Early developmental care for preterm neonates: a call for more research
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Developmental care is the use of a range of medical and nursing interventions to decrease the stress of preterm neonates in neonatal intensive care units. This article reviews the theory underlying such interventions and research based data in different scientific fields, including neuroscience, developmental and family psychology, medicine, and nursing. The conclusion is that more research is needed.

Perinatal mortality has dramatically decreased during the last decade in developed countries as the result of various improvements: in utero transfer, antenatal steroids, surfactant administration, ventilatory support. The survival rates of very low birthweight (VLBW) infants are reported to be 85%. However, pulmonary morbidity and neurodevelopmental outcome remain two major issues of concern. Using the 1980 WHO definition of disability, follow up studies of VLBW infants have reported the incidence of disability as 15–25%. A recent meta-analysis revealed that, at school age, cognitive scores of former VLBW infants are approximately 10 points lower than those of matched control children because of difficulties with attention, behaviour, visual-motor integration, and language performance.

The following biological factors have been consistently found to be related to mortality and morbidity: gestational age, birth weight, sex, premature rupture of membranes, maternal sepsis, brain white matter injury. The effect of environmental factors on neurodevelopmental outcome has been pointed out. Most studies have focused on family, educational, and economic background but the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) environment and early practices need more attention than previously granted.

Developmental care is an approach that uses a range of medical and nursing interventions that aim to decrease the stress of preterm neonates in NICUs. These interventions are designed to allow optimal neurobehavioral development of the infant. A variety of approaches are used: control of environmental stressors known to cause physiological and behavioural disorganisation—for example, light and sound; scheduling of care giving; integration of parents; specific supportive behavioural techniques such as non-nutritive sucking, opportunities for grasping, swaddling and general motor containment; kangaroo care. Interventions such as these may be integrated into an individualised approach known as the Neonatal individualised developmental care and assessment programme (NIDCAP).

Developmental care has been described as an innovative strategy by some, but interpreted as useless therapy by others. As with every new intervention, developmental care requires a rigorous scientific evaluation before routine use. This article will review the theoretical framework underlying developmental care interventions and research based data in different scientific fields, including neuroscience, developmental and family psychology, medicine, and nursing.

BRAIN DEVELOPMENT

At about 24 weeks gestation, neuronal proliferation has just been completed in the ventricular zone of the germinal matrix. Migration of neurones under the guidance of radial glia cells to the cortical layers is in its final stages. Some forms of astrocytes destined for the white matter and the subcortical plate are derived from these radial glial cells, which may have a role in further guiding axonal connections. During this period, myelination begins. Formation and elimination of synapses happen in parallel with selective programmed cell death (apoptosis). In the macaque monkey, as many as 40 000 new synapses are formed every second in the visual cortex alone. It has been calculated that up to 70% of the neurones in the human cortex undergo apoptosis between the 28th week of gestation and term.

This wiring of neuronal circuits is regulated by endogenous factors (”neurogenetic determinism”), as well as by sensory input and experience (”epigenetic influences”). In animal models, early abnormal sensory input permanently affects the wiring of neuronal networks and their mode of functioning, as well as neonatal behaviour, if it occurs during the critical period. For instance, experiences of pain during the neonatal period have been linked with long lasting accentuated stress responses, altered neural circuits, learning deficits, and behavioural changes in rodents. Chronic suppression of rapid eye movement sleep in the newborn rat results in behavioural changes in the adult and reduced cerebral cortical size.
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THE HUMAN PRETERM NEONATE

In the light of these patterns of neural development during critical periods, it could be speculated that development of the human neonatal brain may be negatively influenced by conventional, noxious NICU environments and practices.20–22 Sources of stress in the NICU include painful events, medical and nursing procedures, and the physical environment.23 One study reported that during a 24 hour observation period, VLBW infants were handled on average more than 200 times.24 Three out of four hypoxaemic episodes in preterm infants have been reported to be associated with the care giving itself,25 and increased concentrations of stress hormones have been observed in association with routine nursing procedures.26

VLBW infants are at high risk of receiving noxious stimulation. Such premature newborns are reported to show hypersensitivity and allodynia, defined as “pain due to a stimulus that does not normally provoke pain”.27 They also have greater difficulty in maintaining alertness and require more help to regain motor and states stability than full term infants.28 29 Preterm infants are also less responsive to interaction than full term infants and show lower levels of behavioural response. As it is more difficult to observe and interpret their signals, parents and care givers may find these children more unpredictable.30

The important role of the family in caring for VLBW infants has also been emphasised,31 and the concept of family centred care has been strongly advocated.32 Minde and collaborators33 have shown that neonatal illness may have negative effects on parent–infant interactions. In a study of preterm infants of socially underprivileged mothers, Parker et al34 found fewer behavioural problems and better mental development among the children involved in a mother centred developmental care intervention. Optimal school outcome among former preterm children has been shown to be more strongly associated with stability of family composition and level of parental education than with medical complications.35

NICU ENVIRONMENT

The conventional NICU environment has been characterised as having constantly high light and sound levels without day–night differentiation.21 The long term impact of NICU environment related sensory input is only beginning to be studied. In its Fifth Consensus Conference on NICU Design (2002) based on clinical experience and updated research findings, the US Committee to Establish Recommended Standards for Newborn ICU Design recommends:36

- adjustable ambient lighting levels within a range of 10–600 lux;
- separate procedural lighting available at each infant’s care station;
- at least one source of daylight with shading devices;
- a combination of continuous background sound and transient sound not exceeding an hourly mean of 50 dB;
- maximum transient sounds not exceeding 70 dB.

DEMONSTRATED IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENTAL CARE

Non-nutritive sucking

Non-nutritive sucking has been used during gavage feedings and in the transition from gavage to breast/bottle feeding. The rationale for this intervention is that non-nutritive sucking facilitates the development of sucking behaviour and improves digestion of enteral feeds. A Cochrane meta-analysis including 20 studies, of which 14 had a randomised controlled design, states positive impact on tube to bottle transition, behaviour (improvement of sleep states, decreased stress behaviour during gavage feeding), and length of hospital stay.26 The routine use of this easy and inexpensive technique is highly recommended in support of successful feeding.

Massage

Positive effects of massages have been reported to include increased daily weight gain, improved behavioural development, and shortened hospital stay. Nevertheless, the limited methodological quality of these studies according to the meta-analysis by Vickers et al27 does not permit a definitive conclusion or recommendation for routine use. In very small, fragile infants in particular, massage could have a detrimental effect on autonomic stability.

Kangaroo care

Kangaroo mother care is defined as skin to skin contact with the baby held close to the mother’s chest, frequent and nearly exclusive breast feeding, and early discharge from hospital. This approach has been proposed as an alternative or complement to conventional neonatal care for preterm neonates. A positive effect has been reported, including improved growth and breast feeding rate and reduced nosocomial infections.38 However, most trials have been conducted in developing countries, and the results may not have the same relevance in countries where high technology neonatal care is more widely available.39

Specific aspects of prevention and treatment of pain

Behavioural and environmental strategies for pain prevention and treatment, often referred to as “non-pharmacological treatment”, include various developmental care interventions such as non-nutritive sucking, administration of sucrose, swaddling and containment, and limitation of environmental sound and light.40 These interventions are recommended by the International Evidence-based Group for Neonatal Pain41 as the basis for prevention and treatment of neonatal pain.

NIDCAP

In the integrated form of developmental care, NIDCAP, the major instrument used is repeated, formalised observations of the infant by someone specifically trained and certified in the method.42 These observations focus on the infant’s efforts at self regulation, as revealed by approach or avoidance behaviour. When the sensory input is appropriate, the infant will move towards the stimuli and show self regulatory behaviour. In contrast, when the input is overwhelming because the intensity is too great or the timing inappropriate, the infant exhibits avoidance or stress behaviour. Subsequently, care giving plans, including recommendations on individualised care and environmental changes, are designed on the basis of the current developmental stage and medical condition of the infant, as well as the needs of the family. As the infant matures, these recommendations are modified in an appropriate manner.

NIDCAP is family centred. The goal is to support the family by helping them to develop such care skills and techniques, thus including the family as part of the healthcare team. All of the above mentioned individual developmental care strategies, except massage, are often used.

So far, there have been three randomised controlled trials (RCTs) on the effects of full implementation of NIDCAP on VLBW infants.43–45 In a meta-analysis, Jacobs and collaborators46 report separately on these three RCTs and show a mean difference in length of ventilation of 25.7 days (95% confidence interval (CI) 7.5 to 43.9) in favour of the intervention. A Cochrane Review also reported a relative risk for the NIDCAP infants of moderate-severe pulmonary radiographic findings of 0.34 (95% CI 0.15 to 0.81). In addition, from our own calculations, the relative risk of
intraventricular haemorrhages of grade 3 or more for the NIDCAP infants is 0.51 (95% CI 0.23 to 1.1) (table 1).

Jacobs and co-workers' also report a mean difference in the mental developmental index at 9–12 months of age of +16.6 (95% CI 9.3 to 23.8) in favour of the NIDCAP infants. To date, the longest follow up period of a single study is to 2 years of corrected age.44 The mental indices in this study were in favour of the NIDCAP group, but the difference was not significant. However, long term development was not the primary outcome in the original design of the study, and one third of the original cohort was lost, which may have introduced sample bias rendering the data somewhat less reliable. A recent report45 from a five year follow up of the Swedish RCT showed a significant impact on the NIDCAP group only in the behavioural aspect of development: the odds ratio (OR) for surviving without abnormal behaviour was 19.9 (95% CI 1.1 to 100). The corresponding OR for survival without mental retardation was 3.5 (0.7 to 100), and for survival without overall disability it was 14.7 (0.8 to 100). No subjects were lost in this follow up, but, for the same reason as in the previous study, the power was very low.

In two NIDCAP RCTs, assessment of neurophysiological functions has been performed with evoked potentials and quantitative topographic mapping of electroencephalograms (brain electrical area mapping, BEAM).42 48 Both of these studies revealed significant differences in favour of NIDCAP intervention. Interestingly, in the study on the more mature, low risk infants, the largest differences were observed in the frontal lobe area, where neuronal organisation occurs relatively late.45 Using quantitative three dimensional magnetic resonance imaging techniques and diffusion tensor imaging at term age, the same investigators recently presented data that indicate beneficial changes in the NIDCAP infants compared with a control group in tissue distributions as well as in microstructural development of the white matter.49

In addition, studies on effects of NIDCAP components in specific care giving situations have recently been reported. Sizun and co-workers46 showed decreased pain response and fewer hypoxic events during a routine nursing procedure in medically stable preterm infants.

### Methodological Issues in Developmental Care Studies

Systematic review of research on developmental care is difficult. There are few publications on long term outcome, and many of the published reports are not recent enough and have methodological limitations.6 45

In comparison with drug trials, it is extremely difficult to achieve a standard experimental design in this kind of study. There is no gold standard for nursing care, making the definition of the control group variable; the intervention cannot be applied in a blinded fashion; the experiments may include several individual approaches, which provide confounding factors; and a single procedure may not be analysed separately. Most developmental care studies include small numbers of, generally, healthy preterm newborns, and thus the results are not readily generalisable to infants with acute illness and/or extreme prematurity. The duration of integrated care procedures such as NIDCAP lasts for months, and there is a risk of a spill-over effect on the control group. Parents share experiences with each other and actively seek knowledge designed to improve the treatment of their infant.

### Recommendations for Research

The striking results of developmental care techniques and NIDCAP trials are encouraging, and confirmation by larger, multicentre studies with a multidisciplinary approach is warranted. On the basis of methodological issues, the following key points need to be carefully considered.

- An alternative to randomisation of patients would be randomisation by site, but a large number of sites would be necessary and this would be costly and time consuming.
- Long term neurobehavioural and developmental outcomes need to be chosen as main effects, with blind evaluation and the use of appropriate methods of measurement.
- Short term medical outcomes such as numbers of days on ventilation or oxygen or age at discharge could be unreliable because they are flexible decisions.
- Neurobiological mechanisms could be evaluated using neuroendocrinology, electrophysiology, and functional magnetic resonance imaging.
- These trials should incorporate analysis of cost effectiveness.
- Qualitative research13 and continuous quality assessment benchmarking32 could be evaluated as an alternative to RCT.

### Humane Aspects

The dramatic improvement in medical care of infants born prematurely has in many instances led to unintentional conflict between advanced technology and the humane aspects of care. Dr Levin has addressed this problem in his call for a Humane Neonatal Care Initiative,53 in which he broadens the concept of the Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative to encompass ill and prematurely born babies. Like Dr Levin, we believe that focusing on respect for the very tiny and often fragile human being and his/her family is not only essential for the further improvement of medical care and developmental outcome, as discussed above, but is also important from a humane point of view.

As pointed out by Kennell,44 NIDCAP could be a very effective tool in pursuing the Humane Neonatal Care

### Table 1 Impact of NIDCAP on selected outcome variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
<th>No of RCTs</th>
<th>No of infants</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45 (42, 43)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>−27.7</td>
<td>−43.9 to −7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplementary oxygen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45 (42, 44)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>−41.1</td>
<td>−65.3 to −16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-severe BPD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32 (42, 44)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.15 to 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVH = grade 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42–44</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.23 to 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurodevelopment 9–12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>45 (42–44)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>9.3 to 23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>45 (42–44)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.7 to 17.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modified from Symington and Pinelli2 and Jacobs et al.45

RCT, Randomised controlled trial; MD, mean difference; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; IVH, intraventricular haemorrhage; BPD, bronchopulmonary dysplasia (radiographic changes).
Initiative. The basis of family centred developmentally supportive care is recognition that the newborn infant is a human being in his/her own right, and the encouragement of care givers to be guided by the current needs of the individual infant and its family.

CONCLUSION

The theoretical framework underlying developmental care interventions is supported by research in several scientific fields, including neuroscience, developmental and family psychology, medicine, and nursing. Current clinical research indicates positive effects of integrated forms of developmental care intervention with a high level of satisfaction to staff. However, the trials have been relatively few, with small numbers of infants, and the methodology used has been questioned. More research therefore is urgently needed. Trials must be adequate in size, cover diverse cultural contexts, and should use objective measures of child behaviour and development in the long term perspective.
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Images in Neonatal Medicine

Neonatal acute gastric volvulus

A 5-day-old baby boy with persistent bilious vomiting was referred to our neonatal unit. He passed normal stools and his abdomen was soft and non-tender. A plain radiograph of the chest and abdomen (fig 1) showed that the dome of the left diaphragm was elevated, suggesting eventration. The oesophagus was dilated, a gastric air shadow could be seen in the left chest, and there was no gas shadow in the abdomen. An upper gastrointestinal contrast study (fig 2) revealed left-sided diaphragmatic eventration and organoaxial gastric volvulus. Laparotomy revealed an eventrated left hemidiaphragm with a distended, volvulated stomach.

Acute gastric volvulus is a rare cause of persistent vomiting in infancy or childhood and is due to an abnormal rotation of one part of the stomach around another. There are two main anatomical forms of gastric volvulus. In the more common, organoaxial volvulus, the stomach rotates around an axis connecting the cardia and the pylorus, and in the less common, mesenteroaxial volvulus, the stomach rotates around a transverse axis connecting the middle of the greater and lesser curvatures (fig 3). In an infant with unexplained vomiting, gastric volvulus should always be suspected on a plain abdominal radiograph, when associated with a left diaphragm anomaly.
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Figure 2  Upper gastrointestinal contrast study lateral view showing left diaphragmatic eventration and organoaxial gastric volvulus: upside down stomach with reversal of greater and lesser curvatures, greater curvature (white arrows) crossing the oesophagus, and gastric outlet obstruction.

Figure 3  Schematic representation of the two types of gastric volvulus: organoaxial volvulus occurs around the line A–A and mesenteroaxial volvulus occurs around the line B–B.