



OPEN ACCESS

# Barriers to deferred cord clamping in preterm infants

Sam Oddie,<sup>1</sup> Penny Rhodes,<sup>2</sup> on behalf of the 'Very Preterm Birth Qualitative Collaborative Group'

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2014-305968>).

<sup>1</sup>Department of Bradford Neonatology, Ward M1, Bradford Royal Infirmary, Bradford, UK

<sup>2</sup>Bradford Institute for Health Research, Bradford Royal Infirmary, Bradford, UK

## Correspondence to

Dr Sam Oddie Bradford Neonatology, Ward M1, Bradford Royal Infirmary, Duckworth Lane, Bradford BD9 6RJ, UK; [Sam.oddie@bthft.nhs.uk](mailto:Sam.oddie@bthft.nhs.uk)

Received 8 January 2014

Revised 8 April 2014

Accepted 14 May 2014

Published Online First

5 June 2014

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To describe the range of practices employed by units conducting deferred cord clamping at very preterm birth.

**Design** Qualitative study using semistructured interviews with neonatal doctors, nurses, midwives, obstetricians and managers in a sample of UK maternity units.

**Participants** 33 neonatal doctors, neonatal nurses, midwives, obstetricians and managers.

**Setting** UK maternity units in 2012.

**Results** Four key themes emerged. The first concerns the variation in guideline content, the absence of a practice of stabilisation with cord intact, and issues with implementing and maintaining changes in practice. The second concerns the challenges in assessing eligibility. The third concerns the competing priorities of delivering the intervention and proceeding with other stabilisation manoeuvres and the associated anxiety experienced by professionals. The final theme relates to the issue of uncertainty as to optimal treatment choices.

**Conclusions** The evidence surrounding deferred cord clamping in very preterm infants is unclear. This study describes the reported practice of units deferring cord clamping in 2012 and will inform trial development.

## INTRODUCTION

In the late 1960s, immediate cord clamping was introduced as part of a triad of interventions in the third stage of labour to prevent postpartum haemorrhage (PPH).<sup>1</sup> Systematic reviews have shown that, while uterotonic drugs reduce PPH, immediate clamping does not.<sup>2–3</sup> In preterm infants, deferred cord clamping (DCC) is associated with fewer blood transfusions, less necrotising enterocolitis and intraventricular haemorrhage, but more jaundice.<sup>4</sup> The UK Newborn Life Support course recommends DCC for 'at least a minute', with very preterm babies (born before 32 weeks gestation) excluded only if there is a need for resuscitation. For many practitioners, this represented a major change of practice which was incompletely adopted by the end of 2011/early 2012.<sup>5</sup>

The aim of this study was to describe the extent to which, how and why DCC, or cord milking/stripping (CM), was practiced for very preterm infants in centres known to have adopted placental transfusion techniques.

## METHODS

Maternity units practicing 'delayed cord clamping' or other efforts to facilitate a placental transfusion' were identified from a UK national survey,<sup>5</sup> from which a purposive sample, chosen to give geographical spread, a mix of teaching and district

## What is already known on this topic

- Deferred cord clamping may benefit newborn babies.
- Deferred cord clamping was not widely practised in the UK in 2011.

## What this study adds

- Techniques for deferred cord clamping varied widely, as did opinions about assessing eligibility.
- Practitioners reported anxiety during a period of delay, and ongoing uncertainty about optimal treatment choice.

general hospitals, and published expertise in the field, were selected.

At each site, experienced practitioners from midwifery, obstetrics, neonatal nursing and neonatology or paediatrics were invited to take part. With participants' informed consent, semistructured interviews (see online supplementary appendix 1) lasting 20–40 min were conducted, digitally recorded and fully transcribed. Where these existed, we also obtained relevant guidelines.

All transcripts were anonymised and coded, following a process of constant comparison and using qualitative data software (NVivo10). Common themes were identified between sites, professional groups and individual clinicians.<sup>6</sup>

## RESULTS

Seven sites were included, from which 33 practitioners were interviewed: 7 midwives, 7 neonatologists, 2 paediatricians, 6 neonatal nurses, 7 obstetricians and 4 managers.

Four main themes emerged from the analysis: variability in guidelines; assessing eligibility; competing priorities: anxiety about timing; persisting uncertainty. Quotes illustrating the themes are provided in online supplementary appendix 2.

## Variability in guidelines

Guidelines varied widely in terms of technique and nature of recommendations (see table 1). Sites varied in the extent to which staff were aware of, and reported adherence to, guidelines. No two sites were practicing placental transfusion in the same way. No site had guidance for, or reported a practice of 'resuscitation', airway or breathing support of



Open Access  
Scan to access more  
free content



CrossMark

**To cite:** Oddie S, Rhodes P, on behalf of the 'Very Preterm Birth Qualitative Collaborative Group'. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2014;**99**:F391–F394.

**Table 1** Guidelines and practice concerning deferred Cord Clamping and cord milking for very preterm birth in 7 practising sites in the UK 2012

Site	Guideline content pertaining to DCC in very preterm birth	Guideline content pertaining to CM in very preterm birth	Reported practice of DCC	Reported practice of CM	Recommended duration DCC	Duration reported in practice	Specific instructions about execution of CM in guideline (number of milkings, length of cord, height of baby etc	Delivery into plastic bag prior to cord ligation mandated in guideline	Guideline exclusions	Comments
Site 1 Tertiary Hospital	Presented as alternative options		Very few	All	None	5–10 s	No	No		Most babies <28 weeks delivered by caesarean section had CM—more variability at higher gestations
Site 2 tertiary hospital 6000–7000 deliveries	Discouraged	Recommended	Few	'standard practice'	NA	?	Yes	Yes		CM seen as safer than DCC in all circumstances
Site 3 medium size district hospital 5000–6000 deliveries	Recommended	Only if DCC seen as unsafe	70% in recent audit	Minority	30 s	30s	No	No	None	DCC considered as part of resuscitation process
Site 4 tertiary hospital 6000–7000 deliveries	Nil	Nil	Minority	Minority	No recommendation	?	No	No		DCC recommended for term births
Site 5 tertiary hospital	Recommended	Nil	Majority <28 weeks	Few, if any	45 s	30 s	No	No	Need for immediate resuscitation. Absent cord pulsation, or cord incised. Placental separation. Concerns for health of mother	
Site 6 smaller district hospital 2000–3000 births	Discouraged	Nil	Minority	Minority	Discouraged	0–30 s	No	No		'The consensus paediatric view, therefore, is that DCC should not be practised routinely on preterm babies., Policy advised CM where DCC could not be achieved.
Site 7 4000–5000 births tertiary hospital	Nil	Nil	Minority	Minority	NA	15–60 s	No	No	None	

CM, cord milking/stripping; DCC, deferred cord clamping.

very preterm infants with cord intact, although one Guidelines described DCC as ‘part of the resuscitation process’ (Site 3)

Intraunit variation in reported practice was most marked where neither DCC nor CM were supported by guidelines. Reported positions for DCC varied from above, or level with, the placenta to 6–20 cm below, avoiding tension in the cord, and were based on practicality rather than evidence: for example, placement on mothers’ legs at section. Reported positions for CM were similar. Some clinicians practised DCC, CM or both techniques as a matter of routine, others only when they remembered, and often in ignorance of what colleagues were doing. Interviewees spoke of the need for a local clinical champion to move practice forward.

Placental transfusion practices were most commonly led by neonatologists or paediatricians; however, the most successful sites had also engaged the support of senior obstetricians. Lesser engagement across professions was associated with incomplete implementation. One site had introduced a requirement to state in the infant’s notes why DCC had not been implemented in any given case, and this was believed to have helped to normalise the practice. Elsewhere, recording of DCC and CM was ad hoc rather than systematised.

CM was the preferred practice in two sites (table 1); elsewhere, it was viewed as a second-line therapy. In choosing CM over DCC, one person commented that the decision might be influenced by anxiety about health of the baby; others reported using both techniques in a single delivery, starting with delay, followed by CM. CM was more likely than DCC to be seen as an obstetric intervention—undertaken without negotiation or remark, whereas DCC required coordination of the delivery team as a whole.

The exact nature of the intervention varied by site (see table 1): sites where CM was regularly practised had more specific content in their guidelines; at others, personal preferences and perceptions of the baby’s condition were stronger influences.

### Assessment of eligibility

Participants agreed that most babies do not need immediate resuscitation; determining the need for resuscitation was the major challenge. Some questioned the need for immediate resuscitation, and the guideline for one site (Site 3) stated that the ‘thirty second (delay) should be viewed as *part of* the infant’s resuscitation not a hindrance to it’ (emphasis in original). Most agreed with the paediatrician who said, ‘If the baby came out pink, beginning to wriggle and beginning to make a respiratory effort... delayed cord clamping would be fine.’ For other babies, decision making was less clear-cut and rested on largely subjective judgements of a combination of indications that included colour, tone, heart rate and breathing. No one was prepared to nominate a sole determining factor.

Individuals varied in the extent to which they were persuaded of the benefits of DCC or CM as balanced against potential risks, and described differing possible responses to similar situations: for example, with a baby that was ‘white and floppy’, one clinician would ‘not want to be wasting time’ and opt for immediate clamping, while another would ‘try and get some blood back into the baby’.

### Competing priorities: anxiety about timing

The most commonly expressed obstetric concern was maternal bleeding at caesarean section, with less experienced obstetricians reportedly more concerned about delay.

Unless the mother’s condition was cause for concern, the onus of decision making rested with the neonatologist or

paediatrician, and it could take confidence and courage in the face of one’s own and others’ anxiety to persist with the full recommended delay. As one neonatologist commented, ‘I would hold my nerve for a full 30 s’. Clinicians with more experience were reported to be more likely to defer clamping and wait the full 30 s.

In the absence of guidelines, delays of 10–30 s were reported, reflecting a cautious approach to risk in ignorance of optimal duration and sensitivity to the emotional tolerance of team members. Three people said they would delay clamping as long as they could feel pulsating of the cord. Responsibility for exact timing generally rested with the neonatal team. Anxieties lessened over time and engaging in concurrent activities, such as drying the baby or using the delay to assess the baby’s condition, were helpful in managing anxiety.

### Persisting uncertainty

In addition to uncertainties about eligibility, positioning of the baby and duration of delay, there were inconsistencies in the sequence of administration of uterotonic drugs—between sites, practitioners and contexts. When given before cutting of the cord, reported timing varied from crowning, to delivery of the anterior shoulder or body. Few considered the timing of uterotonics administration relevant to DCC. Policies mandating uterotonic administration after cord clamping had been introduced at sites where DCC was routine practice for preterm birth (n=3). However, even here, practice was inconsistent and reportedly varied by mode of delivery, with uterotonics administered after clamping at section, but before in vaginal deliveries. The most uniform practice was reported at the site where DCC had been practised the longest time, and policy and practice were guided by a clear understanding that uterine contraction induced by drugs would restrict placental transfusion. Elsewhere, responsibility for the decision was considered to be solely that of the midwife or obstetrician, and members of the neonatal team were unable to say at what point the drug was given. Timing of administration was not considered relevant to CM.

### DISCUSSION

This study uncovered variation in the nature and implementation of placental transfusion techniques at very preterm birth, among the small proportion of units aiming to facilitate them in late 2011/early 2012. Standard neonatal stabilisation practises, such as lung inflation, did not seem to be being delivered with cord intact. At the time, placental transfusion was not widely practised, and the results are, therefore, pertinent to clinicians wishing to develop and implement guidelines.<sup>5 7 8</sup>

The analysis is based on a small sample (consistent with qualitative methods) and relied on reported practice rather than direct observation, but is strengthened by inclusion of multiple professional perspectives.

Implementation seemed most successful where significant interdisciplinary discussion (including midwifery) in guideline development had led to ‘buy in’ across the unit. Audit, developments in record keeping and reinforcement, clinical leadership and training in actual practical techniques also appeared important drivers. Reported practical problems, such as difficulty obtaining sterile plastic bags were numerous—although a sterile plastic bag is available (Steridrape, 3M Health Care, Minnesota, USA). Optimal implementation would appear to depend to a high level on good quality communication between delivery and stabilisation professionals. A briefing immediately before delivery may facilitate this.

Opinions were broad, with limited overlap, reflecting scientific uncertainties about: the optimal form or combination of placental transfusion techniques; case selection; positioning of the baby; duration of delay, and timing of uterotonics. Some of these uncertainties may be resolved when ongoing studies report.<sup>9</sup> Importantly, stabilisation with cord intact may promote transitional cardiovascular stability, if recent animal evidence suggesting cord clamping prior to lung inflation may be harmful, is applicable to humans.<sup>10</sup>

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank Prof Lelia Duley and Prof Susan Ayers and Gill Gyte for the helpful comments on the protocol and drafts of this paper. Additionally, we would like to thank the participants whose time made this work possible.

**Contributors** SO and PR jointly planned the study, wrote the protocol and did the interviews. PR did the analysis, and wrote the first draft of the paper. SO wrote subsequent drafts of the paper, and is the guarantor.

**Funding** This research was supported by the National Institute of Health Research grant number RPPG060910107. The Preterm Birth Programme presents independent research commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Programme Grants for Applied Research funding scheme (RP-PG-0609–10107). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

**Competing interests** None.

**Ethics approval** The study was approved by the Rotherham sitting of National Research Ethics Committee 11/YH/ 0302.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 3.0) license, which

permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>

## REFERENCES

- 1 National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health. *Intrapartum care: care of healthy women and their babies during childbirth*. London: RCOG Press, 2007.
- 2 Westhoff G, Cotter AM, Tolosa JE. Prophylactic oxytocin for the third stage of labour to prevent postpartum haemorrhage. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;(10):CD001808.
- 3 McDonald SJ, Middleton P, Dowswell T, *et al*. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping of term infants on maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev* 2013;(7):CD004074.
- 4 Rabe H, Diaz-Rossello JL, Duley L, *et al*. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping and other strategies to influence placental transfusion at preterm birth on maternal and infant outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;(8):CD003248.
- 5 Singh Y, Oddie S. Marked variation in delivery room management in very preterm infants. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:1558–61.
- 6 Glaser BG. The constant comparative method of qualitative analysis. *Soc Probl* 1965;12:436–45.
- 7 Perlman JM, Wyllie J, Kattwinkel J, *et al*. Part 11: neonatal resuscitation: 2010 International consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations. *Circulation* 2010;122:S516–38.
- 8 Richmond S, Wyllie J. Newborn life support. In: Nolan JP. ed *The resuscitation guidelines 2010*. London: The Resuscitation Council (UK), 2010 (Chapter 11). <http://www.controlled-trials.com/isrctn/pf/21456601>
- 9 Bhatt S, Alison B, Wallace EM, *et al*. Delayed cord clamping until ventilation onset improves cardiovascular function at birth in preterm lambs. *J Physiol* 2013;591:2113–26.

## Appendix 1 – Topic Guide

### 1. Can you describe what you as a team do immediately after a very preterm baby (<32 weeks) is born?

Prompts:

- own role?
- How do you manage provision of respiratory support/ thermoregulation?
- Do you engage in any strategies such to facilitate placental transfusion (cord clamping/ cord milking)?

Prompts:

- timing of cord clamping, how long they wait
- when they give the uterotonic drug when they delay cord clamping
- whether they resuscitate the baby whilst waiting to clamp the cord
- Where is the baby in relation to the mother?

### 2. Why do you do what you do?

- Why do you do it that way?

### 3. What are the main strengths and weaknesses of the way in which you manage very pre-term babies?

### 4. Do you do practice deferred cord clamping or cord milking (as appropriate) with all babies or only some?

- How do you decide which babies you will manage in this way?

Prompts:

- baby's condition,
- mother's condition,
- perceived attitudes of parent/s,
- availability of staff/equipment
- Are there circumstances when very pre-term babies are managed differently? And, if so, what are they?
- Do you think the procedure is appropriate for all preterm babies?
- If not, which babies is it most appropriate for? And which babies is it least appropriate for?

**5. What are your practical experiences of managing very pre-term babies in this way?**

- How does the team manage assessment and stabilisation?
- What are the practical difficulties and how are they managed?
- What has been the reaction of mothers and/or partners?

**6. How long have you been managing very pre-term babies in this**

**7. What factors influenced your decision to start managing very pre-term babies in this way?**

**8. How easy was it to implement this way of working?**

Prompts:

- Facilitators
- Barriers

**9. If another unit was thinking of introducing this practice, what would you recommend them to do?**

- Are there any pitfalls which they should try to avoid?

**10. How do you think you might improve the initial bedside care of pre-term babies?**

## Appendix 2

Table 2

### Variability in guidelines

I don't think any of my colleagues routinely give it when they go to deliver the babies. I think they sometimes think about it, and sometimes just it's done. (Site 4, CN)

I think at the moment we don't have a champion. If we had a champion, then I suspect it would happen much faster. (Site 4, CN)

Usually, I start with delayed clamping. But if the baby looks abnormal, I'll get them to strip the cord and get on with it...(Site 4.CN)

I mean I suppose a baby with a very low Apgar ... I wouldn't be sitting there waiting for the placenta to drain into the baby, I'd just milk it, clamp it and pass it to the team. (Site 7.CO)

And then some [members of the team] are more concerned about the length of time [of delay] or the state of the baby when it comes out; I would suggest they do cord stripping. (Site 4.CN)

*Who's decision would it be?*

That would be the obstetrician bringing the baby out of the uterus. (Site 1.CO)

### Assessment of eligibility

If the baby came out pink, beginning to wriggle and beginning to make a respiratory effort, I would sit back and watch and, if they delayed cord clamping, that would be fine. (site 4, CP)

I think, if it came out with no heart rate – and it depends how you define 'no heart rate' – you would want to either cut the cord immediately, or strip it and then cut it. (site 4, CN)

*You talked about babies that you wouldn't contemplate it with.*

Well, baby's come out not moving and looks white, not breathing, then I think you would not want to be wasting time ... Usually, in those babies the cord is pretty ropery because there's no circulation, nothing to milk anyway... in that case, I would just cut. (Site 2, CO)

*So, if you have a baby that is pale, floppy and flat, that wouldn't be a reason for not doing cord-clamping?*

No, there are still benefits from that... so here is an opportunity to try and get some blood back into the baby, as long as we are receiving that baby about a minute of age. (site 7, CN)

My wish would be to delay the cord in all pre-term babies... But what actually happens is the paediatricians.... if this baby is floppy and not doing anything, they want to take the baby straight away. (Site 6, CO)

### Competing Priorities: Anxiety about the timing of cord clamping

...if someone is bleeding from an angle, put a clip on or clamp on and stop the bleeding ... so we are fine with it and it actually didn't increase PPH, but it was just a perception [that it did]. (Site 5, CO).

I would hold my nerve for a full 30 seconds (Site 3, CP)

I guess it's much more to do with when there's a senior paediatrician there than the juniors. And cos I'm sure the juniors don't [delay clamping] (Site 4, CN)

*You don't have a set time?*

There's no agreed time in this unit.

*So what would be an average length of time?*

I'll hopefully delay for a minute or so. But I don't know how long we should leave the baby for. Nobody knows. (Site 4, CO)

You quite often get looks from other people saying, "Get on with it". (Site 7, CO)

I sing *The Archers* in my head to work out my seconds (Site 7, CO)

Yeah, you know the benefits of DCC and, with your sensible head..... all of that, the physiology. But, when you've got the baby in front of you, you tend to want to get the baby away from the mother, wrapped up and warmed and start inflating their lungs. (Site 6, MW)

If I'm there I'd have to say that I watch the clock and I go ten...twenty...and 30..., and we exchange a right smile cause we all know that I'm ... making sure the baby gets the full 30 seconds (Site 3, CP)

I think human beings are very uncomfortable with gaps or silences or not to be doing something they are trained to do. It is our way to say, we are still doing something but you are just slightly changing the order that you do it. (Site 7, CN)

Sometimes it can feel like a long time, but there are other things you can do ..... you can still put your baby in a bag and get a wee swab to dry baby off, and it kind of makes you feel you're kind of doing something, and we like to do stuff. (Site 5 MW)

Yeah...no, no, I've not even thought of the relevance of it. (Site 3.NN)

### **Persisting uncertainty**

*When would that (uterotonic drug) be given in relation to all this – possible delays?*

Very good question. I suspect after we stopped. But I don't know for sure.

*Who would I need to ask?*

Midwives. They'd give it. We let them do it. It's a midwife decision entirely. (Site 4.CN)