Newborn surgery, second edition


Neonatologists are not always directly involved in the intensive care of neonates as surgical patients. In my own case this has led to a slightly blinkered approach. I am very familiar with perinatal stabilisation of problems such as chylothorax, subclavian stenosis, or necrotising enterocolitis and describe the authors’ perspective on management. There are numerous photographs, radiographs, and drawings in nice balance with text. The authors have sought to complement the “comprehensive description of operative techniques” left me wondering that such complicated operations could be undertaken by the uninitiated. The authors are drawn from all over the world, but the book’s style remains uniformly European.

The book begins with a series of chapters dedicated to general and theoretical aspects of the care of these high risk infants. These chapters overlap with standard neonatal textbooks and are very variable and, from my perspective, less acute problems. However, perioperative management, particularly of uncomplicated cases, and the mysteries of operative techniques have been beyond my reach. A book, with neonatologists within its scope, ideally with strong emphasis on presentation, embryology, and associations as well as description of surgical techniques, would plug a significant gap in my knowledge.

With 97 chapters, typically under 10 pages each, this book certainly has breadth of coverage. Chapters typically deal with a problem such as chylothorax, subclavian stenosis, or necrotising enterocolitis and describe the authors’ perspective on management. There are numerous photographs, radiographs, and drawings in nice balance with text. The authors have sought to complement the “comprehensive description of operative techniques” left me wondering that such complicated operations could be undertaken by the uninitiated. The authors are drawn from all over the world, but the book’s style remains uniformly European.
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to be contaminated both clinically and in a negative repeated culture. In one infant, blood culture was positive for Staphylococcus aureus, and Entrococcus grew from culture of the urine in the other. Most admissions (83%) were between June and early October, which are the warmest months of the year in this area. In this low risk group of infants, only two patients had serious bacterial infection. Comparable with the findings of Maayan-Metzger et al., the results of our study dehydrate as the main cause of fever during the first week of life. As most of our cases occurred during summer and early autumn, environmental temperature may have an additive effect in this population.
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Increasing incidence of moderate neonatal hyperbilirubinaemia in Wirral
Severe neonatal jaundice and bilirubin encephalopathy have been reported with increasing frequency from North America and Europe.1–3 There are no published reports of similar trends in Britain. We therefore examined trends in moderate neonatal hyperbilirubinaemia in Wirral Hospital between 1991 and 2001. Neongen of ≥ 34 weeks gestation with a serum bilirubin of ≥ 340 μmol/l were identified from the laboratory database. Trends in hyperbilirubinaemia were analysed using the χ2 test for trend. A total of 184 infants were identified; 122 presented before initial discharge, and 62 readmissions for jaundice were identified. Median (interquartile range) gestational age was 38 (37–39) weeks, and 69% of affected infants were breast fed. The incidence of moderate jaundice increased from 2.4/1000 live births in 1991 to 5.3/1000 in 2001 (p < 0.0001). Despite a progressive fall in annual births, readmissions for jaundice increased from seven in the first six years of study to 55 in the second five years (p < 0.0001). Five infants needed exchange transfusion; all had haemolytic disease. All were identified before initial discharge. No infants developed bilirubin encephalopathy, and none died.

Ours is the only report of recent trends in neonatal hyperbilirubinaemia in this geographical area. The reasons for this increasing incidence are not known, whereas others are not.

Documentation errors have been reported to be an increasing problem in day to day care of patients.4–6 A recent report described the same negligence in documentation by residents. Carroll et al. found discrepancies in the daily progress notes written by a resident doctor in the neonatal intensive care unit. They also found that notes often contained inaccurate information and lacked pertinent information. We looked further into the situation and found extensive use of abbreviations in progress notes.

Our observation is not unique and requires rectification. The solution could be to standardize abbreviations or to eliminate the use of abbreviations. Total elimination would be difficult, as many of the abbreviations are acceptable. Thus, the use of unacceptable abbreviations should be discouraged. New medical officers should be given brief instruction on the writing of appropriate progress notes. An alternative is to use the electronic information system for all medical transcription including progress notes, as described elsewhere.7–9

In conclusion, care of neonates requires good documentation of day to day progress. The use of unacceptable abbreviations should be discouraged. A follow up audit was warranted to look further into the effect and success of our recommendations.
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Use of abbreviations in daily progress notes
Errors in medication and documentation are reported.1–4 These errors, no matter how minor, could have grave consequences for the patient, especially in the paediatric population. One can imagine the potential threat to small neonates. Recently, Carroll et al. described problems in residents’ progress notes in a neonatal intensive care unit. Being the busiest centre in the country, managing the great majority of seriously sick neonates, we are at a very high risk of these errors. In view of this and as a screening audit, we looked at a few progress notes written on our inpatient neonates. One example of a progress note, written by a junior doctor, stated: “Prem 32 WOG, F & G: Problems: RDS, IVH II, S/P SVT, Stable on RA, TPR normal, PU, BO. Chest, CVs & abdomen: NAD”. This excessive and inappropriate use of abbreviations is alarming and disturbing. The abbreviations used denoted the following (in order of citation): weeks of gestation, feeder and grower, respiratory distress syndrome, intraventricular grade 2 haemorrhage, status post supraventricular tachycardia, room air, temperature pulse respiration, passed urine, bowel open, cardiovascular system, and no abnormality detected. This prompted us to look further into the inappropriate and inaccurate use of abbreviations in the daily progress notes in our neonatal unit.

A cross section survey was carried out at the Special Care Baby Unit (SCBU), Royal Hospital, Muscat, on 7 October 2003. Thirty consecutive progress notes were reviewed. The progress notes were written by seven different doctors (three registrars and four resident medical officers) were analysed for use of abbreviations. The commonly used ones were: CP (crystalline penicillin), RR (respiratory rate), HR (heart rate), BP (blood pressure), PA (per abdomen), O/E (on examination), NGT (nasogastric tube), UEI (urea and electrolyte i), BGA (blood gas analysis), BBA (born before arrival), TPN (total parental nutrition), SLS (standard lipid solution), STS (standard TPN solution), D/w (discussed with), SBR (serum bilirubin), CTG (cardiotocograph), IUGR (intrauterine growth restriction), RBP (Blalock-Taussig shunt), VAT (trans-anastomotic tube), IVF (intravenous fluid or in vitro fertilisation), POD (postoperative day), ASD (atrial septum defect), VSD (ventricular septum defect), PDA (patent ductus arteriosus), TR (tricuspid regurgitation), L-R shunt (left to right shunt), TOF (tetralogy of Fallot), CRT (capillary refill time). One interesting note that stood out was a single day observation; we would recommend a longer observation period. For example, “Plan is to start ARB after 72 hours” (ARB, antibiotics; ABC, aerobic blood culture).

We noted a high frequency of the use of abbreviations in our neonatal unit. This was a single day observation; we would expect much more use in normal practice. Unfortunately, none of the abbreviations had been recorded in erroneous interpretation, as most of the staff were used to them. However, this does not indicate that it is all right to use abbreviations. Standard abbreviations, such as VSD (ventricular septal defect) and PDA (patent ductus arteriosus), are acceptable, whereas others are not.

We found discrepancies in the daily progress notes written by a resident doctor in the neonatal intensive care unit. They also found that notes often contained inaccurate information and lacked pertinent information. We looked further into the situation and found extensive use of abbreviations in progress notes.

Our observation is not unique and requires rectification. The solution could be to standardize or eliminate the use of abbreviations in the unit. Total elimination would be difficult, as many of the abbreviations are acceptable. Thus, the use of unacceptable abbreviations should be discouraged. New medical officers should be given brief instruction on the writing of appropriate progress notes. An alternative is to use the electronic information system for all medical transcription including progress notes, as described elsewhere.7–9

In conclusion, care of neonates requires good documentation of day to day progress. The use of unacceptable abbreviations should be discouraged. A follow up audit was warranted to look further into the effect and success of our recommendations.
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What is the normal range of blood glucose concentration in healthy term newborns?

The report by Dr Nicholl on “normal blood glucose concentrations in healthy term newborns” raises the interesting and important question of how normoglycaemia in newborns can be defined. In a comprehensive review of the literature in 1997, an expert panel of the World Health Organization concluded that there are numerous approaches to defining normoglycaemia, including the statistical approach (which was taken by Dr Nicholl), the metabolic approach (what is the concentration of blood glucose at which normal cell homocostasis is maintained?), the neurophysiological approach (below what concentration of blood glucose does impairment of neurological functions occur?), and, perhaps most importantly, the neurodevelopmental approach (does a relation exist between neonatal blood glucose concentrations and the neurodevelopmental outcome of children years later?). These different approaches towards definition of normoglycaemia contribute to the controversy that surrounds this issue. Other factors that influence newborn blood glucose concentrations, even in healthy term newborns, are perinatal complications, mode of delivery, and feeding behaviour.
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Gastric perforation and transillumination

We read with interest the article of Farrugia and colleagues’ about neonatal gastrointestinal perforation. However, there was no mention of:

- Isolated gastric perforation as a cause of neonatal gut perforation, or
- Transillumination as a simple diagnostic tool of pneumoperitoneum.

We highlight these two points relating to a recent case. A 29 week gestation baby girl was born by vaginal delivery. She initially required conventional ventilation for her lung disease. An umbilical arterial catheter was inserted but removed after a few hours due to a very low glucose concentration. On day 2 she was extubated and nCPAP was tried. After a few hours, her condition deteriorated and she returned to conventional ventilation. On day 4, she was started on enteral feeding, using small volumes of breast milk, but had mild abdominal distension and some aspirates. Feeding was stopped. Her abdomen deteriorated and she had persistent metabolic acidosis. Transillumination of her abdomen was positive (fig 1) for pneumoperitoneum and was confirmed by abdominal x ray examination (fig 2). At laparotomy, two small gastric perforations were identified with local areas of infarction. These were oversewn, with excellent results.

Neonatal gastric perforation is unusual but serious. Various causative factors, including prematurity and nCPAP, have been suggested. Both of these were present in our case. It is also possible that emboli from the umbilical catheter led to small areas of infarction of the stomach wall.

Transillumination is a quick and easy technique for diagnosing pneumoperitoneum, and obviates the need for frequent radiographs.
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What is the normal range of blood glucose concentration in healthy term newborns?

The report by Dr Nicholl on “normal blood glucose concentrations in healthy term newborns” raises the interesting and important question of how normoglycaemia in newborns can be defined. In a comprehensive review of the literature in 1997, an expert panel of the World Health Organization concluded that there are numerous approaches to defining normoglycaemia, including the statistical approach (which was taken by Dr Nicholl), the metabolic approach (what is the concentration of blood glucose at which normal cell homocostasis is maintained?), the neurophysiological approach (below what concentration of blood glucose does impairment of neurological functions occur?), and, perhaps most importantly, the neurodevelopmental approach (does a relation exist between neonatal blood glucose concentrations and the neurodevelopmental outcome of children years later?). These different approaches towards definition of normoglycaemia contribute to the controversy that surrounds this issue.

Other factors that influence newborn blood glucose concentrations, even in healthy term newborns, are perinatal complications, mode of delivery, and feeding behaviour. Correct attachment of the nCPAP driver to the transport incubator system is vital. Further modifications are being engineered to our transport incubator system to comply with regulations ensuring safety in crash situations.

Even with our confidence in the use of nCPAP for selected clinical situations in transport, we would still strongly recommend that intubation remains the first choice for airway management during neonatal transfer.
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Preterm infants are prone to fungal infections because of immaturity of their host defence systems (immunology and skin). Other risk factors include multiple antibiotic therapy, prolonged use of umbilical or percutaneous catheters, total parenteral nutrition, colonization and/or past mucocutaneous candidiasis, low birth weight, endotracheal tube placement, and congenital malformation.

Common sites for invasive candidiasis are the renal system, eyes, brain, and heart. Diagnostic tests should include blood and urine cultures, renal ultrasound, ophthalmological assessment, cardiac ultrasound, and examination of cerebrospinal fluid.

Candiduria may indicate colonization, but the presence of other clinical signs increases the risk of invasive candidiasis. Fungal ball is the commonest presentation of renal fungal disease.

Clinical presentation may vary and can be obstructive, or non-obstructive, with renal failure.

A baby born at 28 weeks gestation was known to be colonized with Candida spp in the first weeks of life. The mother had declined routine antenatal care. The baby was ventilator dependent, with umbilical lines and received multiple broad spectrum antibiotics for possible bacterial sepsis. After one month the baby developed thrombocytopenia and renal impairment. A renal ultrasound confirmed the presence of a solitary kidney with an echogenic mass. Limited postmortem examination revealed multiple abscesses in the renal parenchyma, which grew Candida albicans only.

Invasive fungal infections in very low birthweight babies are currently the subject of a BPSU study (http://bpsu.inopsu.com/current.htm#Invasive).
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