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Abstract

**Aim**—To examine the effect of body position on clinically significant gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR) in preterm infants.

**Methods**—Eighteen preterm infants with clinically significant GOR were studied prospectively using 24 hour lower oesophageal pH monitoring. Infants were nursed in three positions (prone, left, and right lateral) for 8 hours in each position, with the order randomly assigned. Data were analysed using analysis of covariance.

**Results**—The median (range) reflux index (RI) for the group was 13.8% (5.8–40.4). There was no significant difference in the mean time spent in each position. RI (mean % (SEM)) was significantly less in prone (6.3 (1.7)) and left lateral positions (11.0 (2.2)), when compared with the right lateral position (29.4 (3.2)); p<0.001. The mean (SEM) longest episodes (mins) of GOR were reduced by prone and left positions (8.6 (2.2) and 10.0 (2.4), respectively) compared with the right position (26.0 (3.9)); p<0.001. The mean (SE) number of episodes was reduced by prone (15.4 (2.8)) and left (24.6 (3.5)) positions when compared with right (41.6 (4.6)) (p<0.001).

**Conclusions**—Prone and left lateral positions significantly reduce the severity of GOR, by reducing the number of episodes and the duration of the longest episodes. Such positioning offers a useful adjunct to the treatment in hospital of preterm infants with gastro-oesophageal reflux.

(Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 1999;81:F201–F205)
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Gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR) is common in preterm infants and may cause apnoea, aspiration pneumonia, and chronic lung disease. Despite this associated morbidity, the effect of body position on GOR has not been adequately studied. The degree of GOR is dependent, to some extent, on the effect of gravity, which moves gastric contents away from the gastro-oesophageal junction when patients are upright. Infants, who spend most of the time lying flat, may be more susceptible to reflux because the gastric contents can settle in close proximity to the junction. This notion led to the introduction of postural treatment measures such as infant seats and cot elevation. However, unsupported upright posture in those placed in infant seats may lead to increased abdominal pressure which makes reflux worse and use of this mode of treatment has declined.

Prone positioning can reduce the degree of reflux. The supine position predisposes to a greater degree of reflux than the prone position. The benefits of nursing on a slope are less clear.

Concern about the association between prone positioning and increased risk of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) stimulated work on the effect of lateral positioning on the degree of GOR in term infants. In this group the prone and left lateral positions were both associated with significantly less reflux compared with the right lateral and supine positions.

The effect of body position on GOR in preterm infants is not clear. In a study of 35 preterm infants Newell noted that the prone and right lateral positions exhibited similar degrees of reflux whereas the left lateral position showed a non-significant trend towards greater severity of reflux. However, the study was not specifically designed to examine this effect and the time actually spent in each position is not clear.

As far as we are aware, no study has specifically examined the effect of body position on the severity of GOR in preterm infants.

This study therefore aimed to evaluate prospectively the severity of reflux parameters in each of the three common nursing positions for preterm infants in hospital. The supine position was not examined as this seems to be unequivocally associated with more severe reflux and apnoea.

**Methods**

The study was carried out on the Regional Neonatal Intensive Care Unit at Birmingham Women's Hospital. The effect of body position on clinically significant reflux was of interest, so only infants who were suspected clinically of having GOR were enrolled. The clinician in charge requested a 24 hour pH study as a routine investigation. Infants were then enrolled if they fulfilled the following criteria: preterm delivery (less than 37 weeks of gestation); more than 7 days old; receiving full enteral feeds at a minimum of 150 ml/kg/day. Symptoms in those enrolled included excessive regurgitation of feeds and xanthine resistant apnoea and bradycardia (where infection had been excluded).

Thirty infants were initially enrolled into the study. Twelve were excluded for the following reasons: two did not complete the study because of clinical deterioration which required discontinuation of feeds; five infants did
not have clinically significant reflux, having a reflux index less than 5%; and in five infants, either the infant was not nursed in one of the three positions for clinical reasons, or position documentation was unsatisfactory and the time spent in each position was impossible to calculate accurately. The remaining 18 infants comprised 12 boys and six girls with a median (range) gestation of 28 (25–32) weeks, birthweight 945 (480–1750)g, and age at study 27 (11–73) days.

There was no significant difference in gestation, birthweight, or age at time of study between those infants included and those excluded (n=12) (Mann-Whitney U test).

Of the 18 study infants, 10 were fed expressed maternal breast milk, three fed fortified breast milk, three fed preterm formula (Cow and Gate Nutriprem), three a combination of fortified breast milk and Nutriprem; one was fed term formula (Cow and Gate Premium), and one Pregestimil (Bristol-Myers). All infants were fed via an indwelling nasogastric tube at intervals ranging from one to three hours. Fourteen infants received hourly feeds, one infant received two hourly feeds, and three infants three hourly feeds.

Eleven of the study infants were receiving drugs which have been reported to affect GOR: seven infants were receiving cисapride, two were receiving cisapride, and two infants were receiving both of these drugs. These drugs were continued during the study period according to our clinical practice. This did not affect the results as each infant was its own control.

**LOWER OESOPHAGEAL pH MONITORING**

The intra-oesophageal pH monitoring system used a 1.5 mm diameter monocrystalline antimony pH electrode and Digitrapper Mk III solid state recorder (Synectics Medical Ltd, Sweden) over a 24 hour period. The position of the lower oesophageal sphincter was estimated by measuring the distance from the shoulder tip to the umbilicus. The pH electrode was then passed nasally into the oesophagus by measuring the distance from the shoulder tip to the umbilicus. The pH electrode was positioned at 7/8 of this distance. Position of the electrode tip was confirmed by chest x-ray and was judged satisfactory if it was 1 cm above the diaphragm. Calibration of the pH electrode was performed before and after each study using standard buffer solutions of pH 1.07 and 7.01 (Synectics Medical Ltd, Sweden). No drift was noted.

At the beginning of the study period each infant was randomly assigned by sealed envelope to one of the six permutations of the three nursing positions (right, left, prone; prone, left, right, etc) and the infant was successively nursed in each of these positions for periods of 8 hours (or as near as possible) during the study. The right and left positions were lateral positions with the stated side lowermost. Position was not altered during or immediately after feeds. Nurses kept a hand written diary of positioning and any significant events such as handling and feeding.

Infants remained in the study if they then fulfilled the following criteria: a reflux index of 5% or greater; a technically satisfactory study; all time accounted for with a completed diary of nursing observations and correct rotation through the prescribed position order.

We chose a reflux index of 5% as our clinical experience suggests that symptoms can occur at this level.

Informed parental consent was obtained in each case and the protocol was approved by the local research ethics committee.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Position data from the study infants were then analysed using the EsopHogram computer software package (Gastrosoft Inc., Synectics Medical Ltd, Sweden). Parameters of reflux severity (RI), number of reflux episodes, number of episodes greater than 5 minutes, and duration of longest episode) were then analysed in each of the three positions to assess the effect of position on severity of GOR. A reflux episode was defined as a lower oesophageal pH of <4.0 for 15 seconds or longer. RI was defined as the percentage of study time during which lower oesophageal pH was <4.0.

The study contained a treatment factor (position). The order of positions was randomised into the six possible permutations of the three 8 hour position segments. The reflux parameters in each of those positions were analysed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The actual time each infant spent in each of the three positions was analysed as the covariate in the ANCOVA to allow for the fact that time spent in each position may affect the reflux parameters. The analysis additionally contained two other sources of variation: between infant and between 8 hour period within days.

The assumptions of the ANCOVA were assessed graphically. The residuals did not fit a normal distribution with the error variance increasing with increasing values. Thus a square root transformation (for number of episodes, number of episodes greater than 5 minutes, and longest episode) and an arcsine transformation (for RI) were applied to stabilise the variance and the assumptions then held. To retain the familiar scale of measurement, the treatment means and standard errors were adjusted for the covariate—the actual time in each position in the ANCOVA and then transformed back appropriately to the original scale. Pairwise comparison of means were done with the least significant difference (LSD) method at the 5% level to evaluate the differences between positions.

All statistical analyses were performed using Minitab for Windows statistical software.

**Results**

The median (range) reflux parameters for the 18 infants over the 24 hour study period are shown in table 1.

Table 2 shows the predicted mean (SEM) reflux parameters for each of the three nursing positions. There were no significant differences in the mean times spent in each of the three positions.

For reflux index, the effect of position was highly significant (p<0.001). Prone position
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(*p<0.001, **p=0.002). Time means are for raw data (horizontal line indicates median value)

Figure 1 Raw reflux index data for each nursing position

Table 1 Median (range) reflux parameters for the 24 hour study period (n=18)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reflux parameter</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reflux index (%)</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>5.8-40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of episodes</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>29-243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No &gt;5 minutes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest episode</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15-70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Predicted mean (SEM) reflux parameters in the three nursing positions after covariance adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prone</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time (min)</td>
<td>480 (9.3)</td>
<td>485 (10.6)</td>
<td>470 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux index (%)</td>
<td>6.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>11.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>29.4 (3.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of episodes</td>
<td>15.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>24.6 (3.5)</td>
<td>41.6 (4.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episodes &gt;5 min</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.8)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest episode</td>
<td>8.6 (2.2)</td>
<td>10.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>26.0 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*p<0.001, **p=0.002). Time means are for raw data

was significantly less than left, and both were significantly less than right position.

For number of reflux episodes, the effect of position was again highly significant (p<0.001). Prone position was significantly less than left, and both were significantly less than right position.

For number of episodes >5 minutes and duration of longest episode, the effect of position was significant (p=0.002 and p<0.001, respectively). Both prone and left positions were significantly less than right (fig 1).

Discussion

This study shows the effect of body position on the severity of GOR. Examining all the reflux parameters—the total number of reflux episodes, reflux episodes with a duration greater than five minutes, the longest reflux episode and the reflux index—there is an obvious trend from the lowest values in the prone nursing position to the highest values in the right lateral position. Therefore, most acid reflux occurred while the infant was in the right lateral position, with the left lateral position showing a significant reduction in all reflux parameters compared with the right. Indeed, the left lateral position was just as effective as the prone position at reducing the length of the longest reflux episode, with both virtually halving the mean longest episode.

This effect is similar to that seen in term infants, where the major benefit for position was a reduction in duration of episodes. These findings have not been described before in preterm infants. The results are not invalidated by the infants who were receiving medication affecting reflux, as each was their own control and the same association was clearly seen in these infants. The infants were also out of the prescribed position for very brief periods during their allocated time. This contrasts to the term infant study where many data were lost during time spent out of position. The greater severity of reflux in the preterm infants (with a median RI of around 14%) compared with the term infant study (where it was around 10%), has clarified the dramatic benefits of positioning for the refluxing infant. The effect is seen across all reflux parameters including measures of both frequency and duration, rather than the predominant duration effect seen in the term infants.

GOR can be a serious problem for preterm infants. It is a potent cause of apnoea in this group and may contribute to chronic lung disease by recurrent aspiration of milk/gastric contents. Symptoms of reflux may also delay or prevent successful enteral feeds and this in turn may have adverse effects on growth and subsequent neurodevelopmental outcome.

Until recently the prokinetic agent cisapride has been successfully used to treat GOR in preterm infants. However, concerns about prolongation of QT interval and cardiac arrhythmias, particularly in infants under 3 months of age, has led to discontinuation of its use in these patients.

The identification of a non-pharmacological treatment which reduces the severity of GOR in this group—position—therefore has important implications for the management of these infants. In addition, the beneficial effect of left sided positioning is extremely helpful as continuous nursing in the prone position may lead to postural deformities particularly of the hips, and sleeping in the prone position has been associated with sudden infant death.

Why should the prone and left lateral positions seem to protect against reflux when compared with the supine and right lateral positions? The effect is likely to be related to the anatomical configuration of the stomach and gastro-oesophageal junction. Previous work has shown that the mechanism of GOR in preterm infants may be a consequence of inappropriate relaxation of the lower-oesophageal sphincter (LOS) and immature oesophageal peristalsis. These phenomena may lead to regurgitation of gastric contents into the lower
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shown that gastric emptying is unrelated to the incidence and severity of GOR, so the effect of position on gastric emptying is likely to be unimportant.

An interesting observation in this study was the potential effect of drugs on the severity of GOR. Two drugs which may affect GOR are cisapride and caffeine. Cisapride can reduce GOR in older infants and has been widely used in preterm infants. Two infants in the study group were receiving cisapride as a treatment for suspected reflux. It is our practice on the neonatal unit to continue any medication begun before the pH study (with the exception of ranitidine). Interestingly, the mean reflux parameters of the subjects receiving cisapride were the lowest in the study group, although the numbers are small. Conversely, xanthines such as caffeine are known to stimulate gastric acid production and reduce LOS pressure and xanthine resistant apnoeas have been described in preterm infants.

The left lateral position can now be adopted in addition to prone for refluxing premature infants and while they are in hospital nursing position can be alternated between the two. Our data suggest that a reduction in reflux index of at least one third will be observed if right sided positioning is avoided. These data are also of importance when advising parents of preterm infants with GOR about sleeping position after discharge from hospital. Parents can now avoid the prone position, but still have the significant clinical benefit of the left sided position.
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