A manual of neonatal intensive care, 4th edition


As an SHO, I bought the first edition of the Manual in 1982. It was a survival guide which provided safe certainties in the small hours of the night. It was small, light, and compact. There was no competition: the Robertson Manual was the book to have!

Nearly 20 years on, where has the 4th edition taken us? Bigger, certainly: a behemoth of a “small” manual with 550 pages. Not much taller or wider than its predecessors, but much thicker, the rather thin and closely typeset pages distinctly reminiscent of a Bible. Thirty four chapters and eight appendices. There’s an awful lot of information in here.

Road testing a book like this is quite a challenge. Clearly one should not ask it to perform in a manner for which it was not designed, and the authors helpfully explain in the preface that their aim “is to provide a guide for the management of the acute medical and surgical problems a resident is likely to encounter on a modern neonatal intensive care unit.” So I went for chapter 1, expecting it to plunge in where every resident is most nervous: resuscitation of the newborn.

Instead, I got “Organization of neonatal care”. Admittedly it is only six pages, but does a resident really need this in a practical manual? Especially since the big Robertson textbook is likely to be on hand in most neonatal units to provide this and much more detail on this subject. In the Manual, you have to wait until chapter 6 to get “Resuscitation”, with “Temperature control”, “Fluid & electrolytes”, “Enteral nutrition and parenteral nutrition”, all packed with science and philosophy, quantum first. How much physiology do you want or need in a practical manual? Not much this, I think.

So I tried again with the oxygenation index (OI). There must be many units where the OI is used as a pragmatic threshold for giving nitric oxide or high frequency oscillation, and of course for referring for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). The resident will want to find the page with the formula for calculating OI, and how to deal with mm Hg versus kPa for the oxygen tension. To the index then—but no entry for oxygenation index. To the glossary of abbreviations at the front: there, sure enough, is OI. But where is it in the text? I could not find it under PPHN, or RDS, or ventilation. Eventually, by close reading, I found it mentioned under Meconium aspiration, and also under ECMO, but nowhere could I find the formula for calculating OI, from which the luckless resident will have been called away to the next problem, and if the formula is indeed there, he/she will have lost interest in finding it.

Residents are increasingly likely to be faced with ventilators that read out the tidal volume, minute volume, and display pressure-volume curves. They want to know how to use this information. They want to know what to do when babies on trigger ventilation drop their PCO2 to embarrassingly low levels. They want the formula for calculating the fractional amount of sodium. They need to know that separate chest and abdomen radiographs give much better radiological information than “babygram” pictures. Sadly, they will be disappointed if they try to find such information in this book.

The 4th edition of the Manual seems to have lost the values of its roots. It feels like a pared down version of the big Robertson book, repackaged between smaller covers. It contains a level of detail that is unnecessary given the alternative sources of the material. It can be hard to find in a hurry the things you need, and some of the things you want are not there at all—or at any rate, I couldn’t find them. The index is terrible. On the other hand, if you want a comprehensive introduction to the subject of neonatal intensive care medicine for under £20, look no further. This is your book.

M P Ward Platt
Neonatal Service, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Queen Victoria Road, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 4LP, UK; m.p.ward-platt@mcl.ac.uk

Neonatology & laboratory medicine


Neonatology & laboratory medicine is a novel concept and a valuable addition to our literature. The book brings together a clinical biochemist, a neonatologist, and a medical microbiologist as authors in a successful attempt to describe appropriate laboratory investigation and clinical management of the neonate. This paperback aims to provide junior doctors, laboratory scientists, and neonatal nurses with background information that will help solve common neonatal problems. The chapters deal systematically with common biochemical and infective problems that may befall neonates. There are also sections on breastfeeding, parenteral nutrition, and therapeutics. Best of all it is compact, written in a clear and straightforward style, and given the precision of the index, hard to find references. It is a more useful companion to the big neonatal texts than the small Manuals, but also more compact than the modern data which can be used to defend the embalmed SHO against the frequently check the consultant ward round. Each neonatal unit should purchase a copy. I predict that these valuable pages will be well thumbed within a month. I look forward to a further edition, and hope that it will extend its scope to include other laboratory disciplines such as genetics and electrophysiology. The three authors deserve success with this winner.

I A Laing
Simpson Centre for Reproductive Health, 51 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4SU, Scotland, UK; ian.laing@hft.scot.nhs.uk

Fetal and neonatal brain injury: mechanisms, management and the risks of practice, 3rd edition

Edited by D K Stevenson, W E Benitz, P Sunshine. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, £140.00, pp 926. ISBN 0521068917

Brain injury remains a common theme in a large proportion of survivors of extreme prematurity and/or neonatal encephalopathy. The headline rates of significant disability have been largely unchanged despite the enormous advances in neonatal intensive care of the post-surfactant era, and more subtle educational difficulties are later declared in many others. It is essential that clinicians continue to strive for a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of brain injury to not only guide conventional management, but also look ahead to the future strategies in which neuroscientific advances may translate into plausible clinical strategies—for example, promoting the regrowth of damaged excitatory cortical neurones across an area of periventricular leukomalacia.

The strength of a textbook such as this is to give an in depth overview of many aspects of brain injury. This is accomplished well by a distinguished list of mostly United States based contributors, who consider the many aspects of neonatal brain injury in terms of aetiology, epidemiology, diagnosis, management, and
long term outcome. A section on medico-legal issues makes interesting reading, although is not directly applicable to the British judicial system. Surprisingly little mention is made of the controversies surrounding the use of postnatal corticosteroids to treat chronic lung disease and the risk of cerebral palsy, but otherwise the range of topics is exhaustive. Particular care is also taken to relate the bedside management to the background neuroscience—for example, the neuroprotective effect of brain cooling. Readers will be encouraged to catch up with subsequent developments as they emerge in the journals. Weaknesses are few. The section on imaging of brain injury is thorough, and as expected well illustrated. However, it leaves the reader wishing for more information on the prognostic value of MRI in particular. Other sections would have been enhanced by greater use of illustrations—for example, I was disappointed that a section on congenital malformations fails to include a single illustrative image.

In summary, this is a comprehensive account of an area of vital importance to obstetricians, neonatologists, and paediatric neurologists. It should prove to be a useful reference for specialists in these fields.

M Smith
Newcastle General Hospital, Queen Victoria Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4LP, UK; m.smith@ncl.ac.uk

LETTERS

Thickening milk feeds may cause necrotising enterocolitis

Extremely low birthweight infants have the highest risk of developing necrotising enterocolitis (NEC). We report on two infants who developed fatal NEC while established on enteral feeds. A common anecedent was recent treatment with Carobel. An 820 g boy and a 752 g girl, both of 25 weeks gestation, were fully established on enteral feeds with expressed breast milk by day 12 and 18 respectively. Non-specific symptoms were attributed to gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR), which was empirically treated with Carobel. Small vesicles first appeared on the face, hands, and legs of a Chinese full term baby on day 10 and went into bullae on day 13. New bullae continued to erupt until day 18. By day 25, all the skin lesions had crusted, and skin healing was complete without scar formation. Besides skin eruption, the most overwhelming feature of the course was mucosal involvement. The infant presented with stridor on day 10 and went into respiratory failure requiring intubation. On day 30, bronchoscopy revealed a swollen larynx and a vesicle on the left anterolateral fold. He was extubated on day 38 in the middle of a three week course of prednisolone. After extubation, stridor gradually subsided in a couple of weeks.

The diagnosis of linear IGA bullous dermatisosis was made by skin biopsy on a bulla. Histological sections showed splitting of the skin at the dermo-epidermal junction with predominant polymorph infiltrate. Immunofluorescence showed a linear deposit of IgA at the dermo-epidermal junction. Staining for IgG and C3 was also positive.

Linear IGA bullous dermatisosis is seen in children with onset from 6 months to 10 years. It classically runs a relapsing course with complete remission attained after puberty. The overall incidence of involvement of mucous membranes of the oral cavity, eyes, and external genitalia is 57%, 40%, and 72% respectively. However, the mucosal involvement is not life threatening.

The other neonatal case of linear IGA bullous disease reported in the literature also showed serious mucosal involvement. It manifested as respiratory failure requiring treatment by extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, oesophageal dysmotility with choking during feeding, and blindness as a result of conjunctival scarring. In both cases, complete remission was attained after the unsettled neonatal period. Hence, linear IGA bullous disease with onset in the neonatal period contrasts sharply with the classical presentation of the childhood disease in having serious mucosal involvement and a non-relapsing course.

We hope that our report serves as a reference for neonatologists and dermatologists who may encounter similar cases in the future.

S Y R Lee, C Y Leung, C W Leung, C B Chow, K M Leung, Q U Lee
Princess Margaret Hospital, Princess Margaret Hospital Road, Kwun Tong, Hong Kong; leesyr@netvigator.com
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Linear IGA bullous dermatisosis in a neonate

We encountered a neonatal case of linear IGA bullous dermatisosis. Only one other case of the disease diagnosed in the neonatal period has been reported, so we felt that it was important to describe this case.

An infant is neurodevelopmentally normal. It classically runs a relapsing course with complete remission attained after puberty. The overall incidence of involvement of mucous membranes of the oral cavity, eyes, and external genitalia is 57%, 40%, and 72% respectively. However, the mucosal involvement is not life threatening.

The other neonatal case of linear IGA bullous disease reported in the literature also showed serious mucosal involvement. It manifested as respiratory failure requiring treatment by extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, oesophageal dysmotility with choking during feeding, and blindness as a result of conjunctival scarring. In both cases, complete remission was attained after the unsettled neonatal period. Hence, linear IGA bullous disease with onset in the neonatal period contrasts sharply with the classical presentation of the childhood disease in having serious mucosal involvement and a non-relapsing course.

We hope that our report serves as a reference for neonatologists and dermatologists who may encounter similar cases in the future.
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Vertical transmission of Citrobacter freundii

An infant developed early respiratory distress after delivery at 34 weeks gestation after prolonged rupture of membranes. Citrobacter freundii was cultured from a maternal mid-stream urine sample at delivery. C freundii, resistant to ampicillin but sensitive to gentamicin, cephalexin, and ciprofloxacin, was isolated from neonatal blood cultures taken on admission. Gram negative rods were seen on microscopy of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), with no white cells and 730 red cells per high power field. CSF protein was 1.26 g/l and glucose 3.0 mmol/l, with blood glucose of 4.9 mmol/l. No organisms grew on CSF culture. Ampicillin and gentamicin were discontinued, and ciprofloxacin and ceftaxime started for a three week course. Serial cranial ultrasound and computed tomography scans showed no evidence of intracranial abscess or ventriculitis. At 1 year of age the infant is neurodevelopmentally normal.

Neonatal infection with Citrobacter species is usually acquired in a nosocomial fashion, and causes septicaemia, meningitis, and brain abscesses associated with a high morbidity and mortality. Eleven cases of vertically acquired Citrobacter koseri infection have been reported. However, the only previous report of vertical transmission of C freundii describes a 32 week infant in whom the organism was identified from maternal high vaginal swab and infant gastric aspirate, but not from blood cultures. Neonatal septicaemia with meningitis, as in our patient, has not been previously described.

C freundii differs from other organisms causing neonatal meningitis by being able to...
replicate within brain capillary epithelium, perhaps accounting for the propensity of this organism for causing cerebral abscesses.  
However, including this case, this complication appears to be confined to late onset disease, with possible explanations being the early use of antibiotics, and absence of a putative virulence factor.

The combination of cefotaxime and an aminoglycoside is recommended for neonatal Gram negative meningitis, but CSF concentrations of gentamicin may only be marginally above the minimum bactericidal concentration of Gram negative organisms. Ciprofloxacin has been shown to be effective in Gram negative meningitis, and should be considered in the treatment of this condition.

T J Malpas, J J Munoz  
Department of Paediatrics, The General Hospital, Jersey JE1 3QS, Channel Islands; t.malpas@gov.je

I Muscat  
Department of Pathology, The General Hospital, Jersey

doi: 10.1136/adc.2003.043398
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Recruitment failure in early neonatal research

Rates of neurodevelopmental handicap are high among extremely low birthweight survivors, and the first 48 postnatal hours probably give the greatest opportunity for preventing damage. However, at this time, families are in turmoil and may have difficulty in coming to terms with a small baby in intensive care. We recently had to abandon an observational, non-invasive study because of practical difficulties arising from the new Research Governance Framework, and we would like to share this experience, and its implications, with the research community.

We needed parental consent for the study, which had local research ethics committee approval. Babies had to be < 1500 g birth weight, > 25 weeks gestation, < 48 hours old, ventilated, with an arterial line, and no prior intervention for circulatory compromise. The last two requirements meant that, in reality, babies had to be recruited within the first 12 hours. A non-invasive measure of peripheral oxygen consumption was to be made regularly over 24 hours. We aimed to recruit 50 babies over two years.

When an eligible baby was admitted, the parent(s) were given further information before signing consent forms, but later claimed that they did not understand the research, was heavily criticised. Consequently researchers are reluctant to approach parents who are in any way distressed, because of the difficulty in ensuring valid consent. If it is important for early neonatal research to continue, we urgently need agreement on a sensitive, humane, and realistic framework that is acceptable to both parents and clinical researchers alike.

S Nicklin, S A Spencer  
Neonatal Unit, University Hospital North Staffordshire (NHS) Trust, Newcastle Road, Stoke on Trent ST4 6GG, UK; andy.spencer@uhns.nhs.uk
doi: 10.1136/adc.2003.043711

Gestational age in the literature

In neonatology, the correct gestational age (GA) is extremely important, as the viability and survival of the premature baby depend on it. A difference of a few hours or a day can have a substantial impact on the survival and long term morbidity of premature babies.

Doctors are trained to report the GA of a premature baby in exact days—for example, 26+4 (GA = 26 completed weeks and 4 days). Reporting the GA in this format helps in understanding and assessing the postnatal and maturational age of premature babies. One would therefore expect GA to be reported exactly in the literature, especially in articles, studies, and trials dealing with survival and morbidity in premature babies.

In fact, descriptions of GA are extremely ambiguous in most articles. An example of this ambiguity is survival at 26 weeks GA is

Figure 1 Recruitment to research project on neonatal unit (NNU) over 12 month period.
26%. This description of GA is open to interpretation. It could mean 25+1 to 26+0 or 26+1 to 26+6. Every extra day improves the survival of the prematurely born baby by 2%. Therefore, for the above GA, survival could change by 12% on either side of 26%. This could have a large effect not only on survival but also on long-term morbidity.

Many large-scale studies and articles published on survival, viability, and ethical issues of resuscitation in extremely premature babies have used this ambiguous description of GA. The EPICure study is a good example of a large-scale, full-term study that uses the ambiguous description GA. Such large studies have a major impact on doctors and parents, as the results and interpretation are used by neonatologist for counselling, teaching, and research. For those dealing with ethical issues, especially resuscitation in extremely premature babies, exact GA can be of immense help. As the limits of viability and survival are stretched, doctors need to be very clear in their minds about the exact age of the premature baby.

In view of the above, we propose that the reporting of GA in the literature should be uniform. It should be described in exact days—that is, weeks.
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Fever in the neonatal period

This is in reference to the recent article by Maayan-Metzger et al. The clinical implication of the study is questionable. It is difficult to make a prospective decision on retrospective data. What should a clinician do if a laboratory 3 day old baby has a fever of 37.9°C? There is no problem in labelling the infant as having non-specific fever of 37.9°C? There is no problem in labelling the infant as having non-specific fever, irrespective of symptoms, should be investigated appropriately with full blood count and blood and urine cultures. It is the treatment that is the root of the controversy and needs further evaluation. However, in view of the present study, in spite of a promising conclusion, fever in healthy neonates should not be treated as something benign and dealt with casually.

Having said all this, I appreciate the methodology of the study and the authors’ endeavour to look further into the issue of fever in neonates. I hope my suggestion will generate intense discussion and not just be taken as a critical review of the paper. Lastly, in my view after reviewing the above paper in detail, dehydration still remains a diagnosis of exclusion, just as we take transient tachypnoea of the newborn as a diagnosis of exclusion in cases of respiratory distress in neonates.

S Manzar
Special Care Baby Unit, Royal Hospital, PO Box 1331, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman; shabihman@hotmail.com
doi: 10.1136/adc.2003.039735
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Home phototherapy in the United Kingdom

Although successful home treatment of neonatal jaundice using fibre-optic phototherapy units has been reported elsewhere, we are not aware of any such provision in the United Kingdom. We have introduced a regional home phototherapy programme in Tayside, Scotland and wonder if our initial experience would be of interest to others.

Before introducing the service, hospital and community midwives undertook training covering inclusion criteria (physiological jaundice in well, term infants), the treatment protocol, equipment use (Biliblanket, Ohmeda), and the assessment of parental competence. The protocol conditions were: a daily capillary serum bilirubin (SBR), discussing all results with a paediatrician; basing treatment on SBR results if the infant and an SBR measured after discontinuing phototherapy. Parents underwent a one hour “training” session (equipment use and advice on feeding, skin care, and temperature control) and were given written advice. Tayside Committee on Medical Research Ethics advised that ethical approval for the programme and written consent were not required, as the treatment being offered was not novel.

Between February and August 2002, 28 families were offered home phototherapy in Tayside: six refused (difficulties with feeding, distance from home to hospital, and parental choice). The mean birth weight was 3245 g (range 2240–4220), with a median gestation of 38 weeks (range 35–41). Mean maternal age was 30 years (range 17–41). Twenty (91%) infants were breast fed. Ten were first born. Seven families lived in affluent areas and two in areas of high deprivation.

Phototherapy started at a median age of 5.5 days (range 1–13). Eight infants received all their phototherapy at home. Mean treatment duration was 47.3 hours (range 17.5–97.0) with a median decrease in SBR of 16.6 μmol/L (range 5.5–25 μmol/L). Two infants (9%) had a rise in bilirubin from a fall of 50 μmol/L to a rise of 53 μmol/L in one case).

Community midwives spent about 60 minutes on the first home visit. Subsequent visits were shorter. Poor compliance, without compromise to either infant, was identified in two families and rectified quickly. No other adverse incidents were reported, and there was no equipment failure. All parents preferred home phototherapy to inpatient treatment. Community midwives have been happy to continue the programme.

We believe this is the first report of a home phototherapy programme in the United Kingdom. With appropriate training and enthusiastic community support, it appears to be feasible, safe, and well accepted by families and staff. We would encourage others to consider establishing such programmes.

We are grateful to the rest of the Tayside Home Phototherapy Project Team (J Dalzell, A Jarvis, M Meldrum, V Samson) and the community midwives who contributed to the success of the project. This project was supported by a grant from the Scottish Executive Health Department – Innovative Fund for Children’s Services.

R Hume
Maternal and Child Health Sciences, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School
Correspondence to: Dr. Fowlie; peter.w.fowlie@tuftsc.hhs.uk
doi: 10.1136/adc.2003.034868
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www.archdischild.com