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Abstract

Objective—To examine the association between duration of breast milk feeding and cognitive ability at 7–8 years in a birth cohort of very low birthweight infants.

Design—280 survivors from a national birth cohort of 413 New Zealand very low birthweight infants born in 1986 were assessed at age 7–8 years on measures of verbal and performance intelligence quotient (IQ) using the WISC-R. At the same time mothers were questioned as to whether they had elected to provide expressed breast milk at birth and the total duration of breast milk feeding.

Results—Some 73% of mothers provided expressed breast milk and 37% breast fed for four months or longer. Increasing duration of breast milk feeding was associated with increases in both verbal IQ (p < 0.001) and performance IQ (p < 0.05): children breast fed for eight months or longer had mean (SD) verbal IQ scores that were 10.2 (0.56) points higher and performance IQ scores that were 6.2 (0.35) points higher than children who did not receive breast milk. These differences were substantially reduced after control for selection factors associated with receipt of breast milk. Nevertheless, even after control for confounding, there remained a significant (p < 0.05) association between duration of breast milk feeding and verbal IQ: children breast fed for eight months or longer had adjusted mean (SD) verbal IQ scores that were 6 (0.36) points higher than the scores of those who did not receive breast milk.

Conclusions—These findings add to a growing body of evidence to suggest that breast milk feeding may have small long term benefits for child cognitive development.
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In 1929, Hoefner and Hardy reported that children assessed at 7–13 years of age, and who had been breast fed, had better cognitive performance scores than children who had been artificially fed. Since that time a number of studies have compared cognitive outcomes between breast fed and artificially fed term infants, both when breast feeding was more common among the socially advantaged women and when the contrary was the case.

Methods

In 1986 all 413 New Zealand very low birthweight infants who were liveborn and admitted to a neonatal unit were included in a prospective audit of retinopathy of prematurity. In total, 338 children (82%) survived to discharge from the neonatal unit and 12 of these died before 7 years of age. Some 298 children (96% of surviving children living in New Zealand; 91% of all survivors) were assessed at a home visit at a mean (SD) age of 91 (5) months (1993–1994). The methodology of the follow up study has been fully reported elsewhere. At the time of the home visit, parents were asked to complete a comprehensive questionnaire on aspects of their child’s health, schooling, behaviour, and family background. With respect to the provision of breast milk, mothers were asked whether they had provided breast milk at birth, including expressed breast milk, and for how long the child had received breast milk or been breast fed. The mother’s retrospective recall of breast milk feeding was cross checked against the record of infant feeding practice at the time of birth. In addition, in many cases maternal recall was assisted by the Child Health Record Book. This book is provided to all mothers giving birth and provides an opportunity for the systematic recording of information on the child’s health and development over the preschool period.

Children were assessed with the revised Wechsler intelligence scale for children (WISC-R) and verbal and performance IQ scores recorded. For 17 children (5.8% of the...
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Table 3  Mean WISC-R IQ scores by duration of breast milk feeding after adjustment for covariates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Not breast fed</th>
<th>&lt;4 months</th>
<th>4–7 months</th>
<th>8+ months</th>
<th>β Value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verbal IQ</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance IQ</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&gt;0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

We have shown a clear and significant dose-response relation between duration of receipt of breast milk and WISC-R IQ scores at age 7–8 years. Children who received breast milk for eight months or more had mean verbal IQ scores that were 10 (0.56) points (p < 0.001) and mean performance IQ scores that were 6 (0.35) points (p < 0.05) higher than the mean scores for children who did not receive breast milk. These differences were substantially reduced after statistical control for social and perinatal factors associated with receipt of breast milk: children who received breast milk for eight months or more had adjusted mean verbal IQ scores that were 6 (0.36) points higher and performance IQ scores that were nearly 4 (0.24) points higher than the scores for children who received no breast milk. Nevertheless, even after control for confounding factors, there remained a significant association between duration of receipt of breast milk and verbal IQ.

There are a number of criticisms which can be made of our study. Data on receipt of breast milk were collected retrospectively, although the information was often documented in the Child Health Record Book. A full feeding history was not included in the original perinatal audit. However, donor breast milk was not available in New Zealand neonatal units in 1986 and infants only received expressed breast milk from their own mothers, if at all. As in most other studies relating breast feeding to outcome, we may not have controlled for all factors that may be associated with the mother’s choice to provide breast milk and may independently influence outcome for the child, including the quality of parenting, mother’s own IQ, and the home environment. However, in 1986 in New Zealand, mothers were encouraged to breast feed and supported in their decision to do so, perhaps resulting in the high percentage of mothers (73%) who did elect to provide breast milk in this study and not restricting breast feeding to only the most highly motivated mothers, as could be the case in a less supportive environment. Additional strengths of our study are that it is population based, has included over 90% of eligible children at follow up at 7–8 years of age, and involves very preterm infants who are most likely to benefit from breast milk exposure.

Although not extensive, there is a growing literature suggesting the presence of small cognitive benefits from breast feeding, with breast fed infants exhibiting higher scores on a range of measures of child cognitive development, intelligence, and academic achievement than artificially fed infants. In term samples, these benefits have been shown in preschool populations and school aged children, with detectable benefits even up to the point of school leaving. Benefits have been found in different time periods both when breast feeding was more common among lower socioeconomic status families and conversely when the fashion was for socially more advantaged women to breast feed. Several longitudinal studies of large (n > 700) general child samples have shown that the apparent benefits of breast feeding are resilient to control for confounding and further that there is evidence of dose-response, with benefits increasing with increasing duration of breast feeding. In a recent meta-analysis of this literature, Anderson et al have estimated an advantage of between 2 and 3 points (or about 0.15–0.25 SD units) in mean test performance for infants breast fed for a minimum of three to five months in comparison with bottle fed infants, even after control for confounding.

In any study involving correlational data, it is always possible to raise issues about uncontrolled confounders. With regard to studies of breast feeding and child cognitive development, parental cognitive ability has been suggested to be a potentially important confounder that has not been considered in most previous studies. In particular, two recent studies have failed to find evidence of an association between breast feeding and cognitive outcomes when measures of maternal cognitive test scores were taken into account. However, there are at least two other well-controlled studies, one of which is another New Zealand birth cohort, which included a measure of maternal cognitive ability in addition to other potential confounders and which were still able to find detectable statistical associations between breast feeding and child outcomes. In addition, examination of the meta-analysis by Anderson et al suggests that, although there may be a tendency for the estimated effects of breast feeding from studies that have included a measure of maternal cognitive ability to be at the lower end of the range of effect sizes reported in the literature, nevertheless all effect sizes are positive and suggestive of a beneficial effect for breast feeding. Thus, although parental cognitive ability may explain some component of the observed association between breast feeding and child outcomes, failure to control for this source of confounding may not be the threat to validity that some commentators suggest.

Lucas and others are one of only a very few groups to previously report studies in preterm infants, including the only attempt at a randomised controlled trial. If there are factors in breast milk, or factors that are associated with breast feeding, that are beneficial for brain development, it follows that more premature infants...
infants may benefit most from this form of feeding. The studies of Lucas’s group involved infants of birth weight < 1850 g, whose mothers did or did not elect to provide expressed breast milk and consisted of, firstly, infants randomised to receive either preterm formula or donor expressed breast milk as sole feeding or supplement to mother’s expressed breast milk, and, secondly, infants randomised to receive either term or preterm formula as sole feeding or supplement to mother’s expressed breast milk. Different subsets of the data comprising both randomised and non-randomised comparisons and with follow up at different time periods have been reported in a number of papers and commentaries. Infants received breast milk through a nasogastric tube for a short period only (average one month). Of the first 300 children assessed at 7.5–8 years, there was an 8.3 point IQ advantage (over half the first 300 children assessed at 7.5–8 years, for a short period only (average one month). Of 85 survivors of birth weight 500–999 g and 124 survivors of birth weight 1000–1500 g). In contrast with the UK studies, they found that infants who had received expressed breast milk, but had not been directly breast fed, had WISC-R scores not significantly different from infants who had received no breast milk. Infants who had gone on to be directly breast fed had a tendency to higher psychological test scores at age 2, 5 and 8 years. These authors suggested that the act of breast feeding rather than breast milk exposure may be the important variable associated with enhanced outcome.

In reviewing this limited evidence from preterm samples, Anderson et al suggest that the benefits of breast feeding may be slightly greater for preterm infants than for term infants. The results of the present study are consistent with this conclusion, with estimated effect sizes that are slightly larger than the average effect size estimated for term samples, but very similar to the average effect size for preterm samples.

Collectively, the growing evidence from studies of both term and preterm samples does suggest evidence of small beneficial effects of breast milk for child cognitive development. It is certainly biologically plausible that breast milk exposure may enhance brain development and learning ability. Breast milk contains long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, such as docosahexaenoic acid, which accumulate in the developing brain and retina, as well as many enzymes, hormones and trophic factors, peptides and nucleotides, many of which have as yet unknown functions. However, although there is some evidence that, for instance, supplementing the diet of both term and preterm infants with long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids does lead to early enhancement of visual and cognitive function, there is as yet no convincing evidence of long term cognitive benefits.

There have been a number of proposed mechanisms to explain the observed association between breast milk exposure and subsequent enhanced cognitive status. These include suggestions that the act of breast feeding itself may affect later intelligence, that mothers who choose to breast feed may be different in some important way not controlled for in studies, or that one or more components of breast milk, as detailed above, may be lacking in formula feeds but are necessary for optimal development. There are many other accepted benefits of breast feeding, including reduced childhood infections, reduced rates of allergic reactions where there is a strong family history of atopy, and reduced rates of sudden infant death syndrome. For these reasons alone, it would not be possible to carry out a randomised controlled trial of breast feeding to further investigate the association with long term cognitive outcome. The epidemiological data do not at present allow certainty of knowledge that exposure to breast milk does or does not enhance neurodevelopmental outcome. Our study, in a national population based cohort of very low birthweight infants, adds to growing research evidence supporting a positive association between breast milk exposure and enhanced IQ.
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