Cranial magnetic resonance imaging and school performance in very low birth weight infants in adolescence
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Abstract

Aim—To determine whether neurological deficits are associated with structural anomalies of the brain in very low birthweight (VLBW) infants with subsequent learning disorders but without cerebral palsy, or whether other factors, such as poor early growth, are responsible.

Methods—Eighty-seven VLBW infants and eight term controls who had been examined at school between the ages of 12 and 13 years, had cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans at 15–17 years of age.

Results—Thirty-seven (42.5%) of the VLBW children had abnormalities reported on their scans (two porencephaly, 28 periventricular leucomalacia, 24 ventricular dilatation, and 15 thinning of the corpus callosum). No significant difference in intelligence quotient, motor clumsiness, or frequency of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder were observed between those children with MRI lesions and those with normal scans. Quantitative measurements showed the VLBW infants had smaller brains, and a relatively smaller corpus callosum compared with controls. No association between brain measurements and school performance was observed among the VLBW infants.

Conclusions—The difficulties experienced by VLBW children at school are unlikely to be the result of perinatal brain injury, but they might be attributable to the effects of poor postnatal growth.

(Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 1999;81:F116–F121)
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The survival of very preterm infants has improved considerably in recent years, leading to an increased interest in their subsequent intellectual and functional development. Although 10–15% of survivors weighing less than 1500 g at birth have a major physical disability identified in the preschool period, most are initially perceived as normal and enter mainstream schools.1 Studies of these children have, however, shown that as many as 35% experience learning difficulties in one or more subjects, and that these difficulties are predicted by the presence of minor motor clumsiness at an earlier age.2 This clumsiness has been ascribed by some to a maturational delay, but by others to early cerebral injury. Longitudinal studies of children with motor clumsiness tend to show improvement with time, although problems persist.3 Associated problems such as specific learning disabilities, attentional, and behavioural disorders become more prominent.

Early attempts to correlate brain imaging, such as cranial ultrasonography, with subsequent outcome in VLBW infants were successful for major impairments such as cerebral palsy, but not as good for minor clumsiness and learning disorders.4–6 This could be due in part to the limitations of early ultrasound scanning, or possibly the action of other early environmental factors on the child as s/he develops.

More recent ultrasound studies have shown some association with more minor disorders.6 In recent years magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has shown that it can define cerebral anatomy with a precision not previously available, and with minimal invasiveness. Such scans can identify minor structural changes related to cerebral atrophy or delayed myelination of tracts secondary to perinatal or later insults.7 Although most MRI studies on young children have concentrated on lesions and myelination defects which predict cerebral palsy and visual defects, less severe outcomes have also been studied. In children with sickle cell disease the presence of minor infarcts detected on MRI correlated well with poorer performance on the Movement ABC, a test of motor impairment.8 Differences in the sizes of the corpus callosum and of the caudate nuclei have also been studied. In children with sickle cell disease the presence of minor infarcts detected on MRI correlated well with poorer performance on the Movement ABC, a test of motor impairment.8 Differences in the sizes of the corpus callosum and of the caudate nuclei have also been studied. In children with sickle cell disease the presence of minor infarcts detected on MRI correlated well with poorer performance on the Movement ABC, a test of motor impairment.8 Differences in the sizes of the corpus callosum and of the caudate nuclei have also been studied. In children with sickle cell disease the presence of minor infarcts detected on MRI correlated well with poorer performance on the Movement ABC, a test of motor impairment.
Methods
A cohort of 137 VLBW infants attending mainstream schools was studied in detail up to the age of 13 years. They had been followed up prospectively from birth, with formal examinations at school at 6 and 13 years. Detailed information was available on health and growth status, and the perinatal period. IQ was measured (Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children III) and motor disability assessed using the Movement ABC. Learning difficulties were assessed using the Suffolk Reading Scale, the Basic Mathematics Test, and the SPAR spelling test. Teacher and student questionnaires were also used. Psychiatric assessments were also performed for anxiety, fears, depression, antisocial behaviour and self esteem. Parents and teachers also completed Rutter A and B questionnaires with Connor’s Hyperactivity Scale. A Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment (CAPA) interview was conducted with the parent and child. Visual function studies of acuity, stereopsis, and contrast sensitivity were done. All these data are available on a computed database, together with extensive demographic and medical data, and have been reported in detail before.

Only the IQ scores, Movement ABC scores, and the presence of attention deficit at 13 years were used in this study. Attention deficit included attention deficit disorder, hyperactivity disorder, or attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder diagnosed from the CAPA interviews. The disorders were required to be sufficient to cause functional impairment at school or socially. The Movement ABC scores were originally scored out of a total of 40, but were recalcualted to facilitate comparison with similar test results performed at 6 and 8 years which were scored out of 16.

Those children living within an hour’s journey from Liverpool were invited by letter to attend for an MRI scan. Full explanations of the purpose and nature of the study were given. The subjects were between 15 and 17 years of age at the time of MRI scan. They were not paid, but travel expenses were met in full. No sedation was used. MRI brain scans were performed using a 0.5 Tesla Philips Gyroscan T5-NT scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands).

The following sequences were used on all subjects: sagittal T1 weighted spin echo (TR=387, TE=20); axial T1 weighted spin echo (TR=475, TE=25); axial fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) (TR=6000, TE=150, TI=2000); coronal T1 weighted gradient echo, volume acquisition (TR=200, TE=30, TI=13, flip angle=30); coronal T2 weighted fast spin echo (TR=3000, TE=120).

Images were viewed as film copy and on an Easivision workstation, and were reported by a paediatric radiologist (LA) who was experienced in paediatric neuroimaging, and unaware of the clinical status of the individual subjects and of the educational and psychometric test results.

Scans were reported as showing evidence of thinning of the corpus callosum (fig 1), ventricular enlargement (fig 2), periventricular leucomalacia (fig 2), porencephaly, (fig 3) or none of these. Periventricular leucomalacia was recognised by a characteristic triad of abnormalities; abnormally high signal within the periventricular white matter on T2-weighted and FLAIR images, loss of periventricular white matter, particularly in the periatrial regions, and compensatory focal ventricular enlargement adjacent to regions of abnormal signal intensity. Porencephaly was recognised as a well defined cavity within the brain substance, communicating with the lateral ventricle and containing fluid identical with that of cerebrospinal fluid.

Measurements were made of the overall length of the corpus callosum, the cross sectional areas of the sagittal, left and right transverse and coronal sections of the brain, the cross sectional area of the corpus callosum (entire and as anterior, middle, and posterior parts), and the transverse and coronal mid cross sectional areas of the right and left caudate nuclei. The cross sectional area of the corpus callosum as a percentage of the sagittal sectional area of the brain, and the transverse
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and axial areas of the right and left caudate nuclei as a percentage of the transverse and axial sectional areas of the respective cerebral hemispheres, were also calculated.

The study was approved by the Regional Paediatric Research Ethics Committee.

Results

One hundred and ten children from the original cohort who lived within an hour's journey time of the MRI centre were invited to take part in the study: 96 replied and 87 were eventually scanned. Reasons for not being scanned in those who replied included four refusals, one pregnancy, one technical failure and two who failed to attend. Twenty six of the original control children were also approached, and eight of these agreed to be scanned. A high proportion of the subjects wore dental braces, which, in some instances, interfered with the scan quality.

The 87 index children had been born at a mean gestational age of 28.6 (1.7) weeks (range 24–35 weeks) and had a mean birth-weight of 1103 (203) g (range 630–1500 g). Seventeen were twins and nine were below the 10th percentile of weight for gestational age. Forty one had received antenatal steroids and eight had shown fetal distress intrapartum.

There were 47 girls and 40 boys; 57 received respiratory support from 1 to 88 days; 19 had

Figure 2  Axial fluid attenuated inversion recovery magnetic resonance images of the brain (TR= 6000 ms, TI = 20000 ms): (A) normal; (B) ventricular dilatation with loss of periventricular white matter; (C) asymmetrical dilatation of the occipital horn of the left lateral ventricle, with loss of periventricular white matter; (D) high signal within the periventricular white matter, indicating periventricular leucomalacia.
ultrasound scan evidence of periventricular haemorrhage, which in four involved the brain parenchyma, and two subsequently had minor cystic leucomalacia. Twenty two had a positive blood culture and seven frank convulsions in the neonatal period. All the control subjects (four boys and four girls) had been born at term and had had a normal perinatal period.

Thirty seven (42.5%) of the index children had one or more abnormalities reported on their scans. Twenty eight had periventricular leucomalacia of some degree, 24 had ventricular dilatation (usually asymmetrically), 15 had thinning of the corpus callosum (mainly posterior part). Two had porencephaly, but also had other abnormalities, and were not considered separately. None of the control children had any abnormalities on MRI scanning. Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder had been observed in 19 of the scanned index children. This was similarly distributed between those with MRI abnormalities and those without. Movement ABC scores and full scale IQ scores had similar medians and ranges in those with MRI changes or none (table 1). None of the controls had ADHD, high Movement ABC scores, or low IQ scores.

The linear and cross sectional area measurements were significantly smaller in the brains of index children than in the controls (table 2).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI abnormality (37)</th>
<th>ADHD ABC</th>
<th>FSIQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No MRI abnormality (50)</td>
<td>11 (3) 88 (0-10)</td>
<td>5 (1-9) 88 (48-137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinning of corpus callosum (15)</td>
<td>1 (0-12.5) 87 (48-137)</td>
<td>3 (0-8) 87 (50-130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No thinning of corpus callosum (72)</td>
<td>18 (3-12.5) 87 (48-137)</td>
<td>14 (0-12.5) 87 (50-130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular dilatation (24)</td>
<td>5 (0-12.5) 87 (48-137)</td>
<td>4 (0-10) 87 (50-130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No ventricular dilatation (63)</td>
<td>14 (3-10) 87 (48-137)</td>
<td>7 (0-12.5) 87 (50-137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perventricular leucomalacia (28)</td>
<td>4 (3-12.5) 87 (48-137)</td>
<td>4 (0-10) 87 (50-137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No perventricular leucomalacia (59)</td>
<td>5 (0-12.5) 87 (48-137)</td>
<td>3 (0-12.5) 87 (50-137)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD: attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ABC: score from movement ABC; FSIQ: score from full scale IQ test. Numbers or median (range) for scores.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cases (n=87)</th>
<th>Controls (n=8)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCL</td>
<td>71 (5)</td>
<td>74 (2)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCANT</td>
<td>238 (37)</td>
<td>282 (22)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMD</td>
<td>130 (24)</td>
<td>161 (36)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFoot</td>
<td>191 (43)</td>
<td>237 (25)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFnt</td>
<td>559 (90)</td>
<td>680 (51)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGXS</td>
<td>9838 (889)</td>
<td>10441 (621)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCPCT</td>
<td>5.7 (0.97)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCAUDCS</td>
<td>79 (16)</td>
<td>99 (26)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCAUDCSPCT</td>
<td>1.71 (0.35)</td>
<td>2.00 (0.67)</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCAUDCS</td>
<td>85 (15)</td>
<td>102 (26)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCAUDCSPCT</td>
<td>1.81 (0.32)</td>
<td>2.03 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCAUDTS</td>
<td>138 (23)</td>
<td>147 (16)</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCAUDTSPCT</td>
<td>1.76 (0.32)</td>
<td>1.65 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCAUDTS</td>
<td>138 (28)</td>
<td>153 (22)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCAUDTSPCT</td>
<td>1.77 (0.37)</td>
<td>1.73 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAUDTS ratio</td>
<td>1.08 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCTCS</td>
<td>4658 (356)</td>
<td>5004 (278)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTCS</td>
<td>4688 (344)</td>
<td>5052 (230)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTTS</td>
<td>7855 (608)</td>
<td>8904 (367)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTTS</td>
<td>7805 (599)</td>
<td>8895 (391)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCL: length corpus callosum (mm); CCANT: anterior part of corpus callosum; CCMD: middle part of corpus callosum; CCFoot: posterior part of corpus callosum; CCFnt: whole of corpus callosum; SAGXS: sagittal section of brain; CCPCT: area of corpus callosum as percentage of sagittal section of brain; LCAUDCS: left caudate nucleus in mid-coronal section; LCAUDCSPCT: left caudate nucleus in mid-coronal section as percentage of left coronal cerebral hemisphere; RCAUDCS: right caudate nucleus in mid-coronal section; RCAUDCSPCT: right caudate nucleus in mid-coronal section as percentage of right coronal cerebral hemisphere; LCAUDTS: left caudate nucleus in mid-transverse section; LCAUDTSPCT: left caudate nucleus in mid-transverse section as percentage of left transverse cerebral hemisphere; RCAUDTS: right caudate nucleus in mid-transverse section; RCAUDTSPCT: right caudate nucleus in mid-transverse section as percentage of right transverse cerebral hemisphere; CAUDTS ratio: ratio of right to left coronal sections of caudate nuclei; CAUDTSPCT ratio: ratio of right to left transverse sections of caudate nuclei; LCTCS: left coronal section cerebral hemisphere; RTCS: right coronal section cerebral hemisphere; LTTS: left transverse section cerebral hemisphere; RTTS: right transverse section cerebral hemisphere.
all (CCPCT), although the cross sectional areas of the right and left caudate nuclei were not, when treated in the same way (table 2). The ratios of right to left caudate cross sectional areas were similar in cases and controls. When all these measurements were compared in index children with and without ADHD, with a Movement ABC above and below 4, and an IQ above and below 88, no significant differences were seen for any of these measurements or ratios.

Discussion

Longer term follow up studies of infants born prematurely, but free of major neuromotor or sensory impairments, have almost invariably shown that a proportion of these children have behavioural and learning difficulties at school age.5 17 18 These difficulties have often been shown to occur in the presence of minor motor clumsiness or dyspraxia. The reasons for this high prevalence of problems have not been adequately explained. Social factors, poor nutrition or growth, and perinatal brain injury have all been suggested.

In the previous reports of our studies with this cohort of infants,5 18 class mate controls were used, who were a good match on several socioeconomic variables, so the index children as a group performed substantially less well than the control group. Evidence for perinatal brain injury among the preterm children was clear in that 42.5% of them had MRI signs of periventricular leucomalacia, ventricular dilatation, or thinning of the corpus callosum. This figure is similar to that seen in children with overt cerebral palsy.1 However, the lack of a correlation between MRI appearances and IQ, motor coordination scores, and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder seems to imply that another factor is more important in determining the high prevalence of these difficulties. Olsen et al30 found that 32% of a cohort of 8 year olds born prematurely had MRI evidence for periventricular leucomalacia, but that it occurred with equal frequency in those with minor motor dysfunction and in those considered to be clinically normal.

When index children and controls were examined together at 12 years, both height and head circumference were significantly correlated with IQ and ABC scores, in that poorer growth was associated with poorer scores.21 Quantitative methods applied to these MRI scans showed that the index population had smaller brains than term controls, and that the corpus callosum was significantly smaller as a percentage of brain size. Nevertheless, no significant differences were seen in the quantitative measurements when these were compared between cases with or without ADHD, motor clumsiness, or lower IQ. The absence of association between learning difficulties and measurable differences in brain MRI scans in these preterm infants differs from reported experience with term infants.13 14 This may indicate differing aetiologies for learning and motor problems in these two groups. For instance, in term infants the usual right/left caudate predominance is reported to be lost in ADHD, but in our cases it remained unchanged.19 Reduction in the cross sectional area of the corpus callosum in term infants has been reported in term infants with learning difficulties,11 16 but although we observed a smaller corpus callosum in the preterm infants, this did not relate to learning or motor problems. More subtle deficits undetected by MRI may be responsible for poorer performance in these children.

The period between birth and term is one of relative malnutrition for many very preterm infants, because of acute and chronic illness and poor feed tolerance. Many fall below the third percentile by term, which is the time of maximum brain growth velocity in infancy, and when vulnerability is at its highest. Improvement in nutrition at this critical period may contribute to improved school performance and growth in this high risk population.
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Commentary

Long term follow up studies of very preterm infants without cerebral palsy show a high incidence of certain patterns of cognitive impairment. Ultrasound studies have suggested that there is an association between white matter echodensities and ventricular enlargement and subsequent cognitive impairments.\(^1\) Cooke and Abernethy’s study, of a group of children who were born prematurely and attending mainstream schools, shows these investigations further. They show MRI evidence of periventricular leucomalacia in 42.5% of the children, but no association between PVL and attention deficit disorder, low IQ, or minor motor impairment. The authors suggest that inadequate nutrition, not hypoxia–ischaemia, may be the basis of these subsequent problems. We should also consider the effects of potentially toxic drugs such as steroids, the cessation of maternal hormones and maternal trophic factors, chronic hypoxia, abnormal environmental stimuli, and sepsis. Very preterm infants may be exposed to many or all of these factors between birth and term, a period during which there is a considerable increase in brain size and in maturational area. The reality, however, is that the brain is not simply the sum of its structures. These are richly interconnected, and the quantity of connections is difficult to measure—the quality even more so.

For the time being, we remain in a situation where we are seeking not only the aetiology of the “lesion,” but also trying to locate the “lesion” itself.
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