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Objectives: To assess the effectiveness of high dose intravenous immunoglobulin (HDIVIG) in reducing the need for exchange transfusion in neonates with proven haemolytic disease due to Rh and/or ABO incompatibility. To assess the effectiveness of HDIVIG in reducing the duration of phototherapy and hospital stay.

Design: Systematic review of randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials comparing HDIVIG and phototherapy with phototherapy alone in neonates with Rh and/or ABO incompatibility.

Results: Significantly fewer infants required exchange transfusion in the HDIVIG group (relative risk (RR) 0.28 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.17 to 0.47); number needed to treat 2.7 (95% CI 2.0 to 3.8)). Also hospital stay and duration of phototherapy were significantly reduced.

Conclusion: HDIVIG is an effective treatment.

In this systematic review, we evaluate the role of postnatal administration of HDIVIG to neonates with HDN.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies
We identified randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials of infants with proven Rh and/or ABO HDN reporting on at least one of the following outcomes: number of exchange transfusions, length of phototherapy, or length of hospital stay. The interventions under investigation were HDIVIG with phototherapy or phototherapy alone. Thus all infants received phototherapy and the only variable was the postnatal administration of HDIVIG. There were no birth weight or gestational age limits, and no limitation on severity of haemolytic disease or whether the infants or their mothers received antenatal treatment. Trials were not limited in terms of dose or duration of HDIVIG infusion.

Literature search
The literature search was performed in November 2000 using Medline (1986–2000), Embase (1984–2000), and Cochrane Library Controlled Trials Registers using the following subject and text word terms: “neonate(s), newborn(s), or infant(s)”; “rhesus incompatibility, rhesus isoimmunization, rhesus alloimmunisation, rhesus alloimmunization, ABO incompatibility, ABO isoimmunization, ABO isoimmunization, b(a)emolytic disease, b(a)emolytic jaundice or erythroblasto- sis f(o)etalis”; and “immunoglobulin, immune globulin, or gammaglobulin”. A manual search of the Journal of Pediatrics (1985–2000), Pediatrics (1990–2000), and Archives of Disease in Childhood (1990–2000) was conducted and included abstracts submitted to scientific meetings. Additional citations were sought using references in articles retrieved from the searches. Unpublished and further published trials were sought from experts in the field. Searches were not limited by language.

Abbreviations: IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; HDIVIG, high dose IVIG; HDN, haemolytic disease of the newborn; RR, relative risk; RD, risk difference; WMD, weighted mean difference; CI, confidence interval; NNT, number needed to treat
Methodological quality was assessed using Jadad criteria. Outcome information on the number of infants requiring exchange transfusions, the number requiring red cell transfusions for late anaemia, as well as the total number of exchange and red cell transfusions performed was abstracted. Information on length of hospital stay and duration of phototherapy was collected. Reports of side effects or absence thereof were recorded. Where data were incomplete, the authors were contacted by letter for further information or clarification. The statistical package (RevMan version 4.1) provided by the Cochrane Collaboration was used. Relative risk (RR) and risk difference (RD) using the fixed effects model are reported for dichotomous data, and weighted mean difference (WMD) for continuous data, with their 95% confidence intervals (CI).

RESULTS
From the literature search, six randomised controlled trials were identified as meeting the criteria for inclusion in the review. One publication describes a previously reported study and gave no additional information or data. Therefore only the initial publication was included. A further study investigating two dosage regimens of HDIVIG was excluded, because errors had occurred during randomisation resulting in the control group having significantly higher haemoglobin levels at entry to the trial (and thus a disease of lesser severity). The data were not analysed on an intention to treat basis. The remaining four trials were included in this review. They were published in full and contained data on 226 infants.

The studies were performed in Turkey, Germany, and Argentina. The dose of IVIG varied (table 1). Different IVIG preparations were used: Sandoglobulin (Sandoz); ISIVEN, Intravenous Immunoglobulin (Instituto Sierovaccinogeno Lucca, Italy); Polyglobin N (Cutter, Cologne, Germany). All studies stated that an attempt was made to administer the HDIVIG as soon as possible after the diagnosis of neonatal immune haemolytic disease was made and randomisation performed.

Infants with a history of prenatal treatment (maternal IVIG and/or in utero transfusion) were specifically excluded in one study. One study certainly included such infants and reported on number of in utero transfusions received and time since last one. It is unclear whether the other studies did so.

Follow up and results data were complete in two studies. The methodological weakness of failing to report on an intention to treat basis for protocol violations was identified in one study; and another study reported results for 37 infants, having stated that 40 were eligible for inclusion in the study. From the latter study, it is unclear whether 37 or 40 infants were randomised at the beginning of the study. The criteria for phototherapy or exchange transfusion (table 1) varied between the studies. In one study phototherapy was started when the bilirubin level exceeded Oski and Naiman curves. In another, phototherapy was started when the bilirubin was less than 4 mg/dl below the exchange line on the Polack graphs. It is not clear whether phototherapy was started immediately on entry into the study in the other studies.

Outcomes measured
Three studies (n = 189) reported on the number of exchange transfusions given. The fourth study reported exchange and red cell transfusions together. The results showed a significant reduction in the need for exchange transfusion after HDIVIG treatment (RR 0.28 (95% CI 0.17 to 0.47), RD −0.37 (95% CI −0.49 to −0.26), number needed to treat (NNT) 2.7 (95% CI 2.0 to 3.8)) (fig 1). There was no significant heterogeneity. Analysing the studies reporting this outcome
for neonates with Rh haemolytic disease only (n = 73). There is a further reduction in need for exchange transfusion (RR 0.21 (95% CI 0.10 to 0.45), RD −0.59 (95% CI −0.77 to −0.40), NNT 1.7 (95% CI 1.3 to 2.5)) (fig 2). There is also a significant reduction in the number of neonates requiring multiple exchange transfusions with HDIVIG treatment (RR 0.22 (95% CI 0.08 to 0.61), RD −0.15 (95% CI −0.24 to −0.06)) (fig 3).

There is a significant reduction in the length of hospital stay with the use of HDIVIG treatment in addition to phototherapy (WMD −1.06 (95% CI −1.65 to −0.46)) (fig 4). However, there is significant heterogeneity between the studies. Two studies15,16 reported on this outcome (n = 153). Voto et al19 reported data for this outcome; there was no difference in the two groups. Rübo et al17 reported that there was no difference.

---

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>HDIVIG (n/N)</th>
<th>Phototherapy alone (n/N)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI fixed)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI fixed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpay et al15</td>
<td>8/58</td>
<td>22/58</td>
<td>44.8 0.36 (0.18 to 0.75)</td>
<td>38.1 0.43 (0.12 to 1.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogoglu et al16</td>
<td>4/22</td>
<td>15/19</td>
<td>32.8 0.23 (0.09 to 0.58)</td>
<td>32.0 0.08 (0.00 to 1.34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubo et al17</td>
<td>2/16</td>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>22.4 0.18 (0.05 to 0.69)</td>
<td>40.6 0.18 (0.05 to 0.69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>14/96</td>
<td>48/93</td>
<td>100.0 0.28 (0.17 to 0.47)</td>
<td>100.0 0.21 (0.10 to 0.45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test for heterogeneity $\chi^2 = 1.08$ df = 2 $p = 0.58$

Test for overall effect $z = -4.82$ $p < 0.00001$

---

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Treatment (n/N)</th>
<th>Control (n/N)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI fixed)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI fixed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpay et al15</td>
<td>3/58</td>
<td>7/58</td>
<td>38.1 0.43 (0.12 to 1.58)</td>
<td>38.1 0.43 (0.12 to 1.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogoglu et al16</td>
<td>0/22</td>
<td>5/19</td>
<td>32.0 0.08 (0.00 to 1.34)</td>
<td>32.0 0.08 (0.00 to 1.34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubo et al17</td>
<td>0/16</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>29.9 0.09 (0.01 to 1.52)</td>
<td>29.9 0.09 (0.01 to 1.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>6/38</td>
<td>26/35</td>
<td>100.0 0.22 (0.08 to 0.61)</td>
<td>100.0 0.22 (0.08 to 0.61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test for heterogeneity $\chi^2 = 0.08$ df = 1 $p = 0.77$

Test for overall effect $z = -2.88$ $p = 0.004$

---

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Treatment (n)</th>
<th>Control (n)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>WMD (95% CI fixed)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>WMD (95% CI fixed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpay et al15</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4.52 (1.45)</td>
<td>5.50 (1.83) 98.5 −0.98 [−1.58 to −0.38]</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>−6.00 [−10.81 to −1.19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voto et al19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.00 (3.00)</td>
<td>14.00 (10.00) −10.06 [−10.81 to −1.19]</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>−1.06 [−1.65 to −0.46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4.12 (1.42)</td>
<td>5.42 (1.85) 100.0 3.47 p &lt; 0.005</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>−1.06 [−1.65 to −0.46]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test for overall effect $z = 3.47$ $p < 0.005$

---

Figure 1 Number of infants requiring exchange transfusions when comparing high dose intravenous immunoglobulin (HDIVIG) with phototherapy alone.

Figure 2 Number of infants requiring exchange transfusion when comparing high dose intravenous immunoglobulin with phototherapy alone (Rh disease only).

Figure 3 Number of infants requiring multiple exchange transfusions when comparing high dose intravenous immunoglobulin with phototherapy alone.

Figure 4 Mean length of hospital stay when comparing high dose intravenous immunoglobulin with phototherapy alone. WMD, Weighted mean difference.
between the two groups with respect to duration of phototherapy, although the data were not published.

There is an increase in the number of red cell transfusions required for late anaemia in those infants who received HDI-VIG. This just reached significance with very wide confidence intervals (RR 8.0 (95% CI 1.03 to 62.2), RD 0.07 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.14)) (fig 6). Three studies (n = 189) reported on this outcome.

The criteria used in determining when these infants should be transfused were not always apparent. Reports of adverse events Three studies report that the infants were monitored closely for possible side effects of administration of HDIVIG. They observed infants for possible febrile, allergic, haemolytic, and volume overloading side effects of HDIVIG by observation of changes in body temperature, respiratory rate and pattern, heart rate, and blood pressure. None experienced any adverse events with the use of HDIVIG. Problems were, however, seen in infants of the control group who required exchange transfusions. Rübo et al report a case with inspissated bile syndrome and another with bacterial sepsis. A causal link was not established. Alpay et al report two cases in the control group who developed hypoglycaemia and hypocalcaemia after exchange transfusions.

DISCUSSION

Since the advent and widespread use of anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis in preventing sensitisation of Rh negative mothers, the incidence of Rh haemolytic disease of the newborn has fallen dramatically, although it still exists. In fact, anti-D remains the most common cause of morbidity and mortality from haemolytic disease, although its prevalence in relation to other red cell antibodies has appreciably declined. During a 12 month study of seven maternity units in Mersey and North Wales in 1993/1994, clinically important red cell antibodies were detected in 1% of the study population. The morbidity and mortality associated with exchange transfusions are not insignificant. As the incidence of HDN has decreased in recent years, paediatricians are less experienced at performing exchange transfusions, and thus one might postulate that the morbidity associated with this procedure is likely to increase. To reduce the risks of exchange transfusions at the hands of inexperienced staff, the options for less invasive effective treatments such as HDIVIG should be explored.

The “number needed to treat” in order to prevent one exchange transfusion is very low at 2.7 (95% CI 2.0 to 3.8). However, in these studies a large proportion of babies with Rh or ABO incompatibility in the control group required exchange transfusion despite phototherapy. The exchange transfusion rate in the controls is higher than may be expected in the United Kingdom. It is unclear why this is so. The exchange transfusion criteria used in the trials are fairly conventional. The difference may arise for a variety of reasons. Changes have occurred in the natural history of Rh disease with the use of anti-D and also in reproductive practice in Northern Europe. We can postulate that (a) there are differences in antenatal antibody screening, (b) direct Coomb’s tests may only be performed on infants with very aggressive haemolysis, (c) phototherapy may have been inadequate, or (d) there are other differences between the practices or populations in the United Kingdom and Turkey, Argentina, and Germany. Therefore it can be argued that the NNT in the United Kingdom would be higher than that reported in this systematic review. Nevertheless, HDIVIG is an effective treatment in reducing the exchange transfusion rate in neonates with HDN. Even in countries such as the United Kingdom where exchange transfusions are rarely performed in this clinical setting, the NNT would remain low if this treatment were reserved for infants with severe haemolysis, in whom the potential need for exchange transfusion is highest.

This review has shown a reduction in the duration of phototherapy and hospital stay when HDIVIG is used in addition to phototherapy for HDN. The financial saving from the shortened inpatient stay and duration of phototherapy offsets the cost of IVIG.

All the studies included showed a beneficial effect, despite the variation in IVIG doses. It appears that a single dose of 0.5 g/kg on day 1 is as effective as any other treatment regimen. Some authors have implied that the key to successful...
treatment is the early administration of the immunoglobulin, as soon as the diagnosis of haemolytic anaemia is made.

When HDN is treated with HDIVIG in addition to phototherapy, there is a reduction in the degree of haemolysis and therefore the need for exchange transfusions. As the antibody has not been “washed out” (as would be the case during an exchange transfusion), there may be late haemolysis and anaemia. When the effect of the HDIVIG has worn off, the Fe sites on the surface of the reticuloendothelial cells become free to bind antibody sensitised neonatal erythrocytes, thus causing haemolysis. This is postulated to be the reason for the excess late red cell transfusions required in the HDIVIG treated group. The incidence of late anaemia may in fact be similar to that occurring in infants who had moderate haemolysis but did not require exchange transfusions.

At present, IVIG is licensed for use in primary immunodeficiencies and secondary immunodeficiencies such as chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, Kawasaki disease, Guillain Barré syndrome, and paediatric AIDS. It is also used for intractable epilepsy and juvenile dermatomyositis. Although considered to be a relatively safe product, it is not without risk of adverse reactions. The identification of more than 100 patients with hepatitis C apparently acquired from a single immunoglobulin product is a reminder that IVIG is a biological product derived from pooled human plasma. To reduce the risk of transmission of infective agents, donors and donations are selected and plasma pools are tested and undergo a viral partitioning and inactivation process. However, the small risk of potential transfusion transmitted diseases will remain.

Other adverse effects reported from the use of IVIG include headache, meningoencephalitis, fever, nausea, low back pain, transient hypotension, and, rarely, a mild, usually clinically irrelevant, haemolytic anaemia. These adverse effects are usually mild and transient. Serious side effects are very rare and include hypersensitivity and anaphylactic reactions, which can occur in patients with IgA deficiency or hypogammaglobulinemia as a result of the presence of IgA antibodies in the patient’s serum.

Implications for future research

The evidence presented in this systematic review of the available randomised controlled trials of HDIVIG administered in the postnatal period in HDN shows a significant effect for HDIVIG. Thus, it may be considered unethical to delay wider use of HDIVIG while carrying out further research. The optimum treatment dose and frequency is as yet uncertain and this may be an area for further research. Multiple doses of IVIG may reduce the late anaemia and therefore reduce the need for red cell transfusions. In the study investigating the role of repeated doses of HDIVIG, there appears to be a reduction in the need for exchange transfusion in the group receiving a second dose of IVIG 48 hours after the first. However, the numbers are small (25 in each study arm), and flaws occurred in the method of randomisation.
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