Letter

Increase of stillbirth and decrease of late preterm infants during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown

Italy was the first country in Europe violently affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The total lockdown of 3 months was necessary and effective to stem the infection. However, it has determined a series of effects that changed the life of millions of people. The objective of this retrospective study is to analyse some perinatal data during the lockdown and to compare them with the same months of 2019. The data refer to the Lazio region where Rome is located with 5.8 million people and where about 10% of Italian births take place every year.

We obtained data from the Lazio hospital discharge database, which records perinatal information on all newborns. We have considered only singletons to limit the influence of other determinants of premature birth. The total numbers of all very preterm, late preterm, at term, late term, stillbirths, total births and the numbers and per cents of caesarean delivery were determined in the lockdown period (March, April, May 2020) and in the same period of 2019. All non-viable at birth with gestational age ≥22 weeks were considered as stillbirths and abortions for late termination for fetal abnormalities were excluded. Gestational age at birth was categorised in complete weeks (Table 1).

The results in Table 1 show with statistical significance an increase of about three times in stillbirths, a decrease in the percentage of late preterm births and an increase in full-term births. There was an increase but not significant in very preterm births and a non-significant reduction in caesarean sections.

The decrease in the number of births during the lockdown period is in line with the progressive deniality that has been recorded for >10 years. The incidence rates of SARS-CoV-2-positive women who gave birth in Central Italy is 1/1000. The threefold increase in stillbirths observed by us compared with the previous year does not seem a direct consequence of COVID-19 infection. We believe it could be a consequence of induced life changes from the lockdown and in particular caused by reduced visits to hospitals due to the fear of contracting the COVID-19 infection. This behaviour has led to the postponement or suspension of all medical checks even in pregnant women.

The significant decrease of late preterm infants during the lockdown period could be attributed to obliged rest at home due to the lockdown, reduced physical activity, reduced infection load and increased hygiene. This is also the possible reason for the increase in term births. A strength of this study is that all those born in the Lazio region were considered. Limitations of this study include retrospective nature, lack of information on stillbirths, possible obstetric pathologies and the fact that the data refer only to the Lazio region where only 10% of the Italian population lives. A nationwide survey is desirable to confirm these data. The differences observed in the various countries may depend on the different times of impact of the COVID-19 infection and the difference in the local health organisations.
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Table 1 Gestation categories during the lockdown (March-April-May) 2020 and the same period in 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational age (weeks)</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very preterm (&lt;32 weeks)</td>
<td>50 (0.55)</td>
<td>61 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late preterm (32–36 weeks+6 days)</td>
<td>537 (5.93)</td>
<td>358 (4.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term (37–41 weeks+6 days)</td>
<td>8362 (92.37)</td>
<td>7221 (93.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late term &gt;42 weeks+0</td>
<td>104 (1.15)</td>
<td>115 (1.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total livebirths</td>
<td>9053</td>
<td>7755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>3278 (36.2)</td>
<td>2758 (35.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirths (N, per mille births)</td>
<td>10 (1.07)</td>
<td>26 (3.23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single (N and %) live births, caesarean section and stillbirths.
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