibulo-ocular tract by measuring bias and the modulation amplitude of horizontal and vertical components of the VOR |
| Subjective visual vertical (SVV) | • Assesses the function of the vestibulo-visual tract (mediated by the utricle)  
• Measures VOR cancellation + participant’s ability to perceive the vertical |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Dynamic Visual Acuity (cDVA)</td>
<td>• Tests the integrity of gaze stability and assess the functional use of VOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance Assessment</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement Assessment Battery for Children (Movement ABC)</td>
<td>• Assesses movement and balance in everyday situations in 3-16 year olds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traction Response</td>
<td>• Measures head lag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ghent Developmental Balance Test | • Evaluates balance from moment of independent walking to age 5 years  
• Inexpensive, quick to administer, easily scored |
| Sensory Organisation Test (SOT) | • Measures static balance and postural control – quantitative assessment of ability to perceive visual, proprioceptive and vestibular cues to maintain postural stability in stance |
| Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency, Second Edition (BOT-2) | • Assesses balance, fine and gross motor performance in 4-21 year olds |
APPENDIX B
Anatomy of the vestibular apparatus (balance portion of the inner ear)

### APPENDIX C
Screening tools for vestibular dysfunction in the paediatric clinic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Tool</th>
<th>Sign to prompt referral for vestibular testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Farmer’s Test                   | • Can be performed in a baby up to 6 weeks of age  
• If the infant’s eyes deviate towards the side of travel when held under the arms and rotated from side to side, this suggests vestibular function is present.                                      |
| Office Chair Rotation Test      | • The child may sit on the parent’s lap and the chair is spun round several times, enough to induce post-rotational nystagmus in the parent.  
• On stopping the chair, a lack of post-rotational nystagmus suggests vestibular dysfunction.                                                                                     |
| Halmagyi Head Thrust Test       | • Requires child to be able to fixate on a target e.g. a sticker on the clinician’s forehead  
• Turn the child’s head quickly and unpredictably to 10-15° neck rotation.  
• The child’s eyes should remain fixated on the target throughout.  
• If the child’s eyes are dragged off the target by the turning of the head followed by a catch-up saccade back to the target after the turning to head, this suggests vestibular dysfunction. |