Medication errors are quite common in the neonatal intensive care unit

Medication errors are a common occurrence in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Although this high risk, fragile patient population is prone to a wide array of errors, medication errors are particularly common. Medication errors were the most common error type submitted to the Vermont Oxford Network’s NICQ.org voluntary reporting system. Kaushal and colleagues identified errors in 5.5% of NICU medication orders. Of note, potential adverse drug events (errors that had the potential to harm the patient but were intercepted, or potentially harmful errors that reached the patient but fortuitously did not result in injury) occurred eight times more often in NICU patients than in adults in hospital. Neonates, especially very low birthweight babies, are particularly vulnerable to adverse sequelae of medication errors as they have a limited ability to “buffer” such mistakes.

Nursing practice has long recognized the need for extreme vigilance and a structured approach to preventing medication errors. The five “Rights” provide a framework for improving medication safety in nursing. These basic principles of standard operating procedure try to address all of the steps in the medication process: ordering, dispensing, administering, and monitoring drugs. Nurses attempt to ensure that the Right drug is given in the Right dose at the Right interval via the Right route to the Right patient.

Although nurses focus on providing error-free care, research into human factors teaches us that dedication, training, and vigilance are not enough to prevent errors in complex systems. Error prevention must be a multidisciplinary process, involving doctors, pharmacists, and nurses working as a team. The team must be backed up by robust healthcare delivery systems operating in a “culture of safety”, providing staff with a working environment that provides safeguards against human fallibility. Nowadays in the hospital is the challenge greater than in the NICU.

The repertoire of drugs prescribed in the NICU is relatively limited compared with adult and older paediatric populations, but the process of ordering, dispensing, and administering them is more complex in newborns. The process for ordering drugs in the NICU is uniquely complex; more than one quarter of medication errors occurred during this stage. As doses are calculated according to the infant’s weight, virtually all prescriptions require patient specific calculations and may need to be updated as the infant gains or loses weight. Weight and gestational age are not the only factors that need to be considered. For premature infants, doses must also be modified on the basis of the developmental maturity of specific metabolic and excretory pathways.

Drugs prescribed in the NICU are often used in an off label or unlicensed fashion. As a result, no comprehensive and authoritative standards for doses exist. Therefore, clinicians are often confronted by a dizzying array of published reference standards for a single drug. Recommendations are surprisingly variable even for drugs that have been studied in neonates and approved for use by the Food and Drug Administration. For example, widely used references in the United States suggest total daily ampicillin doses that vary by a factor of 3–4 for the same 1 kg patient. Certainly, for a drug with a wide therapeutic index, this difference may not be clinically significant. However, the lack of a single dosing standard within a hospital can complicate the development of error reduction strategies in which doctors, nurses, and pharmacists verify doses.

NICU drug dispensing is also complex. Pharmacists often have to dilute stock solutions in order to provide doses that are extremely minute compared with adult standards. In this issue of the journal, Chappell and Newman document the potential for 10–100-fold dosing errors associated with the use of stock drug concentrations intended primarily for use in adults. Of particular concern is the fact that three of 10 drugs at risk of 10-fold dosing errors and all four at risk of 100-fold errors are high alert drugs as defined by the Institute for Safe Medication Practice. Even more alarming is the fact that these decimal point errors represent only a portion of the calculation errors that can complicate the ordering and preparation process.

Errors in the route of administration of drugs and enteral nutrition are also common, complicated 13.3% of potentially harmful medication errors seen in two NICUs in the United States. In another report, Suresh and colleagues noted potentially very serious administration errors, such as infants fed expressed breast milk intravenously. Unlike adult care units, enteral feeding tubes and intravenous lines are often of the same calibre and appearance and have hubs of similar size. This type of error could be prevented by adopting administration systems with “forcing functions” that prevent feeding pumps and syringes from being attached to intravenous lines. Regrettably, these systems are not in widespread use in NICUs, in part because of incompatibilities with existing equipment and workflow processes.

Finally, patient misidentification occurs commonly in the NICU. One quarter of the serious medication errors reported in this issue by Simpson et al involved patient misidentification. Similarly, Suresh et al found that 11% of NICU errors involved misidentification. The increasing incidence of multiple gestations with premature births is in part responsible for these errors, but suboptimal systems for identifying babies contribute to the problem. Analyses by the Center for Patient Safety in NICU care suggest that as many as one half of infants in the NICU are at risk of misidentification on any given day (unpublished work).

The patient safety movement has highlighted numerous approaches to preventing medication errors, but which interventions have the potential to have the greatest impact? Fortescue and colleagues have identified three interventions with the largest potential to decrease NICU medication errors: ward based clinical pharmacists, computerized physician order entry (CPOE), and improved communication among NICU clinicians.

The involvement of clinical pharmacists in intensive care units significantly reduces dosing and other types of error in adult care. In this issue, Simpson et al conclude that similar improvements can be achieved through the input of an NICU based clinical pharmacist. Although their data are encouraging, confidence in their
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of the dam as well as the brain of the suckling pups, suggesting that adequate intake of taurine was important for maintaining brain taurine content.

Shortly after the observation that taurine deficiency in cats resulted in retinal degeneration, evidence that taurine may be a conditionally essential nutrient for the human infant began appearing. The first such evidence came from a study in Scandinavia showing that plasma and urinary taurine concentrations of formula fed infants were lower than those of infants fed human milk, whereas the plasma and urinary concentrations of all other amino acids were higher in formula fed infants.6,9 This was attributed to the presence of taurine in human milk but not formulas. Subsequently, it was shown that prolonged taurine-free parenteral nutrition resulted in retinal degeneration that was reversed with taurine supplementation.10 Retinal abnormalities were also found in primates fed a taurine-free infant formula.11

On the basis of these findings, taurine was added to most infant formulas by the early to mid 1980s. The only randomised controlled trial of taurine supplementation was started before its routine addition to formulas but terminated for ethical reasons after 37 rather than the planned 50 infants were enrolled. Nonetheless, preterm infants assigned to the taurine supplemented formula had a more mature auditory brain stem evoked response than those assigned to the taurine-free formula.12 However, no differences in electroretinograms or Brazelton scores were detected. Infants fed taurine supplemented formulas also have a bile salt conjugation pattern more like that of breast fed infants as well as a larger bile salt pool, but reported effects on fat absorption have been mixed.13–15

Owing to the relative lack of evidence that taurine supplementation of infant formulas has beneficial clinical effects, recent recommendations for the nutrient contents of term infant formulas do not include a minimum content of taurine.16 However, as formulas have contained taurine for almost two decades and these seem to be well tolerated, a maximum amount (12 mg/100 kcal) is specified. This is near the maximum content observed in human milk and adds about 25% more than the content of modern formulas. A minimum content of taurine (5 mg/100 kcal) is specified for preterm infant formulas but without much enthusiasm.17

The findings of Wharton et al.,18 reported in this issue, suggest that the recommendations for taurine content of infant formulas should be reconsidered. These findings suggest that low plasma taurine concentration during the hospital stay may explain the paradox of higher developmental scores at 18 months19 and 7 years of age20 in preterm infants assigned to a nutrient enriched compared with a term formula during initial hospital admission but similar scores in infants assigned to banked human milk compared with the nutrient enriched formula despite the fact that the nutrient density of the banked human milk was even lower than that of the term formula.18 Although the possibility that the paradoxical neurodevelopmental outcomes were related to taurine intake during infancy was suggested in reviews by Sturman and Chesney in 199522 and Chesney et al.18,23 Wharton et al.18 provide the first indication that this explanation may be valid. They show that the Bayley mental developmental index at 18 months of age and the WISC-R arithmetic subtest score at 7 years of age are correlated with plasma taurine concentrations during infancy. They also report that the positive association of neurodevelopment with own mother’s milk23 was not significant after plasma taurine concentration had been allowed for. These findings are attributed to the presence of taurine in the preterm formula and human milk but not in the term formula.

As the authors emphasise, these findings are far from robust. Firstly, they are not derived from a randomised, controlled trial but, rather, from a retrospective analysis of existing data. Secondly, the strength of the reported relations is modest (r = 0.28 and 0.22). Nonetheless, they support the hypothesis that lower plasma taurine concentration adversely affects later neurodevelopment of preterm infants and that the neurodevelopmental advantage of human milk may be related to its taurine content.

Thus the new data provide further support for the view that taurine is a conditionally essential nutrient for the preterm infant. They also provide an additional example of apparent long term effects of short term early differences in nutrient intake.

The findings of Wharton et al. also present a quandary. Randomised, controlled trials of taurine supplementation for both preterm and term infants should clearly be the next step, but would either trial now be ethical? Like many other issues in neonatal nutrition and, indeed, all of clinical medicine, it is unlikely that the role of taurine in infant nutrition will ever be evaluated in a randomised controlled trial.
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