less than 32 weeks' gestation (with an out-of-phase response) were all receiving morphine, this was indeed the case: nine of the 10 infants described were receiving morphine by intravenous infusion (10 µg/hour) as per unit policy. However, only one of the infants with an in-phase relation were receiving isoropic support at the start of their wave episodes. As we suggested, it would appear strange that electromechanical causes could be stopped by merely gently touching the infant.

Infants with overt seizures have been captured many times on our continuous computerised physiological monitoring system, thus allowing a detailed observation of the electrical pattern in heart rate and blood pressure during a convolution. Seizures were noted in four of the infants described, though only one demonstrated overt seizures during a wave episode. Since the paper was submitted, a further infant has been investigated by 24 hour EEG during a prolonged period of blood pressure waves: no evidence of seizure activity was recorded.

**Adverse experiences in an Exosurf treated group**

**EDITOR.**—The results of recent trials using artificial surfactant for premature neonates with hyaline membrane disease have yielded convincing evidence of efficacy, and are likely to be of widespread use. We have used Exosurf (Wellcome) in 54 babies as part of the OSIRIS multicentre trial and were concerned to witness some adverse experiences in a proportion of these babies. We noted an increase in the incidence of lobar collapse and consolidation and blocked endotracheal tubes, resulting in clinical and radiological deterioration. While we would emphasise that it would not be proper to draw any conclusions from our observations, we suggest that they do give rise to some cause for further investigation.

We have seen this problem in babies of varying weights and gestation, not just very low birthweight babies. Decreasing the rate of administration of Exosurf did not seem to improve tolerance and the large volume administered, 5 ml/kg, seemed to be a factor. Because of our concern over the acute determinations coinciding with the administration of Exosurf, we looked more closely at the outcome in our Exosurf treated group and compared various outcome measures with a historical group, treated before we entered the OSIRIS trial, of babies matched for birth weight, gestation, and A/a ratio.

We found that the babies treated with Exosurf were ventilated for a significantly longer period of time (7 days v 4.5 days for the control group) and spent longer in oxygen (21% v. 16%). There was also a significantly greater incidence of intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) (grade III or worse) in the treated group. There was also an increased incidence, albeit not statistically significant at the 5% level, in pneumothorax, patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), upper lobe consolidation, and pulmonary haemorrhage in the Exosurf treated group compared with the control population (table).

Our first impressions concerning numerous adverse short term experiences have been supplemented by the conduct of a case-control comparison which also showed that the Exosurf treated babies had a greater duration of ventilator treatment and a longer period in oxygen. The incidence of grade III IVH was also significantly greater in the Exosurf treated group.

**Some outcome measures for the infants studied; data are medians or incidence (%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Control group (n = 50)</th>
<th>Exosurf group (n = 50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days in IPPV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days in oxygen</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVH</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>9 (18)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>9 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>7 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary haemorrhage</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IPPV** = intermittent positive pressure ventilation.

**Intravenous antibiotics**

It is important to bear in mind that our observations take the form of a relatively small case-control study, as compared with the finding of the large multicentre randomised trials that have reported favourably upon the properties of Exosurf. It is possible that our observations are biased because of the lack of randomisation or of exhibiting a type I statistical error on account of chance. However, it may be that the overall beneficial effect discovered in the large multicentre trials hides a group of babies being exposed to adverse experience with this particular surfactant, although it is possible that some aspect of our management regimen rendered our patients susceptible to an adverse response to Exosurf. It is, for instance, our practice to use muscle relaxants almost routinely in ventilated preterm babies and it is possible that the absence of spontaneous respiratory efforts had an adverse effect on the distribution of surfactant within the lung. If there is a subgroup of babies, distinguished perhaps by the management protocol to which they are subjected, who actually do worse with Exosurf treatment then it is obviously very important for them to be distinguished from the majority who, according to published trials, will benefit.

**S L CHATFIELD E J KELLY P R D DEAR**

Academic Unit of Paediatrics and Child Health, St James’s University Hospital, Leeds LS9 7TF


**Enterococcus faecium meningitis**

**EDITOR.**—Neonatal meningitis is a medical emergency in which prompt diagnosis and treatment are of paramount importance. Although B haemolytic streptococci of Lancefield group B and Escherichia coli are most commonly responsible, infection with other agents is occasionally encountered. Enterococci are reported as causing neonatal sepsis with increasing frequency,1 and their antibiotic-susceptibility differs from the more common neonatal pathogens. We wish to report two cases of neonatal meningitis in preterm infants caused by Enterococcus faecium.

**Case reports**

**CASE 1**

A previously well boy of 34 weeks’ gestation presented aged 8 days with lethargy, abdomi- nal distension, and repeated high-pitched grunting. An infection screen was performed. Abdominal radiographs showed gaseous distension but no specific features of necrotising enterocolitis.

Enteral feeding was stopped and intrave- nous antibiotics commenced with fluclo- axicillin, netilmicin, and metromidazole. The cerebrospinal fluid contained only 4 white cells/mm³,1 but E faecium was isolated on cul- ture. Blood cultures were sterile. Antimicrobial treatment was changed to ampicillin and gentamicin as the organism demonstrated only moderate sensitivity to penicillin, and resistance to chloramphenicol. Treatment was continued for 14 days. Enterococcal coloni- zation for the initial seven days. Feeds were successfully reintroduced after a week and the baby subsequently made satisfactory progress, with discharge aged 4 weeks.

**CASE 2**

A boy was born at 33 weeks’ gestation with an antenatal detected gastrochisis. After surgi- cal repair on day 1 progress was satisfactory until day 16, when an infection screen was performed and enteral feeding stopped because of pyrexia and lethargy. Antibiotic treatment was commenced with flucloxacillin and netilmicin. E faecium was grown from blood cultures, but the cerebrospinal fluid contained no leucocytes and was negative on culture. Over the next three days the infant continued to have temperature instability, while antibiotics were changed to penicillin, netilmicin, and metronidazole because of abdominal distension and the presence of dilated bowel loops on an abdominal radiograph. Repeat blood cultures 48 hours later were sterile. The baby’s condition improved, but he remained intermittently febrile. A further examination of his cerebrospinal fluid on day 24 revealed 803 white cells/mm³. Direct culture yielded no bacterial growth, but on enrichment E faecium was isolated. Treatment with chlorampheni- col was commenced as the organism demon- strated high level resistance to penicillin and gentamicin. Repeat cultures of the cere- brospinal fluid on day 28 were sterile, and chloramphenicol was continued for 14 days. The baby’s condition improved steadily, though cerebral ultrasound revealed ventricu- lar dilatation which subided with clinical condi- tion improved, but he remained intermittently febrile. A further examination of his cerebrospinal fluid on day 24 revealed 803 white cells/mm³. Direct culture yielded no bacterial growth, but on enrichment E faecium was isolated. Treatment with chlorampheni- col was commenced as the organism demon- strated high level resistance to penicillin and gentamicin. Repeat cultures of the cere- brospinal fluid on day 28 were sterile, and chloramphenicol was continued for 14 days. The baby’s condition improved steadily, though cerebral ultrasound revealed ventricu- lar dilatation which subided with clinical condi- tion improved, but he remained intermittently febrile. A further examination of his cerebrospinal fluid on day 24 revealed 803 white cells/mm³. Direct culture yielded no bacterial growth, but on enrichment E faecium was isolated. Treatment with chlorampheni- col was commenced as the organism demon- strated high level resistance to penicillin and gentamicin. Repeat cultures of the cere- brospinal fluid on day 28 were sterile, and chloramphenicol was continued for 14 days. The baby’s condition improved steadily, though cerebral ultrasound revealed ventricu- lar dilatation which subided with clinical condi- tion improved, but he remained intermittently febrile. A further examination of his cerebrospinal fluid on day 24 revealed 803 white cells/mm³. Direct culture yielded no bacterial growth, but on enrichment E faecium was isolated. Treatment with chlorampheni- col was commenced as the organism demon- strated high level resistance to penicillin and gentamicin. Repeat cultures of the cere- brospinal fluid on day 28 were sterile, and chloramphenicol was continued for 14 days. The baby’s condition improved steadily, though cerebral ultrasound revealed ventricu- lar dilatation which subided with clinical condi- tion improved, but he remained intermittently febrile. A further examination of his cerebrospinal fluid on day 24 revealed 803 white cells/mm³. Direct culture yielded no bacterial growth, but on enrichment E faecium was isolated. Treatment with chlorampheni- col was commenced as the organism demon- strated high level resistance to penicillin and gentamicin. Repeat cultures of the cere- brospinal fluid on day 28 were sterile, and chloramphenicol was continued for 14 days. The baby’s condition improved steadily, though cerebral ultrasound revealed ventricu- lar dilatation which subided with clinical condi-
A variable dextrose delivery system for neonatal intensive care

EDITOR—Optimising glucose delivery and reducing fluctuations in blood glucose are important goals in the care of the sick newborn baby. Traditionally, neonates requiring intravenous treatment have received infusions of 10% dextrose. However, when water requirements are particularly high, as in the preterm baby with large insensible water losses or when water requirements are low, as in the baby in renal failure, the requirements for fluid volume and glucose delivery may clash, resulting in either hyperglycaemia or hypoglycaemia. In addition, both glucose tolerance and glucose requirements may show wide variation in the sick neonate, necessitating frequent changes in glucose concentrations. We describe a simple method allowing variable glucose delivery, independent of infusion volume, which also avoids the need to change solutions.

Two continuous infusions, containing 5% and 50% dextrose respectively, are administered via a Y connector close to the baby. Mixing of the two concentrations in different proportions delivers a variable amount of glucose in a fixed volume or a fixed amount of glucose in a variable volume.

When 5% and 50% dextrose solutions are used the following equations describe the volume of each solution required per hour:

\[ X = \frac{0.11(A + B) - 1}{Z} \]

where \( X \) = rate of 50% dextrose infused in ml/h, \( Y \) = rate of 5% dextrose infused in ml/hr, \( Z \) = total hourly infusion volume of 5% and 50% dextrose in ml/hour, \( A \) = required dextrose infusion rate in mg/kg/min, and \( B \) = patient’s weight in kg.

This formula is derived in the following way:

As 50% dextrose = 500 mg dextrose per ml and 5% dextrose = 50 mg dextrose per ml

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{(1)} & \quad 500 \times 50Y = \text{total mg dextrose required per hour} \\
& \quad = (\text{total mg dextrose required per minute}) \times 60 \\
& \quad = (A \times B) / 60 \\
\text{(2)} & \quad X + Y = \text{volume in ml of 50% and 5% dextrose administered per hour} \\
& \quad = Z
\end{align*} \]

Equations [1] and [2] are simultaneous equations containing the same variables. Therefore dividing equation [1] by 50 gives:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{(3)} & \quad 10X + Y = (A + B) / 2 \\
\text{and multiplying equation [2] by -1 gives:} & \quad -X - Y = -Z
\end{align*} \]

Adding equations [3] and [4] together gives:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{(5)} & \quad 9X = (A + B) / 2 - Z \\
\text{therefore} & \quad X = 0.11(A + B) / 2 - Z
\end{align*} \]

but

\[ Z = X + Y \]

and so

\[ Y = Z - X \]

Therefore from a knowledge of desired glucose infusion rate in mg/kg/min (A), the baby’s weight in kg (B) and the total hourly infusion volume in ml/hour (Z), the respective infusion rates of 5% and 50% dextrose may be calculated, thus allowing independent alteration of glucose delivery and fluid volume. In our unit the calculations are run on a computer terminal situated in the intensive care area. The operator is required only to enter in the values for A, B, and Z. The computer screen displays the calculated flow rates for the 5% and 50% dextrose lines and also informs the operator of the concentration of the mixed solution. Although we were initially concerned that high glucose concentrations might lead to an increase in the number of intravenous lines containing replacement, we did not in fact see this. This may be due to a streaming effect of mixing glucose solutions close to the site of venous entry.

We use a 500 ml bag of 5% dextrose running via a giving set and volumetric pump and 50% dextrose administered through a syringe pump. The system is easy to set up and after an initial introductory period was well understood by nursing and medical staff. Changes can be easily and quickly achieved simply by changing the rate of either or both infusions, without the need to change lines or solutions.

A variable dextrose delivery system for neonatal intensive care

Step 1—A 40 ml suture is looped through the skin of the cord close to the line to be secured. A knot is tied at the cord and the suture is cut leaving two threads about 5 cm in length parallel to the line.

Step 2—A 1 cm x 1 cm length of adhesive tape is used to approximate the line and parallel threads.

Step 3—the two ends of thread are folded down over the first piece of tape and a second similar piece of tape is used to fix the threads once more. Once the final position of the line is ascertained the tape and the line are pinched together to ensure security.

This method has proved useful for securing umbilical arterial and venous lines in particular but has also been used for the safe fixation of chest drains and dialysis catheters. It has the advantage of only requiring a single stitch, of avoiding tape adhered direct to the prematurity infant’s skin, and of being easily adjusted should radiograph checks require this. The method has been widely used on this unit with babies of 23 weeks’ gestation upwards and has proved consistently reliable.

Method for securing umbilical lines

EDITOR—Several methods have been described for the adequate fixation of umbilical lines.


catheters are anchored securely once sited in the optimal position (above or below D12–L4). Accidental displacement of arterial lines must be avoided as this can lead to rapid blood loss.

Some techniques using adhesive tape applied directly to the infant’s skin can cause problems. If vernix is present the tape may not stick. If the baby is very preterm removal of tape can lead to skin loss with subsequent risk of infection.

Some described methods are fiddly and time consuming especially in very tiny babies. Tying loops and purse strings can be difficult and gripping the catheter sufficiently to provide anchorage may lead to occlusion (particularly where fine lines are used).

We report a means of securing umbilical lines which avoids some of these pitfalls while remaining simple, rapid, and safe.

Method (see figure)

Step 1—a 4/0 silk suture is looped through the skin of the cord close to the line to be secured. A knot is tied at the cord and the suture is cut leaving two threads about 5 cm in length parallel to the line.
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