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Abstract

Aim—To examine the effect of intravascular volume expansion for the treatment of hypovolaemia in sick preterm neonates. 

Methods—An intravenous infusion of 20 ml per kg of 4.5 % albumin was given to 14 preterm neonates. The effects on systolic blood pressure, central peripheral temperature difference (c-pT), and plasma arginine vasopressin concentration (pAVP) were measured. 

Results—Thirteen babies showed a rise in systolic blood pressure. The six babies with the highest initial values of pAVP and c-pT showed a fall in both of these after infusion. The babies with lower initial pAVP (below 4 pmol/l) showed either a rise (two) or no change (six) after albumin infusion. There was a significant correlation between c-pT and log pAVP before (r2=0.61; p<0.05) and after infusion (r2=0.45; p<0.05). 

Conclusions—Plasma AVP concentration is related to c-pT in unwell preterm newborns. This study suggests that clinical assessment of hypovolaemia in preterm newborns is poor and could be improved by using c-pT. 
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Measurement of central–peripheral temperature difference (c-pT) has been used clinically for many years as an indicator of hypovolaemic shock. Its use has been widely advocated in textbooks of intensive care, anaesthetics, and surgery as a non-invasive measure of peripheral perfusion, though there are few published data. C-pT has been reported to be useful in the care of critically ill children and adult postoperative patients. The temperature of the big toe has been reported to correlate with other measures of cardiac output in adults requiring intensive care and it may also correctly predict patient outcome. However, other investigators have reported no correlation between c-pT and cardiac index or systemic vascular resistance in shocked patients.

Numerous reports have discussed the role of naturally occurring vasoconstrictor agents, including arginine vasopressin (AVP), in shock. AVP is released in response to hypotension and hypovolaemia in animals and adults. Measurement of plasma AVP concentration (pAVP) was therefore chosen as a marker of blood volume and pressure.

Intravascular volume expanders are often given to ill babies in neonatal intensive care units as part of normal clinical practice to treat shock or clinical hypovolaemia, but the criteria for doing so and for monitoring response are poorly defined. We therefore performed a study to measure the systolic blood pressure, c-pT, and pAVP before and after the use of volume expansion in the clinical situation. Our intention was not to influence the clinicians in their decision to use volume expansion, but to evaluate its effects in clinical use.

Methods

The study was performed at a regional neonatal unit in Newcastle upon Tyne and was approved by the Newcastle Health Authority/University Joint Ethics Committee. At the time of the study local indications for administering intravascular volume expansion on this unit were not formally defined, but included the presence of an abnormally low or falling blood pressure and/or poor peripheral perfusion, as indicated by poor capillary refill and cool periphery on palpation. The babies were studied consecutively if the medical team had made a clinical decision to give a volume expander and the researcher (HJL) was available. The underlying precipitating event causing medical concern was usually not stated or known.

Details of the 14 subjects are shown in table 1. Because volume expansion was given in urgent circumstances and for clinical indications, parental consent was not sought, but parents were subsequently informed that an extra volume of blood (about 1 ml) had been taken for this study.

The babies were assessed and systolic blood pressure, c-pT, and pAVP measured immediately before and within 1 to 3 hours of being given the albumin infusion. Twenty ml per kg birthweight of 4.5% albumin was infused over...
20 minutes in addition to normal maintenance fluids. Systolic blood pressure was measured with a hand held Doppler probe and a mercury or regularly calibrated aneroid sphygmomanometer. Central temperature was measured with an axillary thermometer. Peripheral temperature was measured with an electronic temperature probe taped to the dorsal or ventral aspect of the foot. The foot was always used in preference to the hand as preliminary investigations revealed that hand temperature values were sometimes higher than those recorded on the foot and the correlation between the temperature of the hands and feet was not consistent. If an intravenous infusion was sited in a foot or leg, that limb was not used for temperature monitoring. All babies were nursed in enclosed incubators with integral thermometers, and temperature was kept as constant as possible throughout the period of the study. None of the babies was receiving inotropes.

Blood samples sufficient to give 500 µl plasma were taken into cold lithium heparin tubes on ice, immediately cold centrifuged, and separated for later assay of AVP. Blood sampling was timed to coincide with a clinically required sample (such as blood gas or glucose measurement), unless taken from an indwelling arterial line. The same method of blood collection was used within each pair of samples. Plasma AVP concentration was assayed by using Florisil extraction and radioimmunoassay. The limit of detection of the AVP assay was 0.5 pmol/l with an interassay coefficient of variation of 11.5 % at 2 pmol/l. Plasma osmolality was measured by depression of freezing point (Camlab MOD200). The intermeasurement coefficient of variation was 0.5% at 300 mOsmol/kg. Venous or arterial blood gas was measured on a Corning blood gas analyser for the clinicians’ use. Parametric tests were used in the statistical analysis.

Results

Figure 1(A) shows the change in systolic blood pressure after albumin infusion (paired t test; p<0.001). Thirteen of the 14 babies showed a rise in systolic blood pressure of between 8% and 80%; one showed no change. There was no correlation between pAVP and systolic blood pressure either before or after infusion.

Figure 1(B) shows the changes in c-pT in °C, and fig 1(C) shows the changes in pAVP before and after infusion. These reflected each other. The five babies with the highest initial pAVP had c-pT values of 3°C or greater, and showed a fall in c-pT after infusion. One baby who had a large increase in pAVP after infusion also showed a large increase in c-pT. The six babies with initial pAVP below 6 pmol/l showed a fall following albumin infusion, those with the highest initial values showing the greatest fall. The babies with initial pAVP below 4 pmol/l showed either a rise (n = 2) or no change (n = 6) after albumin infusion.

There was a significant correlation between c-pT and log pAVP (r²=0.61, p<0.05) before infusion, and between c-pT and log pAVP (r²=0.45, p<0.05) after infusion (fig 2). No correlation was found between c-pT and systolic blood pressure.

The mean (SD) plasma osmolality was 285 (3.1) mOsmol/kg before infusion and 285 (2.7) afterwards. The paired t test showed no change in plasma osmolality before and after infusion of plasma (standard error of mean differences =0.4; p=0.7).

Discussion

Systolic blood pressure rose after albumin infusion in 13 out of 14 babies and was unchanged in one. The tendency for blood
pressure to rise in the postnatal period is well known, but it is unlikely that this change would be measurable over the short period (1 to 3 hours) of this study. Therefore, it seems most likely that the measured rise in systolic blood pressure observed was related to the infusion of albumin.

Following albumin infusion, a fall in pAVP was observed in six babies who also had a fall in c-pT; the babies with the highest initial pAVP having the highest initial c-pT and the greatest falls in both. Because administration of an intravenous volume expander was associated with a falling pAVP, it might be concluded that the high initial pAVP reflected hypovolaemia or hypotension. We did not test this by making other measurements related to volume status—for example, central venous pressure.

AVP is known to be a potent vasoconstrictor and the c-pT observed might have merely been a reflection of peripheral vasoconstriction secondary to high concentrations of circulating AVP, and the high pAVP observed could have been caused by other stimuli. Plasma AVP concentration has been reported as high and to fall in the period immediately after birth, but the timing of this is variable and most studies are not based on serial measurements. Others have suggested no downward trend in sick newborns. In our study all the babies were under 48 hours old and therefore changes in pAVP could have resulted from other changes occurring in the babies independent of the intervention of volume expansion. However, plasma osmolality did not alter and there were no other obvious events which would have been likely to have affected AVP release. None of the babies had a clinically suspected pneumothorax during the period of the study. As sequential head ultrasound examinations were not routinely performed in the unit at the time of the study, we cannot comment on intracranial bleeds as possible associated or precipitating events. The observation that both pAVP and c-pT fell within 3 hours of infusion of a volume expander strongly suggests that AVP was being released in response to hypovolaemia in those babies.

As changes in c-pT reflected changes in pAVP, we can infer that c-pT is a useful guide to hypovolaemia in these circumstances. The babies were all nursed in enclosed incubators and it may be that the constant ambient temperature was important. We cannot conclude that c-pT would necessarily be useful in other circumstances—for example, when radiant heaters are used.

Measurement of c-pT has been used clinically for many years. Its usefulness in adult patients has been disputed and as most shocked and critically ill adults have some form of invasive monitoring of volume status, its use could be regarded as outdated and irrelevant. The use of c-pT in the management of shock is reported in children, in whom improvement in clinical signs corresponded with fluid replacement and a fall in temperature difference. Invasive monitoring of volume status is rarely performed in young children, and seldom in preterm neonates, because of practical difficulties and complications. Assessment of the use of non-invasive methods in these groups is therefore particularly important.

Albumin infusion was given on the clinical assessment of the medical team and we had no intention of influencing this decision. The fact that only six out of the 14 babies appeared, in retrospect, to have had evidence of hypovolaemia that was improved by volume expansion suggests that the indications for the use of volume expanders need to be examined. Because there is no real evidence that eight of the babies were hypovolaemic at the start of the study, we can conclude that the clinical diagnosis of hypovolaemia is poor. This study suggests that measurement of c-pT is more useful than systolic blood pressure in assessing hypovolaemia. Other forms of assessment, such as central venous pressure monitoring, need to be explored. Closer scrutiny of indications for use of volume expanders, together with better monitoring of response would also enable some babies to be left without intervention and some non-responders to be offered other treatment, such as inotropic support.
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