Neonatal murmurs: are senior house officers good enough?
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Aim: To show that, given appropriate guidelines, senior house officers (SHOs) have the clinical skills required to assess neonatal murmurs.

Methods: Neonatal SHOs identified babies with a cardiac murmur at routine neonatal examination. The SHOs assessed whether the murmur was significant or innocent and decided between immediate further assessment or echocardiogram as an outpatient.

Results: A total of 112 babies had murmurs at routine neonatal examination. The incidence of cardiac murmurs was 13.8 per 1000. Twelve babies were referred for immediate further assessment. Eleven had structurally abnormal hearts. One had a normal heart with pulmonary hypoplasia. One hundred babies were referred, and 78 attended for outpatient follow up. Of these, the SHOs assessed nine babies as having a significant murmur and 69 as having an innocent murmur. Twenty two babies failed to attend for follow up; all were thought to have innocent murmurs. Of the nine murmurs assessed as significant, four were confirmed as such and five were found to be innocent. Of the 91 murmurs assessed as innocent, 63 were proven to be innocent, six had abnormalities on echocardiogram, and 22 defaulted to follow up. Five of the serious murmurs were small ventricular septal defects, which had resolved by 6 months of age; the other had mild pulmonary stenosis. None of these babies were clinically symptomatic at outpatient review.

Conclusion: Given appropriate guidelines, SHOs have the skills to assess the significance of, and decide on appropriate management for, neonatal murmurs. Electrocardiograms and chest radiographs are not necessary.
or was associated with an ejection click, or praecordial hyperactivity (group 3).

(4) Non-urgent referral to neonatal cardiac clinic if the baby had characteristics of a clinically innocent murmur. These babies were feeding well, pink, and well perfused with a normal heart and respiratory rate and no sign of respiratory distress. The praecordium was quiet with normal pulses, a soft systolic murmur, and no hepatomegaly. Parents of these babies were given information about the significant symptoms and signs to look for should the baby become compromised and to seek early medical opinion in this event (group 4).

If, despite assessing a case as appropriate for outpatient follow up, the SHO preferred to have a senior opinion before discharge, then this was arranged.

Babies referred to outpatients for follow up were seen by a single consultant neonatologist (JR) who clinically assessed the baby and performed an echocardiogram. The consultant’s training had consisted of attending a course and consolidating knowledge by experience. Standard views were obtained; colour and pulsed and continuous wave Doppler were available to assist in the identification of these defects. Babies found to have an abnormality on echocardiogram were either referred to the regional paediatric cardiology centre at Guy’s Hospital for further assessment or had a later review by the consultant neonatologist. If the abnormality persisted, referral to Guy’s was arranged. No baby had an ECG or CXR unless he or she was symptomatic.

RESULTS

During the study period, there were 8904 live births at this hospital. Of these, 808 were excluded from the study population. Thus 8096 babies had routine neonatal examinations on the postnatal wards.

A total of 112 babies were found to have a cardiac murmur on routine neonatal examination. The prevalence in this population was 13.8 per 1000. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the babies in the study.

Group 1

Twelve babies had a murmur with worrying clinical features and thus had immediate further senior assessment (fig 1). Their diagnoses were: ventricular septal defect (VSD) (five cases), pulmonary stenosis (two cases), tetralogy of Fallot (two cases), transposition of the great arteries (one case), atrial septal defect and VSD (one case) and one case of pulmonary hypoplasia with a normal heart.

Group 2

During the study period, eight babies with normal clinical examinations, but concerning family history or antenatal scans, were referred for an outpatient echocardiogram with the consultant neonatologist. Four had siblings who had significant CHD, three babies had antenatally diagnosed left

| Table 1 Characteristics of the 112 babies found to have heart murmurs |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Males                       | 59           |             |
| Females                     | 53           |             |
| Gestation (weeks)           | 39.4 (35.3–42.3) |     |
| Birth weight (g)            | 3380 (2160–5360) |      |
| Age at neonatal check (days)| 1.5 (1–8)   |             |
| Values are mean (range).    |              |             |

Figure 1 Echocardiogram results of babies with murmur at newborn examination. CHD, Congenital heart disease; VSD, ventricular septal defect; PS, pulmonary stenosis; TGA, ; ASD, atrial septal defect; OPD, outpatient department; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; “PBS”, mild pulmonary branch stenosis.
ventricular “golf-balls”, and one baby had a small antenatal pleural effusion. All of them had a normal postnatal echocardiogram.

One hundred babies had a cardiac murmur on routine neonatal examination and were referred to the outpatient neonatal cardiac clinic for an echocardiogram by the consultant neonatologist (fig 1); 78 attended for follow up. The total mean waiting time for all babies was nine weeks (range four days to 15 weeks).

**Group 3**

Despite appearing to have a clinically significant cardiac murmur on neonatal examination, nine babies were assessed by the SHO as being clinically well enough to be referred for outpatient cardiac assessment. They all had either a hyperdynamic precordium or harsh murmur. The mean waiting time was seven weeks (range four days to 14 weeks). Of these, five had a normal echocardiogram and four had abnormalities on the echocardiogram: patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) (two cases), small VSD (one case), and pulmonary stenosis (one case).

**Group 4**

Ninety one babies were assessed at routine neonatal examination as having clinically innocent murmurs; 69 attended for follow up. The mean waiting time was 10 weeks (range 3–14). Of these, 63 had structurally normal hearts. Six had abnormalities on echocardiogram: VSD (five cases) and mild pulmonary stenosis (one case). All of the VSDs had resolved by 6 months of age.

The murmur was still present at the first outpatient appointment in 16/78 (21%) of the babies who attended for an outpatient echocardiogram from groups 3 and 4 (fig 2). Of these, six had abnormalities on echocardiogram: VSD (three cases) and mild pulmonary stenosis (one case). All of the VSDs had resolved by 6 months of age.

Of the babies who were referred to Guy’s; they all had structurally normal hearts and were discharged from follow up.

Twenty two babies failed to attend for outpatient follow up. A letter was sent to the general practitioner inviting re-referral if the murmur persisted. According to the medical notes at the hospital of their birth, none of these babies subsequently presented to this hospital with cardiac problems. Furthermore, according to hospital records and the available general practitioner records, none of these babies attended the regional paediatric cardiology department at Guy’s. One baby was followed up in the hepatits B clinic, as he required a full course of hepatitis B immunisation. The murmur did not persist.

**Senior review**

A senior colleague assessed all the cases that had worrying clinical features. Of the cases referred to outpatients, review by the registrar was sought in 24/100 cases by 8/30 SHOs. In 23 of these cases, the registrar agreed with the SHO’s assessment. In one case, the SHO had assessed a murmur as significant, and the registrar correctly changed the diagnosis to innocent. Interestingly, in none of the six cases assessed by the SHO as innocent, but which subsequently were shown to have abnormalities on echocardiogram, did the SHO ask for a senior review.

All babies who attended for outpatient follow up were asymptomatic at review. Five of the babies thought to have clinically significant murmurs were found to have normal hearts.

### Table 2 Accuracy of murmur assessment (excluding those lost to follow up)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinically</th>
<th>CHD</th>
<th>No CHD</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Innocent</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity = true positive/all abnormal echo = 15/21 = 71%; specificity = true negative/all normal echo = 63/69 = 91%; positive predictive value = positive test correctly identified = 15/21 = 71%; negative predictive value = negative test correctly identified = 63/69 = 91%; accurate assessment 78/90 = 87%.

CHD, Congenital heart disease.
hearts, and six of those thought to have clinically innocent murmurs had abnormalities on echocardiogram (table 2). The sensitivity of the SHO examination to assess the clinical significance of the neonatal murmur was 71%, and the specificity was 91%; the positive predictive value was 71%, and the negative predictive value was 91%.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown that 0.6–60% of neonates have a murmur at some stage, and more recent studies have shown that 0.6–1.6% of babies have a murmur at the routine neonatal examination. Prevalence varies according to size of study, the study population, the age at echocardiogram, the experience of the clinical examiner, and whether the study is retrospective or prospective. Some 18–86% of babies with a murmur may have CHD when assessed by echocardiography very early in life. In our study, 1.38% of babies had a murmur at the routine neonatal examination. Abnormalities were identified in 21/90 (23%) of the cohort who had an echocardiogram. Given that resources are scarce, and the natural history of innocent murmurs is resolution, it may be appropriate to delay further assessment of clinically innocent or asymptomatic murmurs where immediate echocardiogram assessment is not readily available. The constraints of the system in our hospital meant that outpatient follow up was unfortunately delayed to a mean of nine weeks (range 4 days to 15 weeks). The ideal time for further assessment is unclear. Most babies who die from CHD in the neonatal period become symptomatic before 18 hours of age. Almost three quarters (70%) of the cases of CHD in a large study by Abu-Harb et al would have presented by 6 weeks of age. Wren et al showed that, if every baby who had clinical markers of CHD were examined within 4 weeks of the abnormalities being noted, then 58% could have been diagnosed by 6 weeks and 76% by 3 months of age. Most (64%) innocent murmurs resolve by 6 weeks of age. Thus 4–6 weeks of age may be the optimum time for echocardiographic assessment. However, none of our babies was unwell before, or at, the follow up appointment, thus, if the babies are selected appropriately, later assessment may be acceptable.

In our study group, there were no cases of obstruction of the left outflow tract. This may be fortuitous, or explained by the fact that the study was too small, or that these babies presented as unwell before or after the routine examination. Unfortunately the neonatal and 6 week examinations perform poorly as screening tests for diagnosis of obstructive left heart malformations in infancy. It is these conditions that emphasise the need for parental education on significant signs and symptoms before discharge of a baby with a murmur awaiting an echocardiogram.

The SHOs accurately assessed the significance of the murmur in 87% of babies. Previous studies have assessed the diagnostic accuracy of paediatricians' clinical auscultation skills to assess murmurs in children and cardiac simulations. None specifically looked at the clinical accuracy of SHOs (or residents) in assessing murmurs at the newborn check. The study of Du et al in term and preterm neonates showed that 90% of heart diseases were accurately diagnosed clinically. These babies were initially seen by residents, but then reviewed by a senior paediatrician, and it is not clear whether the senior paediatrician changed any of the residents' diagnoses. Hansen et al concluded that general paediatricians were good at diagnosing innocent murmurs, but Haney et al concluded that the diagnostic accuracy of office based (general) paediatricians was suboptimal. McCrindle et al concluded that paediatric cardiologists have excellent diagnostic accuracy, but in the study of Gaskin et al, paediatric residents had only 33% diagnostic accuracy.

The SHOs found the guidelines a useful framework and reference. Despite this, on 24 occasions the SHO still sought senior opinion even though they had assessed a murmur as suitable for delayed outpatient review. This action was not discouraged, as we were aware that the confidence, experience, and skills of SHOs varies. In all but one case the senior doctor agreed with the SHO's assessment; the senior doctor correctly changed the SHO's assessment of significant murmur to innocent.

Many units are dispensing with the use of ECG and CXR for assessment of murmurs found at the routine neonatal examination. None of the clinically well babies in this study had an ECG or CXR as an aid to diagnosis. In no case did we feel that an ECG or CXR would have altered the outcome. Non-invasive tests as an aid to diagnosis have not been evaluated in large neonatal studies. However, evaluation in the older paediatric population with heart murmurs shows that these investigations do not alter the clinical assessment; ECG and CXR may only assist in diagnosis in those who clinically have CHD and would thus need definitive echocardiogram diagnosis.

Structural abnormalities were identified in 21 (23%) of the cohort who had had an echocardiogram. Eleven of these were clinically symptomatic at the routine neonatal examination and thus had immediate further investigations (one baby was correctly referred for immediate assessment in group 1 and subsequently found to have pulmonary hypoplasia with a normal echocardiogram). Ten babies had echocardiogram abnormalities when seen in the neonatal cardiac clinic (fig 1). The regional paediatric cardiology team kept one baby with pulmonary stenosis under review; all other babies had a normal echocardiogram by 6 months of age. Other studies have shown up to 9 per 1000 infants have CHD presenting in infancy. Thus up to 70 infants would be predicted to have CHD from the total 8904 babies born during the study period. This study assessed SHO's examination skills at the routine neonatal examination; 21 cases of CHD were identified. Close analysis of the number of babies who were excluded from the cohort because of antenatal diagnosis of CHD or because they presented before, or after, the neonatal examination was not the aim of the study. Thus we are unable to give an accurate number of all babies who were born during the study period who had CHD; we recognise this as a limitation of our study, but full analysis of this problem was not an aim of the study.

Half of the whole cohort with a murmur (11/22) had a VSD. Of these, six were diagnosed in the outpatients department. Five of them were babies thought by the SHO to have had clinically innocent murmurs; all these VSDs resolved by 6 months of age. VSDs are thought classically not to be associated with an early murmur, thus it is impossible to know if the murmur heard was due to the VSD or a coincidental finding.

Our study supports the view that, given appropriate guidelines, SHOs can assess the clinical significance of neonatal murmurs and decide on appropriate immediate or delayed follow up. ECG and CXR are not necessary.
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