ambulance service trust involved. By law the trust was liable for employees involved in accidents while on duty, but nevertheless the recourse to obtain compensation was through normal accident delays.

As a result of our experiences we have undertaken a comprehensive review of our neonatal transport operations. Initial problems of incubator securety have been temporarily addressed with ring bolts set into the floor of ambulances which allow secure anchorage with cargo straps. Incubators are loaded using portable ramps. In the long term, in cooperation with our ambulance service, we have redesigned our transport system with a reduced payload around a no lift platform that will be compatible with all types of stretcher. The ambulance service has also undertaken to modify ambulance anchorages to accommodate newer stretcher types with transport incubators on board.

The standards of safety for neonatal transport incubators need to be reviewed. Attention needs to be given to reducing payload, and to certain intentional loads that were denied to securing incubators within ambulances, whether road vehicle or aircraft. The problems of different incubators, ambulances, and stretcher designs can be avoided by using a universal mating platform. Each unit needs to be aware of its responsibilities to its staff regarding liability in the event of an accident.

**Unfriendly incubators**

**Editor—**Fractures in infants of very low birth weight (≤1500 g) not related to birth trauma are being recognised with increasing frequency. Fractures are usually associated with bone demineralisation, which is frequently present in very low birthweight infants, and almost two thirds of them involve the extremeties. Fractures in these infants occur as the result of ‘trauma’ during physiotherapy or other procedures such as placement of intravenous lines. We have observed four infants with birth weights under 1000 g who sustained traumatic fractures of the extremities (one arm in three cases, one leg in the other), and we believe that the contributing factor was accidental trapping of the extremities by or under the plastic tray on which the mattress of the incubator lies. The fractures, diagnosed radiologically between 4 and 6 weeks of age, were caused by slips or denting bruising. Two of these babies also had well documented bone demineralisation. Subsequent to these independent observations in Athens and Montreal, we conducted a survey of incubators used in neonatal intensive care units and found that in many (even in some of the latest models) there was sufficient gap between the plastic tray and the incubator wall for an arm or a leg of the baby to slip between the incubator’s wall and the plastic tray (figure). In many instances the nursing staff had tried to cover this gap with rolled sheets. In some incubators the titling mechanism could not be securely locked at the desired tilted position

Incubator showing gap between plastic tray and wall allowing arm to be trapped.

and the plastic tray could therefore accidentally fall or jolt on the trapped arm or leg. Injury might also occur if the baby is pulled or turned by a nurse or a doctor unaware that a limb is trapped. The possibility that a fracture may be caused in this manner is enhanced if the bones are significantly demineralised.

The design of an incubator should be such that the plastic tray extends to the wall of the incubator such that any gap is too small for a baby’s extremity to slip through and the tilting mechanism should be securely locked at the desired tilt. Incubators currently in use should be checked for this potential hazard.

**H D DELLAGRAMMATITAS**
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**Excessive rates of asphyxia — accedecte or fact?**

**Editor.—**It is well known that hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy (HIE) in neonates is associated with adverse neurological outcomes. The incidence of HIE can be a useful indicator of quality of intrapartum care provided to term infants, while the severity relates to subsequent neurological outcome. The Doncaster perinatal service has received much adverse publicity suggesting that their incidence of HIE was excessive (‘Trial of Labour’, World in Action, Granada Television, 2 Nov 1992). We analysed data from the Trent Regional Neonatal Survey in order to establish if these criticisms were valid.

The Trent Regional Neonatal Survey collects data on all high risk babies admitted to perinatal units within the region. All babies greater than 35 weeks’ gestation admitted because of HIE were included in this analysis. HIE was diagnosed using modified criteria of Levene et al. Grade II HIE was defined as the infant having a history suggestive of asphyxia plus convulsions, while grade III was defined as grade II plus the need for respiratory support. Data was collected by two independent visiting observers. The last three years of complete data were analysed (1 April 1990–30 March 1993).

There were a total of 168 435 live births in 16 perinatal centres (five subregional units and 11 smaller units) and 263 babies with HIE; 141 grade II and 122 grade III (table). The incidence of HIE for the region was 1.56 per 1000 live births (range 0.58–5.1 per 1000 live births). The Doncaster unit (number 6 in the table) had an incidence of 1.53 per 1000 live births — that is, similar to the region as a whole.

It is clear that criticisms levelled at this perinatal unit were unfounded and based on anecdotal evidence rather than fact. Data relating to HIE is not routinely collected by most perinatal units, however, we believe such data provide a valuable method of assessing quality of perinatal care. Only if the rate of HIE falls outside the ‘normal range’ is concern warranted.
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**Diagnosis and management of non-immune hydrops in the newborn**

**Editor.—**We read with interest the article by Stephenson et al on the diagnosis of non-immune hydrops in the newborn, and we would like to emphasise that a wide range of inborn errors of metabolism (IMD) have been reported to be associated with non-immune hydrops and in many cases a feasible pathogenetic mechanism can be hypothesised. As a cause of hydrops they are rare