LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Low soluble FcRIII receptor demonstrates reduced neutrophil reserves in preterm neonates

EDITORS,—Studies of human neonate granulopoiesis have been hampered by the lack of a marker of overall neutrophil cell mass. Assumptions about neonate granulopoiesis have therefore largely been extrapolated from rat data. Direct measurement of total neutrophil cell mass (in terms of neutrophils per g body weight) in newborn rats has shown that they have about one quarter the neutrophil mass of adult animals and that their neutrophil mass increases by the time they are 4 weeks old.1 In addition, newborn rodents do not have the reserve pool of quiescent granulocyte progenitors, as found in adults, to recruit into production during sepsis. Circumstantial evidence for a similar immaturity of neutrophil production in human neonates comes from the low proportion of quiescent progenitors in cord blood2 and the frequent occurrence of postnatal neutropenia in preterm infants.3 Some additional insights come from a study of mid-trimester abortions,4 which showed minimal numbers of neutrophils in haemo poetic tissue before 24 weeks gestation. Until now, direct quantitative measurement of total body neutrophil cell mass in human neonates between 24 weeks and term has remained elusive.

In 1992 we reported5 that preterm neonates born before 32 weeks gestation have very low plasma concentrations of the soluble FcRIII receptor (sFcRII). sFcRII is the plasma form of the neutrophil membrane receptor FcγR III which, together with other membrane receptors, is responsible for binding sites during apoptosis in vitro. We observed that, between 24 and 32 weeks gestation, sFcRII concentrations are about 15% of normal adult values (mean (SE) 19.3 (3.3) ng/ml). Between 33 and 36 weeks in utero, sFcRII increases rapidly so that babies born at term have concentrations similar to adults. In babies born before 32 weeks, sFcRII concentrations gradually after birth to achieve adult concentrations by the fourth week of postnatal life. At the time, we interpreted these data to indicate reduced FcRII production by individual cells and thus immaturity of neonatal neutrophil function.

Since we published these data, further work has clarified the origins and significance of plasma sFcRII. These studies have shown that sFcRII is derived from apoptotic neutrophils, and its concentration in plasma reflects the total body neutrophil cell mass as well as the overall production of neutrophils in the bone marrow.6

This new understanding of sFcRII alters the interpretation of our original observation. It is now apparent that our data confirm what we previously only suspected: preterm human infants of less than 32 weeks gestation have reduced neutrophil production and a reduced total neutrophil cell mass at birth. Our data also show that neutrophil reserves reach adult levels about three weeks after preterm birth. Term infants, by contrast, have similar neutrophil production and stores that are similar to those of adults. The reduced neutrophil mass of preterm neonates explains the common occurrence of postnatal and sepsis induced neutropenia. The relevance of this finding to the high sep sis incidence among preterm infants is emphasized by a recent study of patients with idiopathic neutropenia, which has shown that a low sFcRII concentration is a more accurate predictor of infection risk than the peripheral blood neutrophil count.7 Furthermore, this new insight into neonatal immune development suggests that stimulation of neutrophil production by colony stimulating factors early in postnatal life could reduce the incidence and severity of sepsis in preterm neonates by accelerating expansion of their deficient neutrophil reserves.

ROBERT CARR
Department of Haematology, King’s College, St Thomas’ Hospital, London, UK

TOM W J HUIZINGA
Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital Leiden, Leiden, The Netherlands


Chasing hypopneotraemia in preterm infants

EDITORS,—In a recent issue, Hartnoll et al.4 described a baby born to a postnatally sodium supplemented on oxygen dependency, body weight, and body composition of preterm infants. According to them, an overzealous approach to correcting hypopnoea in preterm infants is not scientific. In the same context, I would like to describe a case of a preterm infant who developed symptomatic patent ductus arteriosus after supplementation with sodium.

The infant was a 1060 g baby boy born at 29 weeks of gestation with no significant ante natal history. The first five days were unre markable. On day 6 of life, he was noted to have hyperglycaemia, which persisted, requiring insulin drip. At the same time, his hypopnoea was corrected with extra sodium supplementation. On day 11, he was noted to have a murmur, which later was confirmed by echocardiography as patent ductus arteriosus. The case clearly indicates a need for a conservative approach to hypopnoea in preterm infants, as “chasing” it may lead to fluid retention and development of patent ductus arteriosus.

SHABIB MANSAR
Department of Pediatrics
King Faisal University Hospital
PO Box 40211, Al-Khobar 31952
Saudi Arabia
email: shabimansar@hotmail.com


Suxamethonium is safe in small hands; mivacurium should also be considered

EDITORS,—We thank Whyte et al.5 for detailing the premedication policies for intubation in United Kingdom neonatal units. There is a wide disparity in both the type and dosage of drugs. Whyte et al mention that, as a muscle relaxant, suxamethonium, has important safety benefits. It has a very rapid onset and offset of action, and therefore there is a “get out clause” for the difficult intubation or intubation by an inexperienced operator. However, other considerations need to be taken into account when suxamethonium is used.

Firstly, intravenous premedication is contraindicated in conditions known to be associated with difficult intubations, such as the Pierre Robin sequence. Further, suxamethonium is a depolarising muscle relaxant and therefore cause sinus bradycardia. Atropine can be given in an attempt to avoid this.

Suxamethonium causes depolarisation at the neuromuscular junction, rarely leading to hyperkalaemic induced cardiac arrest. Undiagnosed myopathic conditions were found in a number of affected children, and paediatric licensing for this drug was therefore removed in the United States. However, no other very rapidly acting drug has been manufactured, and, because of its popularity, suxamethonium was reinstated. Before its use, a detailed family history for myopathic conditions must be taken.

In our neonatal unit, we have started to use fentanyl, an opioid, with mivacurium as the muscle relaxant. The disadvantage of mivacurium is that it is only a rapidly acting muscle relaxant and may therefore carries no risk of life threatening arrythmias. The routine use of atropine is not necessary.

PDA, patent ductus arteriosus.

Table 1 Summary of the events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day no</th>
<th>Total fluids (ml/kg)</th>
<th>Urinary output (ml/kg)</th>
<th>Sodium input (mmol/kg)</th>
<th>Serum sodium (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>940 (PDA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We agree that a randomised trial needs to be undertaken to prove that premedication has the desirable end point of reducing neonatal morbidity, particularly intraventricular haemorrhage.

ASRAR RASHID Special paediatric Registrar Neonatal Unit Birmingham Heartlands Hospital Bordesley Green, Birmingham B9 5SS email: Draar@innome.net


Premedication for intubation in neonates

EDITOR.—We were very interested in the recent papers by Bhutada et al1 and Whyte et al2 on the use of premedication for sedative intubation in neonates. It is now well accepted that term and preterm neonates tolerate awake intubation poorly, often exhibiting hypoaxia, bradycardia, and systemic and intracranial hypertension during nasotracheal or orotracheal intubation.1,3 Analgesia and sedation are still used infrequently in nurseries for intubation and other “routine”, but invasive, therapeutic or diagnostic procedures.

We recently performed a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial to assess whether sedation with midazolam in premature infants would improve physiological stability and the success rate of endotracheal intubation. Eight premature infants underwent 16 intubation procedures after being randomly assigned to one of three groups: I (n = 6) received atropine and placebo; II (n = 7) received atropine and midazolam. Infants could be randomised again to a different group after completion of each intubation procedure. Heart rate, blood pressure, and oxymyoglobin saturation were recorded at 10 minute intervals for each infant. The study was terminated when the protocol showed that the number of episodes of oxymyoglobin desaturation around the time of intubation was significantly greater in group III (86%) than in group I (0%; p < 0.01). Cardiopulmonary resuscitation was required in 29% of group III compared with 0% of group II (p = 0.16).

In our trial, premature infants who received midazolam were at increased risk of oxymyoglobin desaturation during tracheal intubation. There was also a trend toward an increased need for cardiopulmonary resuscitation in these infants. Although regrettably underpowered due to the cause of early termination, our study indicates caution in the use of midazolam as routine premedication for tracheal intubation in premature infants. Further investigation with a larger number of infants is needed to clarify its safety and efficacy profile.

Although we agree that an important goal in neonatal medicine is to minimise pain and stress, more information is needed on the effects of intravenous anaesthetic agents, such as thiopental and methohexital, in both stable and unstable preterm neonates before these medications can be recommended for routine use. Further randomised controlled trials are needed to help formulate specific premedication guidelines for the variety of noxious procedures that infants undergo in neonatal intensive care units.

DIANE M ATTARDI Division of Neonatology Christiana Care Health Services Newark, DE 19718, USA

JAY S GREENSPAN Division of Neonatology Thomas Jefferson University Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

Incidence of severe retinopathy of prematurity

EDITOR.—We were interested to read the article of Vyas et al1 on the incidence of severe retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) in 11 neonatal units in five cities in England in 1994. We have published similar data from eight neonatal units in New South Wales (NSW) Australia in 1993 and 1994.2 These data were prospectively collected in the NSW Neonatal Intensive Care Unit’s data collection and is stored and maintained in the NSW Centre for Perinatal Health Services Research, University of Sydney, NSW.

For infants < 29 weeks gestation, there was no significant difference in severe ROP (≥ stage 3) between the five cities in England and NSW (table 1). Unlike Vyas et al1, we could not find an association between improved survival and the development of severe ROP. In six of the neonatal units in our study (two have been excluded from this analysis as they are children’s hospitals and have very few small premature infants), survival in infants of < 27 weeks gestation ranged from 51.3% to 68.8%. The percentage with severe ROP for these two units with the lowest and highest survival was 15 (3/20) and 24 (5/21) respectively, while the range of severe ROP in the six neonatal units was 15% (3/20) to 56% (9/25).

In infants of 27–28 weeks gestation, survival ranged from 85.1% to 96.7% and the percentage with severe ROP for the two units with the lowest and highest survival was 7 (4/56) and 3 (2/58) respectively, while the range of severe ROP in this group of infants was 2% (1/50) to 7% (4/56) (unpublished observations). We have also shown that, despite an increase in survival of preterm infants following the introduction of surfactant, there was no significant impact on the incidence or severity of ROP.

In infants of 29–31 weeks gestation, six of 443 infants (1.4%) developed severe ROP and one required Cryo/Laser treatment.2 This infant was 30 weeks gestation with a birth weight of 1305 g. We therefore agree with Vyas et al1 that there should be no reduction in the upper limit of gestation or birth weight for screening for ROP.

DAVID A TODD Neonatal Unit The Princess Anne Hospital, London Road, Southampton, Hampshire, SO16 6YD, UK

JOHN KENNEDY Department of Ophthalmology Westmead Hospital Sydney, NSW, Australia

Blood pressure standards for very low birthweight infants

EDITOR.—Based on careful invasive blood pressure measurements in a cohort of 61 very low birthweight (VLBW) infants during the first 24 hours of life, Lee et al3 report on blood pressure standards in this population. The quality of the blood pressure readings was assessed using continuous video recordings during the first wave forms. The inclusion of a relatively large number (n = 28) of extremely low birthweight infants is another strength of this study.

In an attempt to define normal blood pressure values in a population that by definition is not “normal”, the authors have excluded very ill infants and infants requiring inotropic support. They have also excluded infants who developed intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) grades III and IV during the first 24 hours of life. They suggest that the lower limits of mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) for infants between 26 and 32 weeks of gestation are numerically similar to the gestational ages. With the exclusion of infants who developed severe IVH, it became impossible to detect any association between blood pressure and IVH. Decreases in cerebral blood flow may play an important role in the pathogenesis of IVH, and, although MAP is not a reliable parameter to assess cerebral blood flow, at least two published studies4,5 have shown that the incidence of IVH was higher in infants with lower MAP. Perhaps the authors could indicate how many infants...
with IVH grades III and IV were excluded and what blood pressures were recorded in these infants. For similar reasons, it remains uncertain whether the proposed blood pressure standards are applicable to very ill infants or infants who require isotropic support. It is conceivable that cerebrovascular resistance in sick preterm infants differs from that in the study patients, and consequently the application of the suggested blood pressure standards to sick preterm infants would result in different—and possibly inadequate—cerebral blood flows.

The data presented add to our knowledge on blood pressure in VLBW infants, but do not allow the conclusion that the suggested blood pressure standards are safe for all VLBW infants.

THOMAS M BERGER
Neonatal and Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
Kinderspital Luzern, CH-6000 Luzern 16
Switzerland


Letters, Correction


Patient triggered ventilation in neonatal respiratory distress syndrome

EDITOR,—Baumer reports the results of a large multicentre study comparing the effects of patient triggered ventilation (PTV) with conventional ventilation (IMV).1 There appears to be no benefit from PTV compared with IMV in death rate, development of chronic lung disease, pneumothorax rates, and cerebral ultrasonographic abnormality. In addition, because of an increased trend toward a higher pneumothorax rate, Baumer concludes that, at present, PTV delivered with either the SLE 2000 or the Dräger babylog 8000 should not be recommended for infants of less than 28 weeks gestation with respiratory distress syndrome (RDS).

However, we are concerned that this may be a premature conclusion given the significant difference in PTV delivered by the two main ventilators used and the potential heterogeneity of clinical practice within the different centres involved, despite agreed ventilation protocols. Dimitriou et al showed that neonates and infants trigger a significantly lower proportion of breaths using the SLE 2000, an airway pressure triggered ventilator that provides synchronised intermittent positive pressure ventilation (SIPPV). Attempts to optimise the trigger rate of the SLE 2000 ventilator by increasing pressure sensitivity often result in markedly increased work of breathing as discussed by Baumer. Therefore the PTV modes of the two ventilators are substantially different. This prompts us to ask whether the findings of this multicentre study are only applicable to PTV provided by the Dräger babylog 8000? Would there have been a different outcome if all the triggered babies had received SIPPV?

It is not known how many of the 40/231 babies of less than 28 weeks gestation who had pneumothorax were receiving SIPPV. As only 11% (52/465) of all triggered babies ever received SIPPV, we surmise that very few of the 40 were ventilated in this way. Is it fair to conclude that the Dräger babylog 8000 has a trend to pneumothorax on SIPPV?

In a separate smaller study, Baumer also reports 120 patients in three centres randomly assigned to either the Dräger babylog 8000 or the SLE 2000 ventilator, and found a non-significant trend to higher pneumothorax rate, chronic lung disease, and death for the former group. But we are not told how many of the babies were actually on trigger mode (PTV or SIPPV). They should all have been receiving IMV or another mode (e.g. intermittent mandatory ventilation) where the baby’s breaths, selected during a “time window”, trigger the ventilator with the preset number of breaths per minute. The results were reported in the way that they were for a reason. The original study design allowed a four way randomisation between the two makes of ventilator and the two modes of ventilation. Therefore is it possible that SIPPV is not being tested?

A further finding was a significantly higher incidence (124/465) of pneumothorax in the babies that departed from their assigned mode of ventilation, 45 of these failing to trigger their ventilator. Were they all on the SLE 2000 ventilator, as Dimitriou et al would predict?

Finally, we note that 10 of the 22 neonatal units each recruited less than 20 patients over the four year period, one contributing only one patient. Could the technique of PTV ventilation in units contributing so few be different from those contributing 60–136 patients over the same period, despite prior visits from the trial coordinator? Would a logistic regression for morbidity against number of patients contributed from each unit show that the observed morbidity occurred in units that contributed fewer patients, rather than those using PTV or SIPPV modes?

Given the heterogeneity of the units involved and the significant difference in ventilators used, we think that it is premature to dismiss SIPPV on the Dräger babylog 8000 in neonates less than 28 weeks gestation with RDS. We agree with Baumer that further studies are required, and extend his conclusion by saying that PTV with the SLE 2000 (n = 411) rather than SIPPV from the Dräger babylog 8000 ventilator (n = 52) cannot be recommended in this group.

MARGARITA BURMEISTER
ANDY PETROS
Intensive Care Unit
Great Ormond Street Hospital
London WC1N 3JH, UK

Dr Baumer responds on behalf of the trial collaborators:

The interest displayed in the trigger ventilation trial by Bermeister and Petros is welcome. Their letter raises questions about the interpretation of the performance of the Dräger babylog 8000, which was used in a minority of infants in the study.

The trigger sensor device is different, and we agree with their important statement that, as each trigger ventilator performs differently, results obtained using one ventilator cannot be extrapolated to another. However, both ventilators were used in PTV mode (sometimes referred to as spontaneous or intermittent positive pressure ventilation, assist control, or synchronised assisted ventilation in infants), with the ventilator set to trigger at each inspiratory effort. No infants in this study were ventilated with SIMV. Therefore is it possible that SIPPV is not being tested?

The observed rate of pneumothorax was substantially (but not significantly, χ² 2.8, p > 0.05 = 0.1) higher in the infants ventilated in PTV mode than in IMV mode using the SLE 2000. Although the numbers were small, the observed pneumothorax rate was higher in infants ventilated with the Dräger babylog 8000 than in those ventilated with the SLE ventilator. If it therefore seems somewhat illogical to recommend caution in using
PTV, patient triggered ventilation; IMV, intermittent mandatory ventilation.

The SLE 2000 in PTV mode in infants less than 28 weeks gestation, but not extend this caution to ventilating infants with the Dräger babylog 8000.

Given that none of these differences were statistically significant, no clear recommendation can be given. This is why the wording used in the publication was “it might be prudent to avoid . . .”.

As regards the number of infants departing from their assigned mode of ventilation, several points should be emphasised. The study protocol permitted changing the mode of ventilation at the discretion of the attending clinicians. This was inevitably interpreted differently by each clinical team. Departure from the assigned mode of ventilation was not an intended outcome, and it is evident that this occurred more commonly in the more immature infants and those that subsequently died. High rates of departure from the assigned mode cannot therefore readily be interpreted as evidence of failure of the assigned mode. Table 2 shows the numbers of infants of all gestations departing from the assigned mode of ventilation.

There was therefore a higher crude rate of departure from the assigned mode of ventilation in infants ventilated with the Dräger babylog 8000, with a similar proportion departing the Dräger with pneumothorax.

Burmester and Petos ask whether centres contributing few patients may have higher morbidity rates, correcting for potential confounding factors by using a logistic regression model. The pneumothorax rate from centres contributing less than 20 patients was the same as the centres contributing more infants.
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of 38 units from 11 European countries, it was shown that the nine units that imposed visiting restrictions were in France, Greece, Italy, and Portugal.

We would like to provide further information on visits policy in Greek neonatal intensive care units (NICUs). There are 15, two of which are private; 12 are attached to maternity hospitals and the remaining three are in children’s hospitals and accept acute referrals. Out of one (Aglaia Kyriakou Children’s Hospital), visiting restrictions are imposed. These allow parents only, and the usual practice is 30 minutes to one hour visiting time in the morning and afternoon (except mothers). The most common reasons given for imposing restrictions are increased danger of infection and a disruptive effect on the unit.

We conducted a survey, through questionnaire and interview, of parents with a baby who had been cared for in another NICU that imposed visiting restrictions before it was transferred to our NICU and/or parents who had had a previous baby in another NICU that imposed restrictions. The overwhelming majority (98.6%) said that they preferred our liberal policy on visiting. One mother of a preterm baby with bronchopulmonary dysplasia said that “if I had delivered at term I would be with my baby; if I had not delivered prematurely I would also be with my baby (in my womb); now that I have delivered prematurely why can’t I be with my baby?”

We conclude that there is a demand for unrestricted parental visiting, but most Greek NICUs do not meet this demand for reasons not based on medical or sociological evidence.

It is worth noting that, in Greece, infants beyond the neonatal period have been admitted to children’s wards with their mothers for many years.

H D DELLAGRAMMATICAS
NICOLLA IACOVIDO
NICU, 2nd Department of Paediatrics,
University of Athens,
Aglaia Kyriakou Children’s Hospital,
115 27 Athens, Greece


Ureaplasma colonisation and chronic lung disease in neonates

EDITOR,—We read the article by Hannaford et al with interest. We would like to point out that in our recent retrospective analysis, our findings of the colonisation rate of genital mycoplasmas (Ureaplasma urealyticum and Mycoplasma hominis) in the respiratory tract of premature infants of 27% were similar to those in the study. We also found a higher incidence of chronic lung disease (CLD) in our cohort colonised with genital mycoplasmas.

We are, however, intrigued by the implication of a “protective effect” of Ureaplasma urealyticum on chronic colonisation on respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) in this study. The hypothesis that this may be related to the “stimulatory effect of subacute intrauterine infection on lung maturation...” is interesting but unsubstantiated. Current evidence suggests that the sicker infant with RDS has an increased early inflammatory response and a higher rate of CLD.4 In the present study, the incidence of RDS in Uu colonised infants was lower—but their incidence of CLD at 36 weeks was higher. Were there other factors—for example, increased incidence of PDA, more IV fluid use, that predisposed these infants to develop CLD? Another issue is that in the multivariate analyses, Uu colonisation was a significant risk factor for CLD only in singleton infants, but the relationship did not hold true when all infants were analysed. Based on these observations, we wonder if the “protective effect” is real or a statistical aberration? More research needs to be done to study this “protective effect” of Uu colonisation on RDS before any conclusions can be drawn.

The treatment of Uu colonisation was not discussed in this paper. In our experience, erythromycin alone was not effective in clearing the organism from the respiratory tract. We found a short course of postnatal steroids alone or in combination with erythromycin more effective.5 We wonder if infants receiving postnatal steroids were confounders in the discrepancy between the incidence of RDS and CLD.

VINEET BHANDARI
Department of Paediatrics,
Albert Einstein Medical Center,
Philadelphia, PA 19141, USA

NAVEED HUSSAIN
Department of Paediatrics,
University of Connecticut Health Center,
Mailcode 2203, Farmington, CT 06030–2203, USA


Dr Gilbert et al respond:

EDITOR,—We thank Drs Bhandari and Hussain for their interest in our paper. We too were intrigued by the apparently contradictory effect of ureaplasma colonisation on the lungs of affected infants and acknowledge that our hypothesis is unsubstantiated. The possibility that a subacute intrauterine infection could stimulate endogenous surfactant production is a plausible explanation for the significantly lower incidence of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) in these infants. The fact that intrauterine infection is low grade, may also mean that delivery is delayed for long enough for exogenous steroids to take effect.

The development of chronic lung disease (CLD) is dependent on many factors, and, in our study, it correlated less closely with ureaplasma colonisation than did RDS. We assumed that other effects of multiple gestation outweighed those of ureaplasma infection on the development of CLD in twins. Presumably, the lower rate of RDS in ureaplasma infected singleton infants reduced their risk of CLD, directly or indirectly. If so, there must be other respiratory insults severe enough to offset this effect. We were unable to identify factors associated with CLD from RDS and ureaplasma infection. In particular, the incidence of PDA did not differ between infants with and without CLD.

We postulate that the low grade inflammatory effect of intrauterine ureaplasma infection could cause significant irreversible lung damage and predispose to CLD, as well as stimulating the production of surfactant. The outcome is unlikely to be affected by erythromycin therapy after birth, except in the minority of infants in whom there is frank pneumonitis, with progression after birth.

We have not studied the effects of postnatal steroids, but this is unlikely to be a significant confounding factor. The data we obtained when the study was done, steroid therapy was not given until about four weeks of age, when CLD was already established. Although we agree that further research is needed to confirm our findings, we believe that the inverse relationship between ureaplasma colonisation and RDS was too strong to be dismissed as a statistical aberration.

Centre for Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, Institute of Clinical Pathology and Medical Research, Westmead Hospital, Westmead 9145 NSW Australia 2145

Editor’s note
References 22–30 of the paper by Hannaford et al were incorrect, and each correlate with the number below in the text. Thus reference 22 in the reference list corresponds with reference 21 in the text, and so on. This correction appears online [adc.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/fetalneonatal/81/F3/F162/DC1]. The journal would like to apologise for this error.

The authors of the paper “Risk adjusted and population based studies of the outcome for high risk infants in Scotland and Australia” Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2000;82:118–123 have asked us to publish the following corrected version of table 6. The authors apologise for the error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative risk of neonatal death</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500–999 g</td>
<td>1.00–1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000–1499 g</td>
<td>1.00–1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500–1499 g</td>
<td>1.00–1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The journal would like to apologise for this error.

Centre for Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, Institute of Clinical Pathology and Medical Research, Westmead Hospital, Westmead 9145 NSW Australia 2145
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