ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparative epidemiology of sudden infant death syndrome and sudden intrauterine unexplained death

J F Frøen, M Arnestad, Å Vege, L M Irgens, T O Rognum, O D Saugstad, B Stray-Pedersen

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2002;87:F118–F122

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr Frøen, Department of
Pediatric Research, The
National Hospital,
University of Oslo, N-0027
Oslo, Norway;
j.f.froen@klinmed.uio.no

Accepted
14 February 2002

Background: Unexplained antepartum stillbirth and sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) are major contributors to perinatal and infant mortality in the western world. A relation between them has been suggested. As an equivalent of SIDS, only cases validated by post mortem examination are diagnosed as sudden intrauterine unexplained death (SIUD).

Objective: To test the hypothesis that SIDS and SIUD have common risk factors.

Methods: Registration comprised all stillbirths in Oslo and all infant deaths in Oslo and the neighbouring county, Akershus, Norway during 1986–1995. Seventy six cases of SIUD and 78 of SIDS were found, along with 582 random controls surviving infancy, all singletons. Odds ratios were obtained by multiple logistic regression analysis.

Results: Whereas SIUD was associated with high maternal age, overweight/obesity, smoking, and low education, SIDS was associated with low maternal age, smoking, male sex, multiparity, proteinuria during pregnancy, and fundal height exceeding +2 SD. Thus the effects of maternal age were opposite in SIUD and SIDS (adjusted odds ratio 1.39 (95% confidence interval 1.17 to 1.66) per year, $p < 0.0005$). Heavy smoking, male sex, and a multiparous mother was less likely in SIUD than in SIDS (0.22 (0.06 to 0.83), 0.22 (0.07 to 0.78), and 0.03 (<0.01 to 0.17) respectively). Overweight/obesity and low fundal height were more common in SIUD than in SIDS (7.45 (1.49 to 37.3) and 13.8 (1.56 to 122) respectively).

Conclusions: The differences in risk factors do not support the hypothesis that SIDS and SIUD have similar determinants in maternal or fetal characteristics detectable by basic antenatal care.

The epidemiology of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) has been widely studied. Many risk factors have been found to be strong and consistent across different societies and countries, and their discovery has led to great success in reducing the incidence of SIDS. On the other hand, less attention has been given to the risk factors for unexplained antepartum stillbirths, despite this having been the largest single contributor to perinatal mortality in the Western world for decades.¹ An international consensus was achieved several decades ago on one definition of SIDS, and although disputed, it has remained mainly unchanged for a long time.² However, there have been no specific requirements for the use of the term “unexplained antepartum stillbirth”, and thus earlier studies have reported both unexplained stillbirths that were subjected to post mortem confirmation and stillbirths that were not unexplained but simply unexplored or not classifiable.

We previously proposed a definition of sudden intrauterine unexplained death (SIUD) based on the principles of the definition of SIDS (fig 1).^{2,3} Our previous studies have not only shown that SIUD has risk factors that differ from those of other causes of stillbirth,³ but also that the enigma of SIUD should probably be approached separately for those occurring in pregnancies with intrauterine growth restriction and those without this characteristic.

Some similarities in epidemiological findings⁴ have led to the proposal that SIDS may be related to unexplained antepartum stillbirths. If this is so, it would invite a closer collaboration between research on mechanisms involved in SIDS and unexplained antepartum stillbirths. However, this hypothesis has never been tested in a fully validated study excluding unexplored cases of stillbirth. The purpose of this study was to elucidate possible relations between SIDS and SIUD in terms of maternal and fetal characteristics that are detectable through basic antenatal care.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The registration period was 1986–1995. Details on the collection and identification of valid cases of singleton SIUD in Oslo, Norway, have been published previously.³ In brief, data were collected both through the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN) which is based on compulsory notification of all births with a gestational age of 16 weeks or more,⁵ and through the ICD 9 diagnosis registries of all hospitals in the region. A total of 589 reported stillbirths was identified. Two of these could not be found in the hospital archives and three were not citizens of Oslo, and were excluded. Seventy six cases were validated as singleton SIUD. In addition, 582 singleton liveborn infants who had survived their first year of life were randomly selected as controls and identified through the MBRN.

SIDS cases in Oslo and the neighbouring county, Akershus, were identified by validating all cases of infant deaths occurring between 2 and 52 weeks of age registered through the MBRN, the cause of death registry of the Central Bureau of Statistics of Norway (also based on compulsory notification), and the registries of all departments of pathology and forensic medicine in the region. Seventy eight cases were ascertained as singleton SIDS.

The data collected from MBRN included basic information on the mother and fetus, and data on pregnancy, labour, and delivery. Medical records with data on the fetus and mother at admission and during labour and delivery, mother's obstetric history, midwives notification forms, and results of the medical examinations following stillbirth or infant death were found for all cases. Most (98%) of the standardised records of antepartum care (mother's health card) kept by doctors and

Abbreviations: SIDS, sudden infant death syndrome; SIUD, sudden intrauterine unexplained death; IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction

Figure 1 Definitions of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) and sudden intrauterine unexplained death (SIUD).

midwives were found; they contained mother's obstetric history, medical history, smoking habits (recorded at the first antenatal visit), health during pregnancy, antepartum visits, height, weight gain during pregnancy, measurements of fundal height, results of ultrasound screening, and other tests performed during pregnancy.

Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) estimation was based on customised weight centiles for fetal growth corrected for gestational age, ethnicity, maternal height, weight, age, and parity, as described for SIUD. Low fundal height was defined as more than 2 SD below the mean, or a decrease in fundal height of 1 cm (despite fundal height being within ± 2 SD) between two consecutive antenatal visits, and high fundal

height was defined as more than 2 SD above the mean. Only registrations during the second or third trimester were used, and the standard population based growth curves in the maternity cards were used.

All statistics were performed with SPSS 10.0.7. Cross tables with χ^2 tests and logistic regression with one covariate were used to find crude (unadjusted) odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI), and to decide whether observed differences were significant. Cross tables were also used to detect possible associations between covariates. Multiple logistic regression was used to obtain adjusted OR to test for possible interaction terms. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to test goodness of fit of the different models. Pearson's two tailed test was used to detect correlations.

Table 1 Cases of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), sudden intrauterine unexplained death (SIUD), and surviving controls by basic and clinical variables

Variable	SIDS		SIUD		Controls	
	Number	Valid %	Number	Valid %	Number	Valid %
Age at last menstruation						
<25 years	32	42.7	18	23.6	154	26.5
25–29	25	33.3	28	36.8	215	36.9
30–35	13	17.3	22	28.9	154	26.5
>35 years	5	6.7	8	10.5	59	10.1
Maternal smoking						
No	27	41.5	43	62.3	396	74.9
1–9 cigarettes/day	11	16.9	10	13.2	92	17.4
≥10 cigarettes/day	27	41.5	16	21.1	41	7.8
Maternal parity						
0 births	20	28.2	37	52.1	308	53.8
1 birth	29	40.8	24	33.8	176	30.8
2 births	15	21.1	3	4.2	58	10.1
3 births	6	8.5	4	5.6	18	3.1
4+ births	1	1.4	3	4.2	12	2.0
Previous stillbirths or abortions	10	14.3	10	14.1	94	16.4
Previous induced abortions	19	26.8	15	20.8	135	23.5
Body mass index >25 kg/m ²	8	13.1	17	27.0	56	11.7
Ethnic origin Europe (EU)	71	97.2	62	85.0	468	85.1
Education						
<10 years	18	32.1	16	28.6	69	15.9
10–12	23	41.1	21	37.5	151	34.9
>12 years	15	26.8	19	33.9	213	49.2
Glucosuria	9	14.1	13	18.8	97	16.8
Proteinuria	16	24.2	12	17.4	66	11.5
Abnormally high fundal height	28	45.9	27	41.5	188	34.2
Abnormally low fundal height	5	8.2	8	12.3	30	5.5
Male	52	66.7	40	54.8	296	50.9
Death autumn/winter*	53	69.7	41	53.9	281	48.3
Preterm birth (<38 weeks)	10	13.3	37	48.7	35	6.0
Unrelated parents	78	100.0	68	94.4	558	96.9

Crude numbers are presented together with the percentage of valid registrations. *Date of birth is used in surviving controls.

Table 2 Adjusted odds ratios for sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) compared with sudden intrauterine unexplained death (SIUD) in general, with growth restricted fetus low for optimal weight (SIUD^{IUGR}), or normal weight fetus (SIUD^{not IUGR}) by selected variables

Variable	SIUD v controls OR adj (95% CI)	SIDS v controls OR adj (95% CI)	SIUD v SIDS OR adj (95% CI)	SIUD ^{IUGR} v SIDS OR adj (95% CI)	SIUD ^{not IUGR} v SIDS OR adj (95% CI)
Body mass index					
< 25 kg/m ²	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
≥ 25 kg/m ²	3.14 (1.24 to 7.97)	Excluded as NS	7.45 (1.49 to 37.3)	Excluded as NS	21.7 (2.25 to 208)
Smoking					
No smoking	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
< 10 cig/day	NS	1.93 (0.83 to 4.50)	NS	Excluded as NS	0.04 (<0.01 to 1.07)
≥ 10 cig/day	3.04 (1.13 to 8.19)	8.96 (3.94 to 20.4)	0.22 (0.06 to 0.83)		0.12 (0.02 to 0.84)
Age (linear regression)					
Risk/year	1.11 (1.04 to 1.20)	0.85 (0.78 to 0.92)	1.39 (1.17 to 1.66)	1.37 (1.17 to 1.62)	1.49 (1.15 to 1.92)
Proteinuria					
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	Excluded as NS	3.51 (1.56 to 7.88)	Excluded as NS	0.14 (0.02 to 0.89)	Excluded as NS
Fundal height abnormality					
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Low	Excluded as NS	NS	13.8 (1.56 to 122)	7.82 (1.42 to 43.2)	Excluded as NS
High		2.05 (1.03 to 4.09)	NS	NS	
Primiparity					
Yes	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
No	Excluded as NS	8.78 (3.84 to 20.1)	0.03 (<0.01 to 0.17)	0.04 (0.01 to 0.21)	<0.01 (<0.01 to 0.16)
Sex					
Female	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Male	Excluded as NS	2.17 (1.10 to 4.28)	0.22 (0.07-0.78)	0.24 (0.07 to 0.84)	0.13 (0.02 to 0.82)
Education					
< 10 years	2.89 (1.25 to 6.68)	Excluded as NS	Excluded as NS	Excluded as NS	Excluded as NS
10 years +	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref

NS, Not significant; Ref, reference value.

Figure 2 Birth weight distribution in sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) and controls surviving their first year of life, showing a shift to the left in SIDS compared with controls.

RESULTS

Compared with controls, cases of SIDS had typical characteristics such as high risk associated with maternal smoking during pregnancy and a decreasing risk with increasing maternal age (tables 1 and 2). Male infants had more than twice the risk of female infants. The risk for SIDS was increased if the infant was not the mother's first child, but previous negative pregnancy outcomes had no effect. Low education (less than 10 years) of the mother was much more common in cases of SIDS than in controls. However, maternal educational level lost its significance in the multiple model because low education was significantly ($p < 0.005$) positively correlated with maternal smoking, low maternal age, and higher parity.

Birth weight and gestational age were not associated with SIDS when included as continuous variables in univariate or multiple models, despite a shift towards lower birth weight in SIDS compared with controls (fig 2). A preterm birth (< 38 weeks of gestation) was only associated with SIDS in univariate analysis (crude odds ratio 2.4, 95% CI 1.1 to 5.1), whereas it was of no significance when corrected for the other variables in the multiple models.

In addition to the established risk factor for SIDS, proteinuria and high fundal height measurements were associated with higher risk. In fact, in almost every second future SIDS victim, the mother had high fundal height measurements, and in a quarter of cases proteinuria was also present. These two variables were not significantly associated with any of the other variables analysed in this study, or with each other.

The risk for SIUD increased with maternal age, high cigarette consumption, maternal overweight or obesity, and low education. There were several significant differences between characteristics of SIDS and SIUD in general. The most striking finding was the opposite tendencies in the risk related to maternal age. There was clearly higher cigarette consumption in pregnancies of later SIDS victims than in those terminating in SIUD. The protective effect of primiparity and female sex of the infants that was seen in the SIDS group differed significantly from the SIUD group. Maternal obesity or overweight, one of the key features of SIUD, was also less likely in SIDS than in SIUD. Whereas differences in the occurrence of proteinuria during pregnancy and abnormally high fundal measurements between the two groups were not significant, abnormally low fundal height measurements were clearly more common in SIUD.

Further, as reported elsewhere, 50% of SIUD cases were classified as IUGR. High cigarette consumption was the strongest risk factor for SIUD in a IUGR fetus (SIUD^{IUGR}) (OR 8.4 (95% CI 1.8 to 38.7)), whereas overweight or obesity and maternal age > 35 years were the two strongest risk factors for SIUD in the normal weight fetus (SIUD^{not IUGR}) (OR 19.7 (95% CI 2.9 to 132.2) and OR 12.5 (95% CI 1.4 to 111.7) respectively). Gestational age at time of death was, however, equally distributed in the two groups. SIDS seems to be more comparable to SIUD^{IUGR}, whereas it is significantly different from SIUD^{not IUGR} in key variables such as maternal age, obesity, smoking habits, and parity, and infant sex (table 2).

DISCUSSION

In many countries, great progress has been made in the prevention of SIDS through "back to sleep" campaigns. As the prevalence of prone sleeping has decreased significantly, other

risk factors for SIDS have become more important. The effects of maternal smoking, young age, and high parity have increased.⁶⁻⁸ We have also found such effects, and low maternal educational level correlated positively with all of them. Variables not previously reported to be associated with SIDS—that is, high fundal height and proteinuria in pregnancy—may be of future help in the understanding and prevention of SIDS. These findings are too common among controls to have any immediate implications in prevention, but the mechanisms associating these risk factors with SIDS should be studied. In particular, it is interesting that they seem to be unrelated to the established well known risk factors. Why the mothers with subsequent SIDS losses had high fundal measurements is not known, but as it was not related to birth weight, polyhydramnios cannot be ruled out. A common cause of this is maternal diabetes, but there was no association with glucosuria in pregnancy either. It seems unlikely that proteinuria in SIDS would be connected to precursors of pre-eclampsia, as proteinuria in SIDS did not correlate with pregnancy duration or birth weight, as would be expected in pre-eclampsia. In addition, the low maternal age, low proportion of nulliparous women, and heavy smoking associated with SIDS are well known as protective factors against pre-eclampsia.

The mechanisms involved in SIDS are still far from understood. The situation is no better in unexplained antepartum stillbirths, for which validated studies on some risk factors have only recently been published,^{3 9 10} and there has been no decrease in the number of unexplained antepartum stillbirths over the last few decades. Any proof of common causes or mechanisms would be of great value for research and prevention in both fields. Unfortunately, these results do not seem to support this hypothesis, as key epidemiological variables differ significantly between the two groups even in a small sample such as this, the very strong opposite effect of increasing maternal age being the most conspicuous example.

It is commonly accepted that the “triple-risk” model proposed by Rognum and Saugstad¹¹ and supported by Filiano and Kinney^{12 13} is a useful approach to SIDS research. According to this model, SIDS results from the intersection of three factors: (1) a vulnerable infant; (2) a critical developmental period in homeostatic control; (3) exogenous stressor(s). This approach may prove useful in SIUD research also.

These conditions obviously have their peak incidence in different critical developmental periods, SIUD at the end of gestation^{3 14} and SIDS at 2–4 months of age, but both can be affected by the same exogenous stressors in these vulnerable periods. Although the predisposition of the child to sudden unexplained death through maternal and fetal epidemiological characteristics differs in SIDS and SIUD, a possible predisposition from genetic factors cannot be ruled out. The low recurrence rate and low concordance in twin studies indicate that Mendelian inheritance is not involved in most cases of SIDS.¹⁵ However, it has been reported that some, although few, SIDS victims had a genetic predisposition to cardiac arrhythmias¹⁶ or defective mitochondrial energy production.¹⁷ These hypotheses remain to be tested in SIUD.

In terms of exogenous stressors, two interesting candidates are subclinical infections and tobacco constituents, both well documented risk factors for SIDS.^{18 19} Irrespective of the infectious agents, the inflammatory process that is elicited is a common finding in SIDS.^{20 21} Both systemic endotoxin induced inflammation and nicotine not only prolong laryngeal reflex apnoea and impair respiratory response to hypoxia,^{22 23} but also have significant detrimental effects on the perinatal brain during hypoxaemia in the piglet.²⁴ Recent studies have shown that bacterial infections and subclinical chorioamnionitis, mainly by *Escherichia coli*, played an important role in seemingly unexplained antepartum stillbirths.²⁵⁻²⁷ Together, these results may suggest that both nicotine and subclinical infections or fetal inflammatory response reduce perinatal hypoxia tolerance and survival, in both intrauterine life as SIUD and infancy as SIDS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by Norwegian Women's Public Health Association and The Norwegian SIDS Society.

Authors' affiliations

J F Frøen, O D Saugstad, Department of Pediatric Research, The National Hospital, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
J F Frøen, B Stray-Pedersen, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, The National Hospital
M Arnestad, Å Vege, T O Rognum, Department of Forensic Medicine, The National Hospital
L M Irgens, Medical Birth Registry of Norway, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

REFERENCES

- Editorial.** Unexplained stillbirth. *Lancet* 1987;*i*:22-3.
- Bergman AB**, Beckwith JB, Ray CG. *Proceedings of the second international conference on causes of sudden death in infants*. Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1970:17-18.
- Frøen JF**, Arnestad M, Frey K, *et al.* Risk factors for sudden intrauterine unexplained death: epidemiologic characteristics of singleton cases in Oslo, Norway, 1986-1995. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001;**184**:694-702.
- Walsh S**, Mortimer G. Unexplained stillbirths and sudden infant death syndrome. *Med Hypotheses* 1995;**45**:73-5.
- Irgens LM**. The Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Epidemiological research and surveillance throughout 30 years. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2000;**79**:435-9.
- Arnestad M**, Andersen M, Vege A, *et al.* Changes in the epidemiological pattern of sudden infant death syndrome in southeast Norway, 1984-1998: implications for future prevention and research. *Arch Dis Child* 2001;**85**:108-15.
- Dalveit AK**, Oyen N, Skjaerven R, *et al.* The epidemic of SIDS in Norway 1967-93: changing effects of risk factors. *Arch Dis Child* 1997;**77**:23-7.
- I'Hoar MP**, Engelberts AC, van Well GT, *et al.* Case-control study of current validity of previously described risk factors for SIDS in The Netherlands. *Arch Dis Child* 1998;**79**:386-93.
- Huang DY**, Usher RH, Kramer MS, *et al.* Determinants of unexplained antepartum fetal deaths. *Obstet Gynecol* 2000;**95**:215-21.
- Maleckiene L**, Nadisauskienė R, Bergstrom S. Socio-economic, demographic and obstetric risk factors for late fetal death of unknown etiology in Lithuania: a case-referent study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2001;**80**:321-5.
- Rognum TO**, Saugstad OD. Biochemical and immunological studies in SIDS victims. Clues to understanding the death mechanism. *Acta Paediatr Suppl* 1993;**82**(suppl 389):82-5.
- Kinney HC**, Filiano JJ, White WF. Medullary serotonergic network deficiency in the sudden infant death syndrome: review of a 15-year study of a single dataset. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2001;**60**:228-47.
- Filiano JJ**, Kinney HC. A perspective on neuropathologic findings in victims of the sudden infant death syndrome: the triple-risk model. *Biol Neonate* 1994;**65**:194-7.
- Yudkin PL**, Wood L, Redman CW. Risk of unexplained stillbirth at different gestational ages. *Lancet* 1987;*i*:1192-4.
- Beal SM**. Siblings of sudden infant death syndrome victims. *Clin Perinatol* 1992;**19**:839-48.
- Ackerman MJ**, Siu BL, Sturmer WQ, *et al.* Postmortem molecular analysis of SCN5A defects in sudden infant death syndrome. *JAMA* 2001;**286**:2264-9.
- Opdal SH**, Vege A, Egeland T, *et al.* Possible role of mtDNA mutations in sudden infant death. *Pediatr Neurol* 2002;in press.
- Mitchell EA**, Ford RP, Stewart AW, *et al.* Smoking and the sudden infant death syndrome. *Pediatrics* 1993;**91**:893-6.
- Blackwell CC**, Weir DM. The role of infection in sudden infant death syndrome. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 1999;**25**:1-6.
- Vege A**, Rognum TO. Inflammatory responses in sudden infant death syndrome: past and present views. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 1999;**25**:67-78.
- Vege A**, Rognum TO, Scott H, *et al.* SIDS cases have increased levels of interleukin-6 in cerebrospinal fluid. *Acta Paediatr* 1995;**84**:193-6.
- Frøen JF**, Akre H, Stray-Pedersen B, *et al.* Adverse effects of nicotine and interleukin-1beta on autoreusculation after apnea in piglets: implications for sudden infant death syndrome. *Pediatrics* 2000;**105**:E52.
- Frøen JF**, Akre H, Stray-Pedersen B, *et al.* Prolonged apnea and hypoxia mediated by nicotine and endotoxin in piglets. *Biol Neonate* 2002;**81**:119-25.
- Frøen JF**, Munkeby BH, Stray-Pedersen B, *et al.* Interleukin-10 reverses acute detrimental effects of endotoxin-induced inflammation on perinatal cerebral hypoxia-ischemia. *Brain Res* 2002;**942**:87-94.
- Maleckiene L**, Nadisauskienė R, Stankeviciene I, *et al.* A case-referent study on fetal bacteremia and late fetal death of unknown etiology in Lithuania. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2000;**79**:1069-74.
- Talockiene E**, Morsing E, Holst E, *et al.* Intrauterine infection may be a major cause of stillbirth in Sweden. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2001;**80**:511-18.
- Mathews JE**, Mathai M, Peedicayil A, *et al.* Subclinical chorioamnionitis as a causal factor in unexplained stillbirths. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2001;**74**:195-7.