Measurement of urine output by weighing nappies
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The effect of humidity on measurement of neonatal urine output was assessed by weighing nappies in a clinically relevant context. Saline was used as dummy urine, on modern nappies in incubators at various humidity settings. In at least some additional humidity, no clinically relevant evaporative loss occurred.

Measurement of urine output is an important element of neonatal intensive care. Urethral catheterisation may aid urine collection and measurement but may be complicated by catheter bypassing or dislodgement, and carries a risk of introducing bacterial sepsis. Measuring urine output by weighing nappies before and after a period of nursing care is a widely used technique in the United Kingdom. Disadvantages of this technique include the possibility of stool contamination of the nappy, which may make estimation of urine output difficult. In addition, and depending on the type of nappy used, evaporative losses of urine may potentially lead to underestimation of urine output. Cooke et al considered this, and recommended that where this technique was used nappies should be weighed every two hours.

In our unit we usually assess urine output by weighing nappies. We investigated the effect of environmental humidity on evaporative weight change of nappies.

METHODS
We used a Draeger 8000 IC incubator left at steady state humidity and temperature settings for at least one hour before starting experiments. We set the incubator to deliver either no additional humidity or humidity at settings of 40%, 60% or 85%. We set the incubator temperature at 39°C. We added a 5 ml quantity of 0.9% NaCl to preweighed nappies (Moltex Baby Hygiene, Mayen, Germany), approximate weight 17 g, and reweighed each one. We then placed batches of six nappies in the incubator, open with the absorbent side up. Nappies were weighed between three and six times in each experiment over about six hours. We have included data on 57 nappies here. We used a Mettler PC 440 precision balance accurate to 0.001 g for all weight measurements. To enable precise estimates of the degree of weight change over differing experimental periods, we calculated rates of weight change for each nappy. Analysis therefore assumes linear weight loss over time. We made statistical comparisons by analysis of variance of rates of change of nappy weight using Statview version 5.

RESULTS
Significant differences (p < 0.05) exist between the rates of weight change for each humidity setting (fig 1).

CONCLUSIONS
No nappy kept in 85% humidity lost weight. Nappies kept in 40% humidity had variable weight changes. Only nappies nursed in no additional humidity had evaporative weight loss that may lead to clinically significant underestimation of urine output. Such nappies may lose 2.3 g of a 5 g urine weight over a typical nursing period of six hours.

Our study may be criticised for the assumption of linear weight change over time. This is based on data such as that in the figure in the paper of Cooke et al. However, the nappies in different humidity settings were studied over similar periods. Therefore even had weight change not been linear, our results remain informative.

Our study suggests that the technique of estimating urine output by weighing nappies is robust provided that infants are nursed in some additional humidity. Our findings are at variance with others in the literature insofar as they suggest that this technique may be used over a six hour period without important loss of fidelity.

Our findings are most relevant for extremely preterm infants, with low urine outputs. For this reason we concentrated on a 5 ml volume of dummy urine. We avoided using human or animal urine to minimise hazard to infants later using the same incubators and to maintain consistency of tonicity over several experiments.

Other studies have found significant evaporative losses from nappies nursed in this way. We therefore chose to perform these experiments in an incubator at 39°C to maximise any evaporative losses that we might encounter. Differences in environmental humidity through local climate or use of air conditioning in their institutions may explain some of the differences between our findings and those of other workers. We do not have air conditioning in our unit. Environmental humidity varies, but was measured using a wet bulb hygrometer at 28% on one occasion.

Other authors have shown that the type of nappy significantly affects the rate of evaporative loss. We chose...
to use Moltex nappies for this series of experiments. These nappies contain naturally absorbent fibres and hydrophilic gel. They are marketed as being highly absorbent and are in routine use on our unit.

One practical consequence of this study is that we have bought new scales (weighing in increments of 1 g to replace scales weighing in increments of 5 g) for weighing nappies to assess urine output.
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