Meconium aspiration syndrome and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

Meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS) is a common cause of lung disease in neonates. Meconium staining of amniotic fluid occurs in around 10% of all deliveries at term. Asphyxia before birth stimulates intestinal peristalsis and relaxation of the anal sphincter, and in more severe cases, gasping in utero, leading to meconium aspiration. Respiration after birth draws meconium firstly into the major airways and subsequently into the smaller airways, where it may cause obstruction, atelectasis, air trapping, and pneumothorax. Meconium can also cause a chemical pneumonitis and inactivation of surfactant, further impairing gas exchange and potentiating barotrauma. Infants with severe MAS rapidly develop pulmonary hypertension with right to left shunting through a patent foramen ovale; this shunting further potentiates hypoxia. Severe MAS occurs in around 1 in 500 births, and is characterised by profound hypoxaemia, where the coexistence of parenchymal lung damage and severe pulmonary hypertension can result in a vicious cycle of clinical decline, which is often simply exacerbated by the necessary escalation of “conventional” treatment.

As the field of perinatology has advanced, much attention has been focused on the prevention of MAS, with emphasis on timely delivery and skilled neonatal resuscitation. In infants born with meconium staining of the liquor, the oropharynx should be suctioned before they take their first breath, preferably while still at the perineum. However, absence of meconium from the oropharynx does not necessarily preclude aspiration distal to the vocal cords, and routine intubation with endotracheal toilet does not always prevent the subsequent development of MAS. Therefore despite the best efforts of those resuscitating a meconium affected neonate, a number of these babies go on to develop MAS, with acute respiratory failure requiring positive pressure ventilation.

MAS carries with it not only significant mortality—estimated in one study to be as high as 1 in 12 cases requiring mechanical ventilation—but also major morbidity. Chronic lung disease can result from both the pulmonary effects of meconium itself and the ventilator induced lung injury, which is often regarded as an “inevitable consequence” of the levels of mechanical ventilation that these neonates receive. Furthermore, the extrapulmonary effects of prolonged severe hypoxia, in particular on the brain, and the significant incidence of neurological injury should not be underestimated in this vulnerable group of infants.

Various ventilator strategies have been suggested for neonates with MAS, particularly to control pulmonary hypertension and provide protection from pneumothorax. Inhaled nitric oxide, a selective pulmonary vasodilator, is typically administered to these infants when available, although previous studies have suggested that it may be less effective in the subgroup with MAS. This may in part be due to the physical “barrier” to its diffusion across the alveolar membrane which is produced by the presence of meconium in the distal airways.

Thus, despite these measures, a small subgroup of patients with MAS go on to develop intractable pulmonary hypertension with profound hypoxia, co-existent with a variable degree of parenchymal lung injury. In these infants, the evidence would suggest that extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is the most effective mode of treatment. The natural history of pulmonary hypertension is, in most cases, spontaneous recovery with time. The institution of ECMO therefore provides global tissue oxygenation while allowing pulmonary vasculature and lung parenchyma time to recover from the antecedent insults.

ECMO in the treatment of MAS

The use of ECMO in the treatment of MAS was first reported by Bartlett et al in 1977, who used ECMO to treat eight moribund neonates. Three of these infants survived, as compared with a 90% mortality in a “conventionally” treated group. The use of venoarterial (V-A) ECMO became increasingly popular throughout the United States during the 1980s, with consistently encouraging results for neonates with severe MAS. The criteria for instituting ECMO support were also refined over this time, and an oxygenation index of greater than 40 was suggested as a referral criterion. The oxygenation index is calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Oxygenation index} = \frac{\text{Paw} \times \text{FiO}_2}{\text{PaO}_2} \]

where Paw = mean airway pressure, FiO₂ = inspired oxygen fraction × 100, and PaO₂ = arterial oxygen tension (mm Hg).

Thus by the early 1990s, data from the extracorporeal life support organisation and three small randomised trials in the United States strongly supported the important
role of ECMO in the management of neonatal respiratory failure. However, it was only after the publication of the UK collaborative neonatal ECMO trial that ECMO became a relatively accepted method of support for neonates with MAS in this country. The results of this trial, which again used an oxygenation index of 40 as a referral criterion, concluded that, for every four infants receiving ECMO for MAS, there was one extra survivor. Furthermore, although infants with MAS tend to do relatively less well when treated conventionally than other term neonates with respiratory failure, the converse is true when ECMO support is used. The survival figures for neonates with MAS who receive ECMO are extremely encouraging, and UK ECMO centres currently quote survival figures of around 90% for neonates in whom the primary indication is pulmonary hypertension, without associated severe lung injury attributable to prolonged aggressive ventilation.

ECMO techniques
Two modes of ECMO can be used to support neonates with MAS. Most ECMO centres initially used V-A support, in which the right common carotid artery and internal jugular veins were cannulated, thus providing cardiac and respiratory support. More recently, however, venovenous (V-V) ECMO has emerged as the method of choice for neonates with hypoxic respiratory failure and without significant haemodynamic instability. In V-V ECMO, a double lumen venous cannula is inserted into the right internal jugular vein with its tip in the right atrium; one lumen carries “venous” blood from the patient to the oxygenator, and the “arterial” lumen returns oxygenated blood to the heart. Most neonates with MAS now receive V-V ECMO.

Inotropes are not a contraindication to V-V ECMO. Modest doses of inotropes are often required to balance the detrimental cardiovascular effects of high airway pressures. Furthermore, hypoxia itself is a potent pulmonary vasoconstrictor, and thus right ventricular performance is often significantly diminished as a result of increased afterload. Finally, global hypoxia takes its toll on the myocardium, the oxygen demands of which will by far outweigh its supply, and so myocardial performance can be impaired as a result.

The potential advantages of V-V over V-A ECMO are the reduced risk of intracranial haemorrhage and the theoretical advantage of preserving the intact carotid circulation. Cannulation of the artery, as well as predisposing to arterial intracranial bleeds while on ECMO, will inevitably lead to disruption of flow after decannulation, either as a result of ligation of the vessel or because of the presence of a substrate for turbulence or aneurysm formation if the vessel is repaired.

Duration of ECMO support
ECMO support is usually required for between 100 and 120 hours in MAS, the shortest duration for any neonatal diagnosis. However, this duration will inevitably be significantly increased if high pressure/volume ventilation has led to air leaks before cannulation. While on ECMO, the infant receives “resting ventilation” with slow background ventilation with a moderate level of PEEP to maintain lung expansion (rate 10/min, pressures 20/10). Serial radiographs often show a complete “white out” during the first two to three days (fig 1), with subsequent reappearance of air bronchograms and resolution of the changes as the lungs recover. Lung compliance can be estimated both manually and mechanically while the infant is on ECMO. Unless precipitous decannulation is required for other reasons—for example, large intracranial bleed—the infant is weaned from support when only minimal ventilatory support is needed to provide adequate lung expansion, oxygenation, and gas exchange. At this stage, there is usually radiological evidence of significant lung recovery.

Long term outcome in MAS treated with ECMO
The worldwide survival rate for over 5000 neonates with MAS receiving ECMO support is 94%, which is in line with the UK experience when an oxygenation index of 40 is used as the referral criterion. This is the highest survival rate for any neonatal condition suitable for ECMO. There is also evidence to suggest reduced mechanical and patient related complication rates compared with other subgroups. In terms of long term morbidity, survivors of ECMO do not appear to have any increased rate of disability or neurological damage than other severely hypoxic, conventionally treated neonates with MAS, despite a greater proportion surviving.

Alternatives to ECMO in severe MAS
Other forms of treatment have been suggested for neonates with MAS, including surfactant, inhaled nitric oxide, and even liquid ventilation. However, despite some improvements in oxygenation even within these trials, there were still neonates who required ECMO support. Indeed these various forms of support and therapy are not necessarily mutually exclusive. For instance, surfactant has also been used in neonates supported with ECMO, resulting in improved pulmonary mechanics, reduced time on ECMO, and reduced disease complications.
Conclusions
Despite the weight of evidence pointing to the efficacy of ECMO in supporting neonates with severe MAS, without causing excess morbidity, some infants with an oxygen index greater than 40 are still referred late for ECMO, after high pressure ventilation has already caused major lung damage, or after other organs—in particular the brain—have been subjected to prolonged hypoxia.

At a time when so little of our neonatal, or indeed paediatric, intensive care practice can be truly described as evidence based, neonatal intensivists will welcome the opportunity to clinically apply the compelling evidence that points to the effectiveness of ECMO in severe MAS. Thus the use of ECMO in severe MAS should be regarded not as a “last resort” when other treatment has failed, but more as an extension of conventional treatment.
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