LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Rapid responses
If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in ADC or Fetal, why not make use of our "rapid response" option?
Log on to our website (www.archdischild.com), find the paper that interests you, click "full text" and send your response by email by clicking on "submit a response".

Providing it isn't libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can retrieve it by clicking on "read eLetters" on our homepage.
The editors will decide, as before, whether to also publish it in a future paper issue.

A national review of neonatal resuscitation programmes for midwives

EDITOR.—A considerable number of babies with no obstetric or neonatal at risk factors require help in establishing respiration at birth. This may range from tactile stimulation to bag and mask ventilation to endotracheal intubation. Midwives in the United Kingdom are primarily involved in the initial resuscitation of newborn babies in delivery units and at home. There is a national lack of neonatal resuscitation training in the United Kingdom, with inadequate provision of neonatal life support skills remaining an acknowledged contributor to perinatal death. There is a national lack of neonatal resuscitation programmes, 116 (84%) expressed a desire to change. Of the 196 maternity units that responded some form of resuscitation programme available for midwives. However, the programme in 42% of these units does not directly follow the Neonatal Life Support Course, recommended by the UK Resuscitation Council. Moreover, 67% of programmes have no established standards. The average period of reassessment in these units is nine months. This interval may be too long because skill retention has been shown to be lost within six months of a neonatal resuscitation programme.

The specific needs of UK midwives to provide basic neonatal life support have not been objectively evaluated, in contrast with the United States and Canada. 1 In addition, there is a collective call for consistent skills attainment, nationally and internationally. The availability of midwives and personnel may contribute to regional differences in resuscitation programmes. Continued structural differences in neonatal resuscitation programmes will further exaggerate differences in local and national practices. Hence, the need to establish uniform standards in neonatal resuscitation and for mandatory hospital trust support not only in organising suitable resuscitation programmes, but also in ensuring compulsory attendance by midwives at these essential training sessions. Encouragingly, 84% of units currently not following the UK Resuscitation Council guidelines expressed a desire to change accordingly.
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Table 1 Clinical details of five neonates presenting with hypernatraemic dehydration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infant</th>
<th>Maternal parity</th>
<th>Birth weight (kg)</th>
<th>Day next weighed</th>
<th>Weight loss (%)</th>
<th>Plasma sodium (mmol/L)</th>
<th>Plasma urea (mmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.430</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.560</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.630</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.420</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Placement of neonatal central venous catheter tips in the right atrium: a practice to be avoided?

EDITOR.—Following the recent media interest in pericardial tamponade complicating the use of percutaneous central venous catheters in neonatal patients, we wish to alert readers to our experience. Our previous policy was to accept right atrial placement of percutaneous central venous catheter tips. This was in line with published recommendations and is still considered acceptable practice in some units in the United Kingdom, in contrast with practice in the United States. Between 1990 and 1997, we had five cases of neonatal pericardial tamponade, three of which resulted in death. All were associated with right atrial tip positioning, confirmed by angiography, chest radiograph, or ultrasound. We have now changed our unit policy to avoid placement of catheter tips in the right atrium, and instead place them in the superior or inferior vena cava. In addition, to allow for the possibility of catheter migration, we recommend that catheter tips should lie at least 0.5 cm outside the cardiac outline on chest radiograph in small infants, or 1.0 cm outside in larger infants. Although the caval position carries a small risk of thrombosis or hydrothorax, these complications are more benign than pericardial tamponade, which has a mortality of 65%. We recommend that placement of a percutaneous central venous catheter tip in the right atrium should no longer be accepted. In addition, we suggest that catheters that display angulation, curvature, or looping within the right atrium carry a particularly high risk of pericardial tamponade and demand urgent action. Although this issue has been the subject of correspondence in the RCPCCH email discussion list, where the consensus was to avoid right atrial tip position, we believe there is a pressing need for a wider debate about current practice in the United Kingdom.
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Newborns have unique confounding factors regarding the TIR-F ratio

EDITOR.—Sweet et al investigated the serum transferrin receptor (STIR) and, for the first time in neonates, transferrin receptor-ligand ferritin (TIR-F) ratio in a prospective series of cord blood taken from term infants and their mothers. They are to be congratulated on completing another piece of the complex jigsaw that is fetal and neonatal iron metabolism.

STIR and TIR-F were increased in iron deficient mothers, but not in their infants. The authors discuss for some length the translational (not transcriptional as stated in the discussion) control of intracellular ferritin synthesis. They measured serum ferritin, which is a glycosylated form of L-ferritin, and has been shown to correlate with intracellular iron in the absence of confounding factors. However, serum ferritin is secreted in response to a wide variety of stimuli, including, for example, inflammation and shows gender differences in newborns. Of course, differences, serum ferritin may not accurately represent tissue iron stores.

It has already been reported that STIR does not correlate with other measures of iron metabolism in the newborn. Of course, differences, serum ferritin may not accurately represent tissue iron stores. It is highly expressed by reticuloocytes and other immature erythroid cells, with or without iron deficiency.

The high sensitivity and specificity of the TIR-F ratio in adults is based upon their relationship in iron deficiency in the absence of factors that might otherwise elevate STIR levels. With both variables subject to these confounding factors in the neonate, I do not agree with the author’s assertion that the TIR-F index “gives a measure of iron requirements in relation to iron availability” in this unique population.
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Changes in plasma creatinine in first 72 hours of life

EDITOR.—Recently, Miail et al have reported a rapid rise in serum creatinine in the first 48 hours of life in neonates. But we have noticed in our clinical day to day practice that this rise is transient and may not be clinically significant. To confirm this we looked at the initial serum creatinine levels on a stable term infant. We think the rise on the first few days of life may be due to renal maturation. In our study we did not find any indication of renal dysfunction.
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Reply

EDITOR.—We thank Peter Reynolds, but feel that our use of the term post-transcriptional is incorrect. Transcriptional regulation of the transferrin receptor and ferritin have been recently expertly reviewed by Hentze and Kuhn.1 We agree that serum ferritin is increased in response to inflammation but that the infants that we studied were born at term following normal pregnancies. All the babies were term and did not require neonatal care. We think that it is unlikely that inflammation or other stimuli affected our serum ferritin values. Furthermore, in this study and in our previous study of preterm infants2 we found no gender differences in contrast to the results published by Tamura et al.4 Our figure for cord ferritin levels at term (listed first as mean + SD) in female infants is almost identical to that of Tamura et al (164 + 106 µg/l vs 166 + 110 µg/l), but our value for male infants is higher (160 + 97 µg/l vs 123 + 71 µg/l). We doubt if there are real gender differences in ferritin levels. Therefore, we are still of the opinion that TIR-F index is a measure of iron requirements in relation to iron availability in the fetus and newborn as in adults and children.
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Haemoglobinopathy as a cause of a nucleated red cells in the fetus and neonate

EDITOR,—We are interested in the article by Hermansen1 on the causes of peripheral nucleated red cells in newborn children and would add another differential diagnosis to this finding.

In the last decade, we have discovered two families affected by haemoglobin disorders where the diagnosis was suspected by the presence of high numbers of nucleated red cells in neonatal blood tests. In neither family was the potential for significant haemoglobin disorders suspected. The families concerned were Indian Asian in origin and the marriages were consanguineous. The children now present with thalassaemia intermedia, but because of the difficulty in predicting the clinical course of these disorders, it is not yet clear whether they will become transfusion dependant, although this is highly likely for two individuals, one in each family.

The first recognised child in Family 1 was born in 1991. A blood test performed because of jaundice on the third day of life showed 160NRBC/100WBC. Other causes of erythroblastosis were excluded. Haemoglobin analyses on the parents showed that the mother was heterozygous for Indian inversion/b-thalassaemia. The eldest child seems more affected and has been transfused twice, following infections.

The second family presented in 1996 when their first son was found at birth to have 2000NRBC/100 WBC. Other causes having been excluded, haemoglobin studies revealed only the existence of b-thalassaemia trait (codon 16bO) in the father. The boy is now anaemic, has thalassaemic bosing of the skull and spondemegaly, and looks as if he will need a transfusion programme. A brother, born in 1999, had 985NRBC/100 WBC in his initial blood test, and has also inherited his father’s haemoglobin pattern. It is likely that this family is showing dominant b-thalassaemia, although recent studies suggest there may be a co-inherited aldolase deficiency, akin to aldolase, from the mother (J Porter, personal communication).

We hope this report may help in the investigation of other families.
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Neonatal paroxetine withdrawal syndrome or actually serotonin syndrome?

EDITOR,—We would like to comment on the article “Neonatal paroxetine withdrawal syndrome” in the March 2001 issue of the journal.2 The authors describe what they have called “neonatal paroxetine withdrawal syndrome”. However, the syndrome reported in the 4 neonates appears to be more consistent with serotonin toxicity, rather than withdrawal of paroxetine.

The literature to date contains one large series,3 two similar case reports with fluoxetine4 and 2 case reports with paroxetine.5 In the fluoxetine cases, the syndrome was not described as a withdrawal phenomenon. In the first, a neonate born to a mother on fluoxetine had jitteriness, irritability, tachypnoea, temperature instability, tremors, increased muscle tone, and a hyperactive Moro reflex.6 All except the last of these are clinical features seen in serotonin toxicity in adults using selective serotonin uptake inhibitors (SSRIs) therapeutically or in overdose.7 The neonate in this case had fluoxetine levels that were measurable initially and which fell as symptoms resolved.

In the two cases with paroxetine, the syndrome is referred to as a withdrawal phenomenon. However the time course and symptoms were similarly typical of serotonin excess.

In the cases reported by Stiskal et al8 the neonates developed the features soon after birth and they resolved over a period of days. In case 2 an increased serum paroxetine level was reported in the infant. The level was too low to detect by day 15, supporting a toxicity syndrome, rather than a withdrawal phenomenon. Similarly, in case 4 there was a raised serum paroxetine level at the time of the adverse effects. Serum paroxetine levels have been positively related to serotonin toxicity in adults.

The features of case 4 may also have been exacerbated by the use of opiates in the delivery room. Pethidine is a well recognised cause of serotonin toxicity in conjunction with a serotonergic agent.9

By March 2001, there were 13 reports to the Australian Drug Reaction Advisory Committee classified as “withdrawal syndrome
neonatal" in conjunction with maternal use of an SSRI. On perusal, many appear to describe serotonin toxicity. We have also been involved with the management of a neonate, born to a mother following a sertraline overdose, who exhibited features of serotonin toxicity. In this case there was a single maternal ingestion 1 hour before delivery and therefore no earlier foetal exposure to cause withdrawal.

We are concerned about the increasing use of the term “neonatal withdrawal syndrome” in symptomatic neonates being born to mothers on SSRIs. This may prompt the use of SSRIs themselves to treat the condition with the potential to increase toxicity. The condition should be correctly referred to as “neonatal serotonin toxicity” or, less specifically, poor neonatal adaptation secondary to serotonergic agents.
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Authors’ response

EDITOR,—Isbister and colleagues point out important issues in defining the syndrome we and others described.1 2 Their argument is that the described syndrome is due to a hyper serotonergic state, rather than a lack of serotonin effect, as the term “withdrawal” suggests. We agree that this issue must be clearly solved because of the significant implications in the clinical management of some of the patients, especially concerning the role of continued breast feeding. At the same time, we are unsure whether we have sufficient data to declare that this is a hyper serotoninergic condition. When we started summarising our experience as a report, we debated what terminology should be used to describe our patients. The term “SSRI discontinuation syndrome” was considered as it simply describes the temporal relationship between the dose and the syndrome. However, we opted for “withdrawal” because of its common use in similar cases in the literature. For example, a report by Kent and Laidlaw3 describes a full term healthy boy born to a mother on sertraline who was breast fed for three days. A day after weaning he developed agitation, poor feeding, constant crying, insomnia, and an enhanced startle reaction. These effects intensified over 48 hours then subsided. The time course in this case strongly suggests a withdrawal reaction. Our 2 patients had therapeutic serum concentrations of the drug. However, we do not know the concentrations prior to the presentation, hence the interpretation of the data is not as simple as Isbister and the colleagues indicate.

We think that the conditions we described resulted from a hypo-serotonergic state due to withdrawal. However, the possibility of functional excess of serotonin cannot be ruled out from the clinical assessment alone as there is considerable overlap between the two entities. The cause of the discontinuation syndrome in adults also remains incompletely understood.1
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