Neonatal cranial ultrasound versus MRI and neurodevelopmental outcome at school age in children born preterm
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Aim: To examine the correlation between neonatal cranial ultrasound and school age magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and neurodevelopmental outcome.

Methods: In a prospective 2 year cohort study, 221 children (gestational age ≤ 32 weeks and/or birth weight ≤ 1500 g) participated at a median age of 8.1 years (inclusion percentage 78%). Conventional MRI, IQ (subtests of the WISC), and motor performance (Movement Assessment Battery for Children) at school age were primary outcome measurements.

Results: Overall, there was poor correspondence between ultrasound group classifications and MRI group classifications, except for the severe group (over 70% agreement). There was only a 1% chance of the children with a normal cranial ultrasound having a major lesion on MRI. Mean IQ (standard deviation) was significantly lower in children with major ultrasound or MRI lesions, but was also lower in children with minor lesions on MRI compared to children with a normal MRI (91 ± 16, 100 ± 13, 104 ± 13 for major lesions, minor lesions, and normal MRI, respectively). Median total impairment score (TIS) was significantly higher in children with major lesions on ultrasound or MRI as well as in children with minor lesions on MRI (TIS 4.0 and 6.25 for normal and minor lesions on MRI, respectively; p < 0.0001).

Conclusions: A normal neonatal cranial ultrasound excluded a severe lesion on MRI in 99% of cases. MRI correlated more strongly with mean IQ and median TIS than ultrasound. Subtle white matter lesions are better detected with MRI which could explain the stronger correlation of MRI with IQ and motor performance.

Neonatal cranial ultrasound (US) remains the method of choice to detect brain injury in high risk preterm infants on neonatal intensive care units (NICU). It is a non-invasive, inexpensive bedside tool and examinations can be repeated as often as necessary without major disturbance of vulnerable infants. The anterior and posterior fontanelles form excellent acoustic windows to examine the deep midline and periventricular regions of the brain. We recently demonstrated that cerebral palsy (CP) can be accurately predicted with US. However, cranial US is not very suitable for investigating cortical regions or structures in the posterior fossa.

Over the last decades, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has increasingly become available. It provides high resolution, non-invasive imaging of the brain and all areas can be easily visualised. However, it requires transportation of sometimes critically ill children to an MRI unit, is time consuming, and is not available on a routine basis.

Good correlation between US and neonatal MRI was found for haemorrhages and ventriculomegaly. Agreement between US and MRI was also good for cystic periventricular leukomalacia (PVL), but for more subtle white matter injury MRI proved to be far more sensitive.

The aim of the present study was to compare neonatal cranial US with school age MRI and to investigate whether a normal US excludes major lesions on future MRI. We also investigated which imaging technique correlated best with two parameters of neurodevelopmental outcome.

METHODS

The children described in this paper are part of a cohort of patients consecutively admitted soon after birth to the NICU of Wilhelmina Children’s Hospital, a tertiary referral hospital. All 375 children born between March 1, 1991 and March 1, 1993 with a gestational age (GA) of ≤ 32 weeks (range 25–33) and/or a birth weight (BW) of ≤ 1500 g were enrolled in a long term follow up study. Sixty four children (17%) died and 28 (7.5%) were excluded because of (multiple) congenital abnormalities and/or chromosomal disorders. At the age of 7 or 8, the children were invited to the hospital to undergo several tests (WISC, Movement Assessment Battery for Children (ABC), and brain MRI). Of the remaining 238 children, 22 (7.8%) could not be traced and the parents of 25 (8.8%) refused participation. As a result, 236 children (83.4%) took part in the study.

Neonatal cranial US results were available in 234 of the 236 children. MRI could not be completed because of anxiety in 10 children. Children with a congenital abnormality (n = 2) on US were excluded as well as one child who developed an unknown neuromuscular disorder. This resulted in a total study population of 221 children (78% of the original cohort). Mean GA of the children was 29.4 weeks (standard deviation (SD) 2.0) and mean BW 1197 g (SD 315). In this group 54.8% were male and 45.2% female.

Abbreviations: BW, birth weight; CP, cerebral palsy; DEHSI, diffuse excessive high signal intensity; FLAIR, fluid attenuated inversion recovery; GA, gestational age; IR, inversion recovery; IVH, intraventricular haemorrhage; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; PHVD, post haemorrhagic ventricular dilatation; PVL, periventricular leukomalacia; SD, standard deviation; TIS, total impairment score; TSE, turbo spin echo; US, ultrasound; VD, ventricular dilatation.
The Medical Ethics Committee of the University Medical Centre Utrecht approved the study and parental informed consent was obtained.

Cranial US
Cranial US was performed within 6 h after admission, at least three times during the first week, subsequently once a week until discharge, and again at term age. A standardised protocol of acquiring images (coronal, midsagittal, and parasagittal planes) was used. Infants were examined with an ATL UM-4 mechanical sector scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands) using the 7.5 MHz transducer to ensure the best possible resolution.

All US scans were analysed by one neonatologist (LDV), who at that time could not be aware of later MRI findings and outcome. US scans were classified according to the most severe lesions seen at any time.

Intraventricular haemorrhages (IVH) were graded according to Papile et al11 and PVL according to de Vries et al.14 Neonatal US scans were also analysed for the presence of ventricular dilatation (VD; post hemorrhagic or ex vacuo), calcifications, germinal layer necrosis, germinal layer/choroid plexus cysts, or subependymal pseudocysts.

Cranial US findings were classified into three groups: normal when no or minor abnormalities, such as germinal layer/plexus cysts, subependymal pseudocysts, or calcifications as exclusive findings were present (group 1); mildly abnormal when an IVH grade I/II, PVL I, or germinal layer necrosis, or a combination of these features, was present (group 2); and severely abnormal when one or more of the following features were present: IVH grade III/IV, cystic PVL II/III, thalamic lesion, focal infarction, or convexity haemorrhage (group 3).

VD as a single feature was assigned to the mildly abnormal group. VD following a haemorrhage (PHVD) with need for therapeutic intervention was listed in the severely abnormal group.

MRI
MRI was performed without sedation using a 1.5 T Philips Gyroscan ACS-NT system (Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands). Images were acquired with a 256×256 matrix and a field of view of 230 mm and included a sagittal T1 survey (TR/TE 512/15 ms; slice thickness 4.0 mm, interslice gap 0.6 mm), transverse dual turbo spin echo (TSE) images (first echo TR/TE 4000/17 ms; second echo TR/TE 4000/110 ms; slice thickness 5.0 mm, interslice gap 1.0 mm), transverse T2 fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images (TR/TE/T1 2346/10/300 ms; slice thickness 5.0 mm, interslice gap 1.0 mm), and coronal T2 fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images (TR/TE/TI 7262/100/2000 ms; slice thickness 5.0 mm, interslice gap 1.0 mm).

All MRI scans were assessed by one radiologist (FJAB), blinded to neonatal US findings and outcome. MRI images were scored for the presence of periventricular gliosis on coronal FLAIR images, ventricular dilatation, abnormalities in the retrochiasmatic region of the visual system, thalamic abnormalities, and cerebellar and cortical atrophy. Gliosis was divided into two groups: mild gliosis when no more than five scattered distinct, small (2 mm) areas of hyperintensity were present and extensive gliosis when larger or more than five areas of hyperintensity were present.

MRI findings were also classified into three groups: normal when no abnormalities were present or when a solitary finding such as an arachnoid cyst was found (group 1); mildly abnormal when mild gliosis, mild VD, irregularly shaped ventricles, corpus callosum thinning, or a combination of these was present (group 2); and severely abnormal when extensive gliosis or gliosis combined with marked VD was present (group 3). Thalamic lesions, an abnormal retrochiasmatic region of the visual system, and cerebellar and cortical atrophy were also classified as major abnormalities.

IQ
All children performed the following five subtests of the WISC-R (Dutch version): similarities, vocabulary, block design, picture arrangement, and digit span. They were supervised by a psychologist (AFL), unaware of their neonatal status. Using the procedures and tables published by Kaufman, scaled scores were converted to an estimated IQ score which is within a 95% confidence interval of the full scale IQ score, with a standard error of estimate of 6.3.

Motor function
Motor performance was assessed by a physiotherapist (ICVH), blinded to MRI outcome. The Movement ABC contains three domains: manual dexterity (three items), ball skills (two items), and balance (three items). Each item is scored from 0 (best score) to 5 (poorest score). The total impairment score (TIS) is the sum of the subscores. Raw scores are converted to percentile scores and classified as follows: <p5 (definitely abnormal), between p5 and p15 (borderline), and >p15 (normal).

The presence of CP was classified according to the Hagberg criteria.13

Data analysis
Descriptives of specific findings on cranial US and MRI were calculated. For each US group, predictive values and corresponding 95% confidence intervals were calculated for inclusion in each of the three MRI groups. Mean IQ and median Movement ABC TIS were tested between US and MRI groups 1 and 2 and between groups 1 and 3 using t tests and Mann-Whitney U tests, respectively. Similar group comparisons were made for proportions with low versus normal IQ and for proportions in percentiles of Movement ABC using z2 tests. SPSS (version 10.1; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all analyses.

RESULTS
US findings
Neonatal cranial US findings are listed in table 1. Ninety six infants were assigned to group 1 (normal US), 89 to group 2 (mildly abnormal US), and 36 to group 3 (severely abnormal US).

MRI findings
MRI findings at a median age of 8.1 years are listed in table 2. Eighty seven children were assigned to group 1 (normal MRI), 104 to group 2 (mildly abnormal MRI), and 30 to group 3 (severely abnormal MRI).

Association between US and MRI
Table 3 shows the predictive values of US group classifications for MRI group classifications. Overall, there was poor correspondence between US and MRI. Those in US groups 1 and 2 had an approximately 50% chance of being in the corresponding MRI groups. Despite poor overall correspondence, over 70% of those with major lesions on US had major lesions on MRI. There was only a 1% chance among those with a normal US of having a major lesion on MRI.

IQ
Mean IQ overall was 100 (table 4). Mean IQ in US group 1 was marginally higher than in group 2 but substantially and significantly higher than in group 3. Mean IQ in MRI group 1...
Motor function

Movement ABC tests resulted in a median overall TIS of 5.5 (table 5). Median TIS in US group 1 was not significantly different from group 2, but it was substantially and significantly different between US groups 1 and 3. In the MRI groups, median TIS between groups 1 and 2 but was significantly higher compared to group 3. For MRI groups the same pattern was seen.

Motor function

was marginally but significantly higher than in group 2, but substantially and significantly higher compared to group 3.

The proportion of children with an IQ \( \leq 85 \) was not different between US groups 1 and 2 but was significantly higher in US group 3. For MRI groups the same pattern was seen.

Motor function

Movement ABC tests resulted in a median overall TIS of 5.5 (table 5). Median TIS in US group 1 was not significantly different from group 2, but it was substantially and significantly different between US groups 1 and 3. In the MRI groups, median TIS between groups 1 and 2 but was significantly different between US and MRI groups 1 and 2. However, a significant difference between groups 1 and 3 for both US and MRI was found.

CP was present in 20 children: hemi/di/quadriplegia in six each and cerebellar ataxia in two. Only one child, with cerebellar ataxia, had a normal neonatal cranial US but a severely abnormal MRI. Of the remaining 19 children with CP, four had a mildly abnormal US (two DV, one IVH II, one IVH II with PVL I) and 15 had a severely abnormal US. Not one of the CP children had a normal MRI: three had a mildly abnormal MRI and 17 a severely abnormal MRI.

DISCUSSION

Neonatal cranial US and school age MRI were compared in 221 preterm infants. Almost half of the children with a normal US had a normal MRI, while the abnormalities seen in the other half were usually very mild. In only one case was a major lesion (cerebellar atrophy and VD) diagnosed on MRI. Of the children with minor abnormalities on US, again almost half had a normal MRI and only 3.4% had major lesions. However, over 70% of the children with major abnormalities on US had severe abnormalities on MRI. Two children with a severely abnormal cranial US (IVH III) had a completely normal school age MRI. Our data show that if cranial US is performed according to strict criteria, a normal US excludes severe lesions on later MRI in 99% of cases.

When considering these results, some matters should be taken into account. We performed a prospective, population based study in which all neonates with GA <32 weeks and/or BW <1500 g had serial cranial US examinations according to a strict protocol. The inclusion percentage was high (78%). We assessed the US according to the most severe lesions seen at any time in contrast to previous investigators who usually examined only one single US at a fixed moment.

We have recently shown that performing sequential US scans is important as localised cysts (PVL II) may take a long time to develop and may have resolved by term age. If only one US is done at term age, cystic lesions may be missed. However, slight VD, irregular ventricular walls, and long T2 suggestive of gliosis might be noticed on later MRI.

In our 221 children, we found no abnormalities on MRI in 37.6% of the cases. Skranes et al. investigated 20 very low birthweight infants when aged 6 and a normal MRI was seen in only two children. Gliotic changes and VD were both seen in 60% in contrast to 29% with gliosis and 37.7% with VD in our group. These differences might be explained by the large variations in the sizes of the study groups and the fact that Skranes et al excluded children who had a normal MRI at the age of 1 year.

Table 1  Neonatal cranial US findings in a cohort of 221 preterm infants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormality</th>
<th>US group 1, n = 96</th>
<th>US group 2, n = 89</th>
<th>US group 3, n = 36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal ventricular shape</td>
<td>50 (52.1; 41.6 to 62.4)</td>
<td>46 (51.7; 40.8 to 62.4)</td>
<td>8 (22.2; 10.1 to 39.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive gliosis</td>
<td>3 (3.4; 0.7 to 9.5)</td>
<td>3 (3.4; 0.7 to 9.5)</td>
<td>3 (3.4; 0.7 to 9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal retrochiasmatic region of the visual system</td>
<td>8 (3.6%)</td>
<td>8 (3.6%)</td>
<td>8 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  MRI findings at school age in a cohort of 221 preterm infants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormality</th>
<th>MRI group 1, n = 87</th>
<th>MRI group 2, n = 104</th>
<th>MRI group 3, n = 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal retrochiasmatic region of the visual system</td>
<td>8 (3.6%)</td>
<td>8 (3.6%)</td>
<td>8 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our data are more in agreement with two other studies. 

In the first study, 42.5% of 87 children showed abnormalities on MRI at 15–17 years of age. In the second study (n = 72), 40 children had definitely abnormal and 15 had equivocal MRI scans at 14–15 years of age. Similar to the first study, abnormalities in the white matter, the size of the lateral ventricles, and the corpus callosum were especially common. Of the 59 children with a normal or mildly abnormal neonatal US scan, 13 had equivocal and 30 definite abnormalities on MRI. Our better correlation between major US abnormalities and severe lesions on later MRI can probably be explained by the use of a mechanical sector scanner with a 7.5 MHz transducer instead of a linear array machine with a 5–7 MHz transducer, which is less well suited for the detection of lesions in the periventricular white matter.

Although a normal US almost excluded severe lesions on later MRI and a severely abnormal US correlated well with severe lesions on later MRI, there was little correspondence between normal and mildly abnormal US and MRI groups. This is most likely due to poor detection of subtle white matter lesions with cranial US. 

In a recent editorial, Volpe 

highlighted the importance of diffuse white matter injury with subsequently impaired white matter development. Infants with a normal cranial US could subsequently develop subtle gliotic changes and infants with PVL I could either normalise or show persistent changes in the white matter, which would raise the concern of neonatal US in the assessment of long-term white matter damage, both localised as well as diffuse. Of the 59 children with a normal or mildly abnormal neonatal US scan, 13 had equivocal and 30 definite abnormalities on MRI. Our study is limited in the sense that only conventional MRI techniques were used. However, our aim was to evaluate the effect of neonatal US lesions on subsequent brain development.

One of the main clinical reasons for examining the neonatal brain is to predict neuromotor development. Two parameters for outcome (IQ and motor performance) were measured in this study. Whether a child was in the normal or the mildly abnormal US group did not have an effect on mean IQ. Mean IQ was only significantly decreased with major abnormalities on US, but the range of IQ in US group 3 was considerable. MRI at school age appeared to be more accurate in the prediction of learning abilities. However, the clinical relevance of a statistically significant difference of 4 IQ points between MRI groups 1 and 2 can be questioned. There were no significant differences in the number of children with a low IQ (IQ < 85) between either US or MRI groups 1 and 2. Both the US and MRI group 3 had a significantly higher number of children with an IQ < 85.

The same pattern was seen for motor function: US group 1 did not differ in median TIS from group 2 but was significantly better than US group 3. MRI group 1 scored significantly better in median TIS compared with MRI groups 2 and 3. Although very significant as regards p value, a median TIS of 3 in MRI group 1 is similar in daily practice to a TIS of 6.25 in MRI group 2. The number of children with serious motor problems was not significantly different between US and MRI groups 1 and 2.

We conclude that a normal neonatal cranial US, if obtained in a systematic way within regular time intervals, excludes a severely abnormal MRI at school age in 99% of cases.

---

**Table 4** IQ at school age related to US and MRI groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US</th>
<th>Group 1, n = 96</th>
<th>Group 2, n = 89</th>
<th>p for difference 1–2</th>
<th>Group 3, n = 36</th>
<th>p for difference 1–3</th>
<th>All, n = 221</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IQ mean (SD)</td>
<td>102 (13)</td>
<td>101 (13)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>94 (18)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>100 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ &gt; 85, n</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ &lt; 85, n</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Group 1, n = 87</td>
<td>Group 2, n = 104</td>
<td>p for difference 1–2</td>
<td>Group 3, n = 30</td>
<td>p for difference 1–3</td>
<td>All, n = 221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ mean (SD)</td>
<td>104 (13)</td>
<td>100 (13)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>91 (16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>100 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ &gt; 85, n</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ &lt; 85, n</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 5** Movement ABC at school age related to US and MRI groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US</th>
<th>Group 1, n = 96</th>
<th>Group 2, n = 89</th>
<th>p for difference 1–2</th>
<th>Group 3, n = 36</th>
<th>p for difference 1–3</th>
<th>All, n = 221</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIS median</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>0.5–40</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min–max</td>
<td>0–36.5</td>
<td>0–40</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5–15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mov ABC &lt; p5, n</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mov ABC p5–p15, n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mov ABC &gt; p15, n</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Group 1, n = 87</td>
<td>Group 2, n = 104</td>
<td>p for difference 1–2</td>
<td>Group 3, n = 30</td>
<td>p for difference 1–3</td>
<td>All, n = 221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIS median</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min–max</td>
<td>0–21</td>
<td>0–40</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5–40</td>
<td></td>
<td>0–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mov ABC &lt; p5, n</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mov ABC p5–p15, n</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mov ABC &gt; p15, n</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mov, Movement; TIS, total impairment score.
What is already known on this topic

- Neonatal cranial ultrasound is reliable for the diagnosis of germinal matrix/intraventricular haemorrhage and cystic periventricular leucomalacia.
- Cranial ultrasound is not the best imaging technique to detect subtle white matter lesions.

What this study adds

- In a large cohort, a good correlation between severe lesions on neonatal cranial ultrasound and childhood MRI was found.
- Mild MRI abnormalities rather than mild ultrasound abnormalities were associated with poorer neurodevelopmental outcome.

However, half of the children with normal US do have minor abnormalities on MRI. MRI findings correlated better than US with mean IQ and median TIS at school age. Although significant, these differences appear not to be clinically relevant for normal and mildly abnormal MRI groups. Subtle white matter lesions are better detected with MRI, which could explain the stronger correlation of MRI with neurodevelopmental outcome.

More sophisticated MRI techniques or MRI in selected patients may show even better differentiation but further research will be needed to support this assumption.
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