Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in neonates and children
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Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) has wreaked havoc in South East Asia and other parts of the world, it appears to be a disease that predominantly affects adults. Less than 10% of those infected were children. Among the affected children, only 5% required admission to an intensive care unit, and less than 1% required mechanical ventilation. No deaths were reported among the children affected by SARS. In contrast with its adult counterpart, the clinical course of affected children is usually milder, time to resolution is shorter, and the potential of children to infect others is low. These very different features in children have led some to believe that SARS is a relatively mild disease in this age group. The following review will provide an up to date account of this novel disease in neonates and children.

Although severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) has wreaked havoc in South East Asia and other parts of the world, it appears to be a disease that predominantly affects adults. Less than 10% of those infected were children. Among the affected children, only 5% required admission to an intensive care unit, and less than 1% required mechanical ventilation. No deaths were reported among the children affected by SARS. In contrast with its adult counterpart, the clinical course of affected children is usually milder, time to resolution is shorter, and the potential of children to infect others is low. These very different features in children have led some to believe that SARS is a relatively mild disease in this age group. The following review will provide an up to date account of this novel disease in neonates and children.

Epidemiology of SARS

SARS was brought to Hong Kong in February 2003 by an infected medical doctor, the index case of this epidemic. He arrived from Guangdong province, which is situated about 100 km north of Hong Kong, and he stayed in a local hotel. It has been estimated that at least 12 guests/visitors in this hotel became infected through contact with this medical doctor directly or indirectly. The disease then spread from Hong Kong rapidly to Hanoi, Singapore, and Toronto when infected guests returned to their home countries. One of the infected visitors from the hotel was admitted to the Prince of Wales Hospital in early March with pneumonia, and he subsequently infected 138 hospital staff, patients, and visitors. The use of nebulised medications which generated a large amount of infective droplets was believed to have caused this extensive nosocomial spread of disease. The first few paediatric cases were household contacts of the initial cohort of adult patients from the hospital outbreak. The disease involving healthcare workers then spread rapidly to the community by visitors to the medical wards. The primary mode of transmission appears to be mediated through direct mucous membrane (eyes, nose, and mouth) contact with droplets and/or fomites.

Most if not all children with SARS have either been in close contact with infected adults, as a household contact or in a healthcare setting. This was believed to be the important route of transmission that put children at a particular risk. Surprisingly, in Hong Kong there had been no major spread of the disease among classmates in schools. This could be explained by the early strict hygiene precautions undertaken by schools following a large scale educational and preventive programme undertaken by the local government.

Virology of SARS

SARS is now known to be caused by a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV), and over 95% of well characterised cohorts of patients had evidence of recent SARS-CoV infection. Coinfection with human metapneumovirus or other pathogens has also been documented in a proportion of patients. Whether such coinfections contributed to enhancing the pathogenesis or transmission of the disease remains unanswered.

The genome of SARS-CoV indicates that it is a novel virus within the family of coronaviridae, a group of enveloped positive sense RNA viruses. It is not related to any of the human or animal coronaviruses known to date. Viruses closely related to SARS-CoV have recently been isolated from animals such as civet cats. It is postulated that SARS-CoV was an animal virus that had overcome the species barrier and adapted to human to human transmission. The presence of this animal reservoir may imply possible future animal to human transmission and the initiation of further disease outbreaks.
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NEWBORNS OF MOTHERS WITH SARS
During the outbreak in Hong Kong, 12 pregnant women were diagnosed to have the disease. Seven mothers presented in the first trimester between three and 12 weeks of gestation, and the rest were in their late second and third trimester. Apart from one case, all pregnant women received ribavirin and systemic corticosteroids. Six (50%) were admitted to the intensive care unit because of hypoxaemia. Four (33%) required mechanical ventilation, three of whom (25%) died from respiratory failure or nosocomial infection. Four of the seven pregnant women presenting in the first trimester had spontaneous miscarriages, and two opted for termination of pregnancies because of social reasons. The only newborn survivor in this group was born to the mother who had mild disease and did not receive antiviral or corticosteroid treatment. This infant was delivered at term, and the birth weight was appropriate for gestation. All five newborns in the second and third trimester group survived.

Four of the seven pregnant women presenting in the first trimester had spontaneous miscarriages, and two opted for termination of pregnancies because of social reasons. The only newborn survivor in this group was born to the mother who had mild disease and did not receive antiviral or corticosteroid treatment. This infant was delivered at term, and the birth weight was appropriate for gestation. All five newborns in the second and third trimester group survived.

Three were delivered during the acute phase of the illness at 26–32 weeks gestation, and the others in the convalescent phase at 33 weeks gestation and at term. None of the newborns from SARS affected mothers had clinical, laboratory, or radiological evidence suggestive of SARS-CoV infection. A thorough search for the coronavirus, including serial reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and viral cultures of blood, cerebrospinal fluid, respiratory secretions, excreta, and other body fluids, found no presence of the virus, and paired acute and convalescent sera also did not show a significant (fourfold) increase in titres. The five newborn infants whose mothers received ribavirin, a potentially teratogenic agent, did not develop any major congenital malformations. An important observation from our cohort of newborns of SARS affected mothers is that the three infants delivered soon after the mothers presented with respiratory symptoms had appropriate birth weight for gestation, whereas the infants from the two pregnancies that did not require early obstetric intervention developed oligohydramnios and severe intrauterine growth retardation. These complications could be related to prolonged usage of high dose systemic corticosteroids or antiviral agents and/or the impact of a severe maternal debilitating illness on normal fetal growth. It was, however, unlikely that the manifestations were secondary to transplacental SARS-CoV infection, as no virus or viral particles were demonstrated in the products of conception. The severe gastrointestinal complications, necrotising enterocolitis and jejunal perforation, observed in the preterm infants are worrying. Although these findings may have been coincidental, it could be associated with maternal hypoxic-ischaemic insult and/or the use of high dose antenatal corticosteroids, which may have crossed the placenta, or postnatal prostaglandin modulating drugs such as indomethacin, which caused disruption of the gastrointestinal mucosal integrity.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION OF SARS IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS
The incubation period of SARS was 2–10 days, and the mean has been estimated to be 6.4 days (95% confidence interval 5.2 to 7.7). The mean time from onset of clinical symptoms to hospital admission was 3–5 days (e-SARS database, Hospital Authority, HKSAR, data on file). The ratio of infected young children (<12 years of age) to adolescents (12–18 years of age) was 1:2. Both sexes were equally affected. The overall attack rate was estimated to be 8.9 cases per 100 000 children (<18 years). Table 1 summarises the frequency of common presenting symptoms from several paediatric series. The predominant and most consistent symptom was fever, which was present in most of the patients (>90%) diagnosed to have SARS. Other symptoms include coryza and cough. Chills, rigor, myalgia, and malaise, which are common in adult patients, were also present in older children and adolescents, but were rare in young children. Some patients, adults and children alike, presented with diarrhoea. Young children appeared to have milder disease with a shorter time to resolution, whereas the course of disease in older children resembled that of adults. Physical examination at presentation was normal in almost all young children, whereas inspiratory crepitations over the lung bases were present in some adolescent and adult patients.

The typical clinical course of adult patients with SARS was described as following a triphasic pattern. Phase 1 was the viral replication phase characterised by fever, myalgia, and other constitutional symptoms. This phase was associated with an increase in body viral load. It was transient and the symptoms were expected to improve after a few days. Phase 2 was the immunopathological phase and characterised by persistent or recurrent fever, oxygen desaturation, and radiological progression of bronchopneumonia at the time when the body viral load was expected to fall. The clinical deterioration was postulated to be mediated by an exaggerated host immunological response to the virus.
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Macrophages.21 Interferon-cytokine, was substantially upregulated, suggesting selective prolonged activated partial thromboplastin time, and raised D-intravascular coagulation, including thrombocytopenia, prolymphocytes. Other features of low grade disseminated illness.16–18 20 It was due to destruction of CD4 and CD8 affected children.16–18 20

The chest radiograph revealed bilateral consolidation of the lower lobes This 14 year old girl with severe acute respiratory syndrome presented with fever, chills, and myalgia. The high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) scan of the right lower lobe.

Figure 1 This 12 year old girl with severe acute respiratory syndrome presented with fever, unproductive cough, and constitutional symptoms. The chest radiograph revealed bilateral consolidation of the lower lobes on day 6 after the onset of fever.

Figure 2 This 14 year old girl with severe acute respiratory syndrome presented with fever, chills, and myalgia. The high resolution computed tomography scan of the thorax on day 4 after the onset of fever showed multifocal areas of mixed ground glass opacification and consolidation in the left lower lobe. There was another smaller area of opacification in the right lower lobe.

Clinical and radiological diagnosis and rapid diagnostic test

As the presentation of SARS was non-specific and often indistinguishable from other childhood infections, the diagnosis was often difficult unless there was a clear history of contact with an infected patient. The Centers for Disease Control and World Health Organisation had promulgated a case definition for SARS. These definitions and criteria, although applicable to both adults and children, were based mainly on adult experience.24 The case definition was useful in guiding clinicians in decision making about treatment. However, as the early symptoms of children affected with SARS were very similar to those of other forms of upper or lower respiratory tract infections, the decision on admission as to whether to isolate and how to treat children presenting with fever but without a definite contact history remained difficult.1 Sometimes even the contact history can be misleading. In our hospital, we came across two children who presented with symptoms suggestive of SARS and a definite contact history, but were later diagnosed to have bacterial sepsicaemia.25 The World Health Organisation had subsequently modified the definition of a probable case to include a suspected case of SARS that was positive for SARS coronavirus.

Early chest radiological findings in paediatric patients may be normal or share features of bronchopneumonia common to other respiratory viruses.1 16–18 20 26 The characteristic feature of pulmonary SARS-CoV infection was patchy airspace consolidation predominantly located at the periphery of the lungs and in the lower lobes (fig 1).26 Other pathologies such as linear atelectasis, peribronchial thickening, ground glass opacities, and focal/multifocal consolidations had been observed,26 but radiological features typical of lobar pneumonia or pleural effusion were usually absent.16–18 20 High resolution computed tomography (HRCT) was found to be more sensitive than chest X-rays for the diagnosis of SARS.

Slightly raised lactate dehydrogenase concentration was encountered in 80% of the paediatric cases.1 20 Other abnormal biochemical indices such as raised serum alanine aminotransferase and creatine kinase concentrations were less often observed.16–18 20 Circulating bilirubin concentration was not affected. Adolescents with severe clinical signs and symptoms had more deranged biochemical indices, and these variables remained increased for a longer duration.27

Chemokines and proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines were longitudinally monitored in a small group of paediatric patients.21 22 Interleukin 1β, a proinflammatory cytokine, was substantially upregulated, suggesting selective activation of the caspase-1 dependent pathway in infected macrophages.21 Interferon-γ-inducible protein-10 (IP-10) and monokine induced by interferon-γ (MIG) were also appreciably increased at the acute phase of the infection.22 Other important proinflammatory cytokines, interleukin 6 and tumour necrosis factor α, were, however, only slightly increased.21 In contrast, circulating concentrations of RANTES (regulated upon activation normal T cell expressed and secreted) remained within the normal range throughout the clinical course.22 These results suggest that SARS-CoV predominantly induces type 1 T helper lymphocyte mediated immune response, which can promote effective viral clearance but may have relatively little influence on immunologically mediated allergic reaction such as hyper-reactive bronchoconstriction. In addition, proteomic analysis of serum samples of paediatric patients with SARS showed the presence of unique signatures, which were increased within the first week of infection, decreased on recovery, and were positively correlated with SARS-CoV viral load.21 These results could be used to develop a quick “Protein Chip” assay for detection and monitoring of patients with SARS.

Clinical and radiological diagnosis and rapid diagnostic test

As the presentation of SARS was non-specific and often indistinguishable from other childhood infections, the diagnosis was often difficult unless there was a clear history of contact with an infected patient. The Centers for Disease Control and World Health Organisation had promulgated a case definition for SARS. These definitions and criteria, although applicable to both adults and children, were based mainly on adult experience.24 The case definition was useful in guiding clinicians in decision making about treatment. However, as the early symptoms of children affected with SARS were very similar to those of other forms of upper or lower respiratory tract infections, the decision on admission as to whether to isolate and how to treat children presenting with fever but without a definite contact history remained difficult.1 Sometimes even the contact history can be misleading. In our hospital, we came across two children who presented with symptoms suggestive of SARS and a definite contact history, but were later diagnosed to have bacterial sepsicaemia.25 The World Health Organisation had subsequently modified the definition of a probable case to include a suspected case of SARS that was positive for SARS coronavirus.

Early chest radiological findings in paediatric patients may be normal or share features of bronchopneumonia common to other respiratory viruses.1 16–18 20 26 The characteristic feature of pulmonary SARS-CoV infection was patchy airspace consolidation predominantly located at the periphery of the lungs and in the lower lobes (fig 1).26 Other pathologies such as linear atelectasis, peribronchial thickening, ground glass opacities, and focal/multifocal consolidations had been observed,26 but radiological features typical of lobar pneumonia or pleural effusion were usually absent.16–18 20 High resolution computed tomography (HRCT) scan of the
The diagnostic capability of conventional RT-PCR for detecting SARS-CoV was low during the first week of illness. Its overall sensitivity in nasopharyngeal aspirate specimens and stool samples was estimated to be about 50% in paediatric patients. A one step, real time RT-PCR has been developed for the quantitative measurement of viral RNA in plasma. This test detected SARS-CoV RNA in all the cases of paediatric patients studied. The high sensitivity and quick turnaround time (four to six hours) make it a potentially useful rapid diagnostic tool for clinical practice. Paired acute and convalescent serology for SARS-CoV was useful for confirming the infection (seroconversion or fourfold increase in antibody titres) and for seroepidemiological survey but not in the initial triaging phase of suspected patients.

INFECTION CONTROL, TREATMENTS, AND ADVERSE EFFECTS

The management of paediatric patients with SARS consists of effective triaging of inpatients, stringent infection control measures, and treatment of SARS-CoV infection. Effective triaging of febrile patients and patients with respiratory symptoms is essential to prevent cross infection among inpatients. As the initial presenting features of the disease are often non-specific and do not allow an easy and reliable differentiation between SARS and non-SARS cases, the triaging process relies mainly on contact history, presence or absence of fever, and radiological features of bronchopneumonia. Our group has also found that raised serum lactate dehydrogenase concentration in the presence of low neutrophil count and serum creatine phosphokinase concentration at presentation indicated an increased likelihood of SARS infection in young children. Theoretically, it is best to isolate each suspected case in a negative pressure single room. However, in the absence of such facilities in most acute hospitals, different categories of patients are required to be cohorted into separate groups. The ultimate organisation of the cohorting process depends on the geographical configuration of the paediatric ward and neonatal unit. Parental visiting should be restricted for children with fever, and no visiting should be allowed for suspected and probable cases of SARS. Daily telephone calls and images of children in hospital delivered by electronic mail or real time videophone to parents greatly enhance the communication between patients, family members, and hospital staff.

In principle, all infection control measures are targeted at preventing fomite, droplet, and aerosol spread of the virus. Designated “gown-up” and “gown-down” areas should be set up within the high risk areas. A protective outfit, including water resistant gowns, disposable gloves, and particulate respirators (N95 masks), must be worn in these wards. In addition, goggles and face shields are recommended for performing high risk procedures such as collection of potentially contaminated specimens, manipulation of airway or tracheal intubation, and attending delivery of febrile patients. The use of high airflow equipment such as mechanical respirators and suction catheters should ideally be accompanied by an efficient closed circuit scavenger system incorporated with viral filters. However, apparatus without the scavenger system—for example, nebuliser, oxygen mask, and nasal continuous positive airway pressure system—should not be used outside the incubator or in an open ward. Importantly, strict enforcement of hand hygiene is vital to minimise nosocomial spread of the virus.

As the pathogenesis and the underlying immunological response of this newly identified virus have not been fully elucidated, the treatment of SARS-CoV infection has not been standardised in paediatric or adult patients. It is important not to miss any potentially treatable but life threatening bacterial infection or atypical pneumonia. Children with fever and pneumonia should be covered with broad spectrum antibiotics such as a third generation cephalosporin and a macrolide. In principle, antiviral therapy should be used in the viral replication phase (phase 1), and immunomodulating therapy in the immunopathological phase (phase 2) of the disease. Ribavirin was empirically prescribed during the outbreak, but subsequently found to be ineffective against SARS-CoV in an in vitro study. Systemic corticosteroids may be tried in children with progressive pulmonary disease in the second phase. A close temporal relation has been observed between clinical and radiological improvement and the use of intravenous pulsed methylprednisolone in seriously affected children. Although anecdotal experience supports the use of systemic corticosteroids in severe cases, this category of drug has been associated with major adverse effects, such as osteonecrosis of long bones, and life threatening nosocomial infection. The current data on cytokines in both paediatric and adult patients with SARS do not support the use of tumour necrosis factor α monoclonal antibody for treatment. Lopinavir/ritonavir and integrative Chinese/Western medicine in subsequent retrospective analysis were found to be associated with clinical improvement, but further randomised placebo controlled trials are needed to substantiate their effects.

OUTCOME AND PROGNOSIS

Despite the fact that SARS in pregnancy is associated with a high incidence of spontaneous miscarriage, preterm delivery, intrauterine growth retardation, and severe gastrointestinal morbidity, there has been no evidence to suggest that the virus is transmitted transplacentally from mother to the newborn. More importantly, there have been no deaths of young children and adolescents. It has now been accepted that young children (<12 years of age) run a less aggressive clinical course than adolescents and adults. It has also been suggested that paediatric patients with severe disease often have more prolonged and deranged haematological and biochemical indices. Sore throat and peak neutrophil count >10 × 10⁹/L are independent risk factors associated with a severe disease. Adolescent patients are also more likely to receive pulsed methylprednisolone treatment.

Most children with SARS recovered quickly from the infection. A follow up study on pulmonary function showed that all children were clinically asymptomatic six months after the acute illness. About 10% of children had a mild obstructive or restrictive defect on lung function assessment, but substantially more patients (34%) had abnormal HRCT scan of the thorax. These abnormalities included ground glass opacification (11%), air trapping (17%), or a combination of these lesions (6%). The need for oxygen supplementation and lymphopenia were significant risk factors in predicting these radiological changes. The abnormalities were more prevalent in children with severe disease. Our group also found that children recovered from SARS have lower peak exercise oxygen consumption than age and sex matched normal controls six months after the disease. The reduction in exercise capacity was significantly greater in the group with persistent HRCT changes than in those whose HRCT had
shown complete resolution (unpublished data). Other adverse outcomes of SARS and/or side effects of treatment included vague muscle weakness, diffuse hair loss, visual or auditory hallucination, decreased attention span, forgetfulness, mood depression, and emotional liability. Whether such abnormalities will persist and other sequelae, especially psychobehavioural, have yet to emerge can only be answered by following up these patients and performing comprehensive assessments on a regular basis.

SUMMARY

- Vertical transmission of SARS-CoV from infected mothers to their newborns has not been observed.
- Young children (<12 years) tend to have a milder disease. In contrast, adolescents (13–17 years) have more constitutional features and could run a severe clinical course resembling that of adult patients. No deaths have been reported in paediatric patients affected with SARS.
- A definitive contact history is the most important and reliable predictor of SARS.
- The diagnostic value of conventional RT-PCR assay has been disappointing. One step, real time quantitative RT-PCR measurement of SARS-CoV RNA in plasma may potentially be developed as a rapid test for diagnosis of SARS.
- The treatment recommendation is based on our previous experience and modelling of the adult regimen. Randomised placebo controlled trials are needed to formulate standardised treatment for paediatric patients with SARS-CoV infection.
- A proportion of patients have residual radiological changes despite relatively normal lung function and clinical remission. Reduced exercise capacity six months after the acute disease was documented. Longer term follow up is required to assess progression of these abnormalities.
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