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**Abstract**

**Aim**—To investigate the colonisation with *Bifidobacterium breve* of the bowels of very low birthweight (VLBW) infants.

**Methods**—The adverse effects of *B breve* were examined in 66 VLBW infants (preliminary study). A prospective randomised clinical study of 91 VLBW infants was also completed and these infants were followed up for three years. Precise viable bacterial counts of serial stool specimens were examined for the first eight weeks after birth in 10 infants. The colonisation rates of administered bacteria were examined using immunohistochemical staining of stool specimens with a *B breve* specific monoclonal antibody.

**Results**—In the preliminary study there were no side effects attributable to the bacteria. Immunohistochemical staining of stool specimens showed that the colonisation rates of the administered bacteria were 73% at 2 weeks of age, but only 12% in the control group. Early administration of *B breve* significantly decreased aspirated air volume from the stomach and improved weight gain.

**Conclusions**—*B breve* can colonise the immature bowel very effectively and is associated with fewer abnormal abdominal signs and better weight gain in VLBW infants, probably as a result of stabilisation of their intestinal flora and accelerated feeding schedules.
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The bacterial flora of the bowel of preterm infants in neonatal intensive care units differs from that of normal term infants, and colonisation by bifidobacteria can be delayed. Neonatal intensive care is associated with a risk of nosocomial infection from bacteria encountered in the hospital environment. Goldmann et al found that the risk of acquiring enteric bacilli such as *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, and *Citrobacter* species correlated closely with duration of hospital stay in the neonatal intensive care unit. These enteric bacteria are responsible for up to 60% of nosocomial infections in neonatal intensive care units.

Furthermore, necrotising enterocolitis is one of the most common, serious gastrointestinal problems in neonatal intensive care, because it causes significant mortality and severe chronic morbidity after resection of necrotic bowel, especially in small premature babies. Previous studies have suggested an association between the finding of enteric bacteria and necrotising enterocolitis. Most of the episodes preceded rapid growth of a single strain of gut flora such as *Klebsiella* sp., *Enterobacter* sp., and *Escherichia coli*.

In our unit there were 15 cases of necrotising enterocolitis in low birthweight infants between 1981 and 1990. Necrotising enterocolitis (10/15: 67% of our cases) was associated with an abrupt overgrowth by a single strain of enteric bacteria in the few days following a change in formula during an increase in feeding. In these cases there was a rapid increase in the gas volume of gastric aspirate and acute and severe abdominal distension, and sometimes intestinal perforation. Rapid and vigorous fermentation by bacteria might be the cause. These observations suggested that early and adequate intestinal colonisation by Bifidobacteria instead of enteric bacteria might improve the clinical signs and might decrease the risk of necrotising enterocolitis and nosocomial infection by enteric bacteria in our neonatal intensive care unit. However, there have been few studies of administration of Bifidobacteria to newborn infants.

**Methods**

Our neonatal intensive care unit admits between 200 and 300 babies every year, including about 100 very low birthweight (VLBW) infants. Half the VLBW infants weigh less than 1000 g at birth and about 90% of them are born in hospital.

Schedules of milk feeds for VLBW infants on our neonatal unit are as follows. The initiation of milk feeds is preceded by distilled water, after close observation of the infants’ bowel sounds and gastric contents. When it is clear that water can be tolerated, expressed breast milk is started at 20 ml/kg/day. The daily rate of milk feeds is increased by between 10 and 20 ml/kg until the total feeding volume reaches 80 to 100 ml/kg/day, after which there is a continuous daily increment of 20 ml/kg, up to 150–180 ml/kg/day. After reaching full or constant feeding volume we gradually change the milk from expressed breast milk to formula feeds for premature babies, when breast milk proves insufficient for the baby or when formula feeds are considered better for weight gain. Expressed breast milk is collected in sterile glass bottles and frozen to −40°C until use. A few millilitres of milk from one of every...
three to 10 bottles collected on the same day are cultured for bacterial counting. We use breast milk with less than $10^4$ colony forming units/ml of Staphylococcus epidermidis, or pasteurised milk with more than $10^6$ colony forming units/ml of S. epidermidis but which has been heated at 58°C for 30 minutes. We never use breast milk from which other bacteria have been isolated.

PRELIMINARY STUDY
A preliminary study of the adverse effects of B breve on preterm infants was carried out between 1985 and 1990. A total of 66 preterm infants were included after informed parental consent had been obtained. Bifidobacterium breve YIT4010 (BBG) was supplied as a freeze-dried powder in cornstarch, containing about $10^4$ colony forming units/g dry weight (Yakult Honsya Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). BBG was given as one gram of the powder per day in two or three divided doses. In this pilot study the effects of (a) early and short and (b) delayed and prolonged administration of B. breve were examined.

RANDOMISED TRIAL
We also carried out a randomised study of BBG between May 1990 and April 1991. A total of 97 VLBW infants were included. Two infants died at 5 and 16 days of age, respectively; three infants were transferred back to the referring hospital before they were 3 weeks old, and one girl was excluded after coarctation of aorta was diagnosed at 15 days of age. Ninety-one infants were followed up for two months after birth to check their clinical course. Seventy were followed up for three years to examine their growth.

Entry criteria comprised a birthweight of under 1500 g; those infants with major anomalies, severe asphyxia (1 minute Apgar score <3), and severe intrauterine growth retardation were randomly allocated to receive BBG or distilled water (control infants).

One ml of the supernatant of BBG suspension with distilled water containing about $0.5 \times 10^6$ of live B breve YIT 4010 was initially given within the first 24 hours of life. The use of supernatant BBG suspension was instituted because of the adverse effect of corn starch aggregates in this regimen found in our preliminary study. This was given once a day from the initiation of milk feeds for 28 days.

Meconium and stools from infants were collected for aerobic bacterial culture once a week for eight weeks. Part of each stool specimen was stored at $-40\,\text{°C}$. Colonisation by B. breve was confirmed in each frozen sample by Gram staining and by an immunohistochemical method using a BBG specific monoclonal antibody.

The stools of 10 infants were examined to check all live bacterial counts for eight successive weeks after birth. Only one control infant was transferred to the referring hospital at 4 weeks of age. Bacterial cultures were started within 24 hours of sample collection—these had been cooled in an ice box before culture. The faecal microflora were investigated using anaerobic roll tube methods. In brief, the culture media used were: MVLG; MVLG-KV containing kanamycin (80 mg/l) and vancomycin (1.0 mg/l); MPN; and CW (Nissui, Japan), and these were used for counting total bacteria, Bacteroides, Bifidobacterium, and lactobacilli. A TOS agar plate with the addition of carbencillin (1.0 mg/l), specifically prepared for selective isolation of administered B breve and LBS (BBL, USA) for counting lactobacilli, was cultivated anaerobically. Aerobic agar plates of DHL (Nissui, Japan), COBA (BBL, USA), Staphylococcus 110 (Nissui, Japan), Candida GS and NAC (Eiken, Japan) were used for counting enterobacteriaceae, enterococci, staphylococci, Candida and Pseudomonas species, respectively.

IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL STAINING
A BBG specific monoclonal antibody conjugated with colloidal gold particles was kindly provided by the Yakult Central Institute. It reacted with BBG and two other strains of B breve, but not with three other similar ATCC strains and four other strains from clinical isolates. It did not cross react with other species of bacteria such as B adolescentis, B infantis, B longum, B bifidum, B animalis, Lactobacillus paracasei, L acidophilus, Lactococcus lactis, L plantarum, Streptococcus thermophilus, S salivar- tus, Enterococcus faecium, E faecalis, Bacillus subtilis, Bacteroides melaninogenicus, or E coli.

Five µl of 1%(w/v) freeze dried faecal samples homogenated with phosphate buffered saline were applied to a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond-C, Amersham, UK) and dried in air. The membranes were placed into blocking solution (Block ace, Dainippon Pharmaceutica Company Ltd, Japan) at 4°C overnight. After washing the membranes three...
times with phosphate buffered saline containing 0.05% Triton X-100 (Sigma, USA), they were exposed to 10 µl of colloidal gold labelled B breve specific mouse monoclonal antibody solution and kept for 10 minutes at room temperature. A positive reaction showed a faint red colour.

Clinical data were collected from each infant every day until 28 days of life and once a week until 9 weeks of life. Apnoea was defined as absence of breathing for 20 seconds.

Clinical data were analysed using the unpaired t test and the Mann-Whitney U test. The data in the preliminary study are given as mean (1SD).

Results
PRELIMINARY OPEN STUDY
The participants comprised 16 infants (28.3 (3.0) weeks of gestation, 1052 (328) g) given early and brief treatment and 50 infants (27.2 (2.9) weeks of gestation, 860 (2340 g) given late and prolonged treatment. Early treatment was started within 7 days of life, before feeding, and lasted for seven days (median 5, range 1-7) at most. Late treatment was started after 7 days of life and lasted for more than seven days (median 14, range 7-48). Of the infants who received late treatment, two extremely preterm babies (a boy of 25.9 weeks, 770 g, who received 3 g of BBG/day for 6 days and 1 g/day for 7 days; a girl of 24.4 weeks, 616 g, who received 1 g/day for 30 days) had mild functional ileus shown by the presence of undigested corn starch aggregates in the stool (fig 1). Subsequently, the supernatant BBG suspension in distilled water (1 g of BBG in 3 ml of water) was used every time. There were no other adverse effects from BBG itself.

COLONISATION PATTERN OF BBG AND OTHER BACTERIA IN VLBW INFANTS
The mean log10 viable bacterial counts of BBG and other bacteria for 10 infants are shown in fig 2. The group given BBG had been colonised within 2 weeks of age, except for one whose delay in colonisation by BBG was attributed to intravenous antibiotics until 17 days of life. Rapid proliferation of BBG was seen at 4 weeks of age after antibiotic treatment had stopped. In contrast, administered B breve took more than 4 weeks to colonise in two of the control babies. Early growth of BBG were detected in one control infant. This colonisation of BBG in the control infants suggested the growth of a cross contaminated BBG. During this study only one strain of B infantis was isolated as a resident Bifidobacterium in infants in neonatal intensive care, particularly in the special care unit, and no other strain of B breve except for BBG had been isolated. B infantis was isolated from only one of the control infants after 4 weeks of age, while he was being cared for in the special care unit.

The numbers of Bifidobacterium were higher than those of enterobacteriaceae for the first three weeks. Enterobacteriaceae had grown faster in infants of the BBG group than in those of the control group. But once enterobacteriaceae had colonised the control infants, the
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BBG: administered Bifidobacterium breve, SGA: small for gestational age (<−1.5 SD), IPPV: intermittent positive pressure ventilation.
bacterial counts were higher than those in the infants of the administered group. The growth of *Enterococcus* sp was suppressed by BBG for four weeks, but the growth of *Lactobacillus* sp seemed to increase rapidly from 7 weeks of age in infants of the administered group. Other anaerobic bacteria such as *Bacteroides* sp or *Clostridium* sp were not isolated in these 10 infants. There was no change in growth patterns of other bacteria such as *Staphylococcus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Candida* in infants who received BBG.

**RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL**

Table 1 shows the profile of both groups in the randomised study. There were no differences. The precise details of colonisation by BBG were assessed in 58 of 91 infants (64%). This study revealed colonisation patterns of administered *B breve* in infants of various gestations (table 2). Their colonisation rates were 73% at 2 weeks and 91% at 6 weeks of age in the administered group, but 12% and 44% in the control group, respectively. The cumulative colonisation rates were 80% (23-25 weeks), 87% (26-28 weeks), and 100% (29-33 weeks) in the administered group, but 0% (23-25 weeks), 50% (26-28 weeks), and 45% (29-32 weeks) in the control group. In the administered group, BBG had colonised at 2 weeks and grew rapidly, especially in infants of later gestation, but the colonisation rate of BBG was slower in the infants of shorter gestations, especially for those less than 26 weeks of gestation.

Three infants were poorly colonised by BBG six weeks after birth among 33 infants in the administered group whose stools were checked. All three infants had been given antibiotics for 10 days or more (10, 35, and 36 days, respectively). The infant who had been treated with antibiotics for 10 days had only had 10 days of expressed breast milk. The others had been breast fed for about four weeks. These babies were also immature and under 28 weeks of gestation.

**CLINICAL EFFECTS OF BBG ADMINISTRATION ON PRETERM INFANTS**

The clinical effects of BBG administration to preterm infants are shown in the table 3. Mean aspirated air volume from the stomach was significantly less in the administered group in the first four weeks, especially in the second week, although there were no differences in the time lapse between starting feeding and body weight gain between either group. Figure 3 shows daily changes in gas volumes of gastric aspirates in both groups. The BBG group gradually increased and then decreased gas...
Table 4  Profiles of infants of BBG colonised and not colonised*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BBG not colonised</th>
<th>BBG colonised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of cases</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of BBG administered</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>11/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of SGA infant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation (w)</td>
<td>28.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>28.9 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>1049 (196)</td>
<td>1117 (191)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPV (days)</td>
<td>4.5 [0-47]</td>
<td>3 [0-44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doses of antibiotics (days)</td>
<td>8 [0-24]</td>
<td>7 [0-21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doses of indomethacin</td>
<td>2.5†</td>
<td>8†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values represent mean (SD) or median [range].
† p = 0.0621.

BBG: administered *Bifidobacterium breve*, SGA: small for gestational age (<−1.5SD), IPPV: intermittent positive pressure ventilation.

Discussion

The BBG strain of *B breve* was chosen because it is the most common species of *Bifidobacterium* in breastfed infants and the most well known of the *Bifidus* flora.15 It was isolated from a healthy newborn infant as a probiotic, and is considered to be a “live” microbial feed supplement that beneficially affects the host by improving intestinal microbial balance.15 Furthermore, *Bifidus* flora is rarely established in early life and may be delayed, even if very low birthweight infants can be colonised.16 Recently Akiyama et al reported that *B breve* had a much stronger affinity for immature bowel than *B longum*.17 The safety of BBG was tested in 130 children aged 6 months to 15 years who were diagnosed as having *Campylobacter enteritis*17 and in 15 patients with intractable diarrhoea aged 1 month to 15 years.18 Both studies reported that BBG showed no adverse effects and improved intestinal microflora by eradicating *Campylobacter*17 or *Candida* and *Enterococcus*19 in those patients. However, there has been a case report of meningitis in an infant17 attributed to *B breve* ss *breve* that was resistant to penicillins and cephalosporins. By contrast, BBG is an easily controlled strain of *B breve*, because it is very sensitive to penicillins and cephalosporins but not streptomycin.

In the randomised study, the colonisation of BBG in very low birthweight infants was generally established within the first two weeks of life when administered early. The bacterial count also revealed that BBG was fully established within the first two weeks and that enterobacteriaceae grew to the same levels as *Bifidobacterium* in the BBG administered group after three weeks. The growth of enterobacteriaceae was associated with formula feeding because all five infants tested had changed from expressed breast milk to formula feed for premature babies at 3 weeks of age. Yoshioka et al20 reported full term infants at 1 month of age in whom *Bifidobacterium* was highly prevalent.
and suppressed the growth of enterobacteriaceae and other bacteria at a ratio of 100 to 1 in the breastfed infants, but in the bottle-fed infants the levels of *Bifidobacterium* and other coliform bacteria were one tenth and tenfold that of breastfed infants, respectively.

There have not been many studies on the administration of bifidobacteria or lactobacilli to newborn infants. Bennet *et al* found that transient colonisation by these species was detected in newborn infants after treatment with antibiotics; no side effects were noted.11 Miller *et al* gave *Lactobacillus* GG to 20 preterm infants with a gestational age of 33 weeks or less. The bacteria were well tolerated and colonised the bowel of premature infants. However, colonisation with *Lactobacillus* GG did not reduce the faecal reservoir of potential pathogens and there was no evidence that colonisation had any positive clinical benefit on this particular group of infants.21 The same group has delayed the development of *Campylobacter* enteritis.

The same group reported that extremely immature babies cannot hydrolyse corn starch sufficiently well. BBG administration caused only a slight change in the intestinal colonisation pattern in our patients. But it is important to check for vitamin K deficient, because bifidobacteria cannot generally produce vitamin K.23

BBG treatment with breast feeding is a natural and effective approach for the stabilisation of intestinal microflora in preterm infants. In recent years we routinely start breast feeding in preterm infants and then change to formula feeds after the establishment of full feeding in each infant. Lucas and Cole reported a low incidence of necrotising enterocolitis in infants fed breast milk.20 We have had no cases of necrotising enterocolitis in babies fed breast milk only. Breast feeding would also encourage the growth of *Bifidobacterium*. This supports the view that breast feeding prevents necrotising enterocolitis.
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