Postnatal growth retardation: a universal problem in preterm infants
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Background: Previous data from this unit suggest that postnatal growth retardation (PGR) is inevitable in preterm infants. However, the study was performed in a single level III neonatal intensive care unit and applicability of the findings to other level III or level I–II special care baby units was uncertain.

Objectives: To examine postnatal hospital growth and to compare growth outcome in preterm infants discharged from four level III tertiary care units and 10 level I–II special care baby units in the former Northern Region of the United Kingdom.

Subjects/methods: Preterm infants (<32 weeks gestation; <1500 g) surviving to discharge were studied. Infants were weighed at birth and discharge. Body weight was converted into a z score using the British Foundation Growth Standards. To ascertain the degree of PGR, the z score at birth was subtracted from the z score at discharge. Data were evaluated using a combination of split plot (level III v I–II = main factor; individual centre = subfactor) and stepwise regression analyses. Results were considered significant at p < 0.05.

Results: A total of 659 (level III, n = 335; level I–II, n = 324) infants were admitted over a 24 month period (January 1998–December 1999). No differences were detected in birth characteristics, CRIB score (a measure of illness in the first 24 hours of life), length of hospital stay, weight gain, weight at discharge, or degree of PGR between infants discharged from level III and level I–II units. Significant variation was noted in length of hospital stay (−35%; p < 0.001), weight gain (−33%; p < 0.001), weight at discharge (−20%; p < 0.001), and degree of PGR (−20%; p < 0.001) between the level III units. Even greater variability was noted in the duration of hospital stay (−40%; p < 0.001), weight gain (−60%; p < 0.001), weight at discharge (−40%, p < 0.001), and degree of PGR (−30%, p < 0.001) between the level I–II units.

Conclusions: These data stress the variable but universal nature of PGR in preterm infants discharged from level III and I–II neonatal intensive care units and raise important questions about nutritional support of these infants before and after hospital discharge.
babies (CRIB) score (an index of severity of illness in the first few days of life), date of hospital discharge, and weight at hospital discharge were collected.

To control for variation in gestational and postnatal age, body weight was converted into a z score using the British Foundation Growth Standards. To ascertain the degree of postnatal malnutrition, the z score at birth was subtracted from the z score at discharge. Data were evaluated using a combination of analysis of variance, split plot (level I–II v III = main factor; individual centre = subfactor), and stepwise regression analyses. Results were considered significant at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

For ease of presentation, the results are expressed as mean plus or minus one standard deviation. Between 1 January 1998 and 31 December 1999, 659 infants (322 girls, 337 boys) were discharged from the level III (n = 335) and level I–II (n = 324) units. Table 1 presents a comparison of the two populations. No differences were detected in birth weights, z score for weight at birth, gestational age at birth, or CRIB score between the two study populations. No differences were detected in duration of hospital stay, weight gain, weight at discharge, gestational age at discharge, z score for weight at discharge, or changes in z score between level III and I–II centres.

Figure 1 shows a comparison of infants discharged from the level III centres. Birth weight (1260 (322) to 1427 (299); p < 0.05; fig 1A) and gestational age at birth (29.6 (2.6) to 30.5 (2.2); p < 0.05; fig 1B) but not z score for weight at birth (−0.52 (1.3) to −0.32 (1.4) SD) or CRIB score (2.2 (3.1) to 2.4 (2.8)) varied somewhat between the units.

The duration of hospital stay (39 (18) to 55 (27) days; p < 0.005), weight gain during hospital stay (18 (7) to 24 (9) g/day; p < 0.0001), weight at discharge (2073 (442) to 2540 (597) g; p < 0.0001; fig 1A), gestational age at discharge (36.5 (1.7) to 37.5 (2.1) weeks; p < 0.001; fig 1B), and change in z score between birth and discharge (−0.5 (0.7) to −1.0 (0.9) SD; p < 0.0005; fig 1C) also varied between the level III centres.

Figure 2 shows a comparison of infants discharged from the level I–II centres. Birth weight (1249 (296) to 1438 (364) g), gestational age at birth (29.6 (1.8) to 30.2 (2.1) weeks), z score for birth (−0.4 (1.3) to −0.8 (1.0)) and CRIB score (2.2 (3.2) to 3.3 (3.6)) did not vary significantly between the units.

Yet, the duration of hospital stay (43 (17) to 63 (49) days; p < 0.01), weight gain during hospital stay (15 (6) to 24 (5) g/day; p < 0.001), weight at discharge (1858 (251) to 2621 (927) g; p < 0.001; fig 2A), gestational age at discharge (36.1 (2.8) to 37.7 (3.4) weeks, p < 0.0005; fig 2B), and change in z scores (−0.46 (0.75) to −1.3 (1.0) SD; p < 0.005; fig 2C) varied widely between the level I–II units.

Stepwise regression analysis indicated that variation in z score at birth, gestational age at birth, and CRIB score accounted for −45% of the variation in change in z score between birth and discharge (p < 0.001), irrespective of whether an infant was discharged home from a level III or I–II unit.

DISCUSSION

No differences were detected in birth characteristics, duration of hospital stay, or growth between infants discharged from level III and I–II units. This, perhaps, is surprising. Neonatal intensive care in the Northern Region is regionally delivered with high risk or sick infants transferred, either in utero or postnatally, from a level I–II to a level III unit, but as soon as it is clinically indicated infants are transferred back to the local I–II unit. The distinction between infants discharged from level III and I–II units therefore may have been more perceived than real.

Variation in postnatal growth between the four level III units is partly explained by differences in birth weight, z score, and gestational age at birth. The unit with the lowest birth weight and gestational age and z score at birth had the longest hospital stay and were heaviest at discharge (x; fig 1A). Increased length of stay partly explains increased weight at discharge because the longer a preterm infant formula is fed, the greater the weight gain and body weight at discharge. However, it is unlikely to explain the −200% difference in change in z score between birth and discharge between the level III units (fig. 1C).

Table 1 Characteristics of infants discharged from level III and I–II units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Level III (n = 324)</th>
<th>Level I–II (n = 324)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>1324 (320)</td>
<td>1339 (324)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (w)</td>
<td>30 (2.5)</td>
<td>30 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z score at birth</td>
<td>−0.44 (1.4)</td>
<td>−0.29 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIB score</td>
<td>2.3 (3.0)</td>
<td>2.7 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain (g/day)</td>
<td>20 (7)</td>
<td>20 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>49 (28)</td>
<td>51 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation at discharge</td>
<td>37 (3.2)</td>
<td>37 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z score at discharge</td>
<td>−1.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>−1.2 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall in z score</td>
<td>−0.72 (0.86)</td>
<td>−0.86 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

CRIB, Clinical risk index for babies.
We have previously shown that ~55% of variation in postnatal growth can be related to energy and protein intakes. In this study it was not possible to collect intake data in such a large group of infants (n = 659). It also was not possible to control for differences in discharge policies between the units. Nonetheless, if feeding practices and therefore nutrient intake varied, it would at least partly explain the wide variation in postnatal growth within the level III and I–II units. A further study is needed to examine this issue.

Nevertheless, the results of this study are important. Poor neonatal growth in preterm infants is directly related to poor growth at 1 and 3 years of age and poor neurodevelopmental outcome. Recent studies suggest that growth can be improved by feeding nutrient enriched infant formulas to preterm infants after hospital discharge. Yet, such formulas are not readily available and/or fed to preterm infants after hospital discharge. Data from this study stress the universal nature of early postnatal growth retardation and the need for specialised nutritional support in these high risk infants during the first year of life.
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