Should euthanasia be legal? An international survey of neonatal intensive care units staff

H Lagercrantz

A commentary on the paper by Cuttini *et al*

Active euthanasia has been mainly discussed in geriatric medicine, allowing old people to be relieved of unacceptable suffering. It is often a question of assisted suicide when the patient's will can be respected. This has been practiced in the Netherlands since the 1970s and is now also legal. The question considered here is whether active euthanasia can be allowed for infants. This is not yet permitted in the Netherlands or any other country, but practiced in both Holland and France.

Cuttini *et al* present an international survey on attitudes towards euthanasia among nurses and doctors working in neonatal intensive care units. This is a part of a bigger interview study, the EURONICS, performed 1996–1997 before the amendment to the Dutch legislation allowing euthanasia was passed.

Seven countries belonging to the EU (Italy, Spain, France, Germany, Netherlands, United Kingdom, and Sweden) and three candidate countries (Hungary, Estonia, and Lithuania) were included. It is pity that every country in the EU was not included. The attitudes in the Nordic countries may be different in spite of other similarities.

More than 60% of the Dutch neonatologists felt that the law should be made more liberal, whereas less than 20% of their Swedish colleagues had the same opinion. Doctors in Spain and Hungary seemed to view euthanasia more favourably, whereas those in the United Kingdom and Germany fell somewhere in between. French doctors were in general more negative towards legalisation, but it is well known that

euthanasia is performed in that country often without the knowledge of the parents.

As a Swede I want to try to explain the Swedish attitude. I think it has to do with a long tradition of respect for the child. Habilitation of handicapped children is a very important political question. The use of involuntary abortion and sterilisation of mentally retarded women was debated until the 1970s. This was practiced since the 1930s based less on the influence of the Third Reich than sociodemocratic ideas of social engineering to develop the so called Folkhemmet (Swedish Welfare State).

This paper reports only the attitudes of professionals with great experience in neonatal care but less in caring for children with handicaps. I feel that the question is awkward. Can I as a neonatologist judge whether the life of a baby with a chromosomal abnormality should be terminated? He/she may develop into a happy individual in spite of a severe handicap. Interviews with very preterm graduates with deficiencies in sensory-motor and cognitive abilities have revealed that they are pleased to be alive and do not suffer as much from their handicaps as expected.¹

I think that it is wrong to ask if euthanasia of infants should be legal. It is better to retain respect for a personal life defined as a human individual with consciousness or the potential to become conscious. However, this raises a new question—how do we define consciousness? This is perhaps more difficult than defining human life. Consciousness is “to retain what no longer is, to anticipate what as yet is

not”—according to Henri Bergson—and “the hump from electrochemistry to subjective feeling”—according to John Searle.²

A full term healthy newborn child fulfils five of nine psychological criteria for being conscious. He/she has perception, records sensory stimulation (pain), can be awakened, shows emotions, and interacts socially. However, he/she has a limited long term memory, cannot speak, and lacks symbolic thinking and free will.³ An extremely preterm infant with severe brain damage or a full term infant with hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy grade 3 with a very limited ability to develop a reasonable level of consciousness (more than three criteria) should not be resuscitated and receive intensive care. I also think moderate doses of pain relievers could be given. I do not regard this as euthanasia, because the infant is not a conscious, or a potentially conscious, person. This may be difficult to determine. However, the use of cerebral function monitoring seems to have a fairly good predictive value with regard to full term infants.⁴ More sophisticated methods using magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance spectroscopy, functional magnetic resonance, and near infrared spectroscopy may help the neonatologist to assess the level of potential consciousness in preterm infants.⁵ From this approach, I do not think that it is necessary to change our laws against euthanasia.

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2004;**89**:F2

Correspondence to: H Lagercrantz, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; hugo.lagercrantz@ks.se

REFERENCES

- 1 Saigal S, Lambert M, Russ C, *et al*. Self-esteem of adolescents who were born prematurely. *Pediatrics* 2002;**2002**:429–33.
- 2 Searle J. Consciousness. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2000;**23**:557–78.
- 3 Posner MI, Rothbart M. Attention, self-regulation and consciousness. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 1998;**353**:1915–27.
- 4 Hellstrom-Westas L. Cerebral function monitoring. In: Lagercrantz H, Hanson M, Evrard P, *et al*. *The newborn brain: neuroscience and clinical applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002:368–84.
- 5 Levene MJ, Chervenack FA, Whittle M. *Fetal and neonatal neurology and neurosurgery*. London: Churchill Livingstone, 2001:39–198.

Euthanasia

Should euthanasia be legal? An international survey of neonatal intensive care units staff

R W Cooke

A commentary on the paper by Cuttini *et al*

The members of the EURONIC group have investigated the attitudes and practice of nurses and doctors with regard to euthanasia in neonatal units throughout Europe. Not surprisingly, they have found a wide range of beliefs and actions concerning an act that remains illegal for the newborn in all the countries studied. The group begin helpfully by defining what they mean by euthanasia, as debate is often confused by different understandings of what it means. Definitions range from “ending life...as by lethal injection or the suspension of extraordinary treatment” to “act of killing painlessly (especially one suffering from an incurable illness)”. In the United Kingdom especially, doctors often distinguish between “active” and “passive” euthanasia (the latter involving withdrawal of intensive care), despite ethicists denying a difference between these actions if the intention is to end life. Those supporting withdrawal of care in selected cases argue that their intention is to reduce suffering by terminating ineffective treatment. The near universality of deaths following these decisions on neonatal units suggests that this may not be entirely true.

This study refers to “active” euthanasia. A wide variation of beliefs was

shown, with those least in favour of a change in the law regarding euthanasia for selected newborns being predominantly female, religious, and more experienced in neonatal care, and those supporting change more likely to be childless researchers who had already participated in neonatal euthanasia.

It was perhaps not surprising to see little support for change in conservative Roman Catholic countries such as Italy, and more enthusiasm in countries such as the Netherlands with a tradition of more open government and public involvement in decision making, and already provision for euthanasia for older people. The most remarkable findings concerned French neonatologists and nurses who appeared to both believe in and practice active euthanasia in the newborn more than in any other European country. Their opposition to a change in the law was based on a concept that such actions were subjected to professional codes and outside the law. Even the involvement of parents was seen as undesirable because they might act in their own interests rather than those of the infant. Introducing a law would make doctors less careful about end of

life decisions. Such arrogance has been seen in recent UK decisions involving pathologists and paediatricians. Commendably, the French National Ethics Committee for Health and Life Sciences has made recommendations for greater involvement of parents and more open decision making.

The authors lament that the extent of variation in belief and practice will rule out the development of uniform legal procedures covering neonatal euthanasia throughout Europe. This applies to other areas of legislation where personal beliefs, formed by local ethical values, custom, and teaching are involved. It is appropriate that the law should reflect these, and variation should occur, until perhaps a time comes when Europe is a single country with a single belief system; not very likely or even desirable in the near future. However, with improved communications and media exposure, consensus at least at the level of principles for management of the end of life of selected newborns, along the lines of the document of the ethics committee of The Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, could perhaps be reached. Topics should include the withdrawal of ineffective care (not prohibited by the Roman Catholic church), the institution of palliative care, and greater involvement of parents and independent witnesses in decision making. The legal status of neonatal euthanasia is uniform within Europe (including the Netherlands) at present. This could provide a starting point for wider consultation, and discussion, and eventually the development of a legal framework within Europe.

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2004;**89**:F3

Correspondence to: R W Cooke; Neonatal Unit, Liverpool Women's Hospital, Crown Street, Liverpool, L8 7SS; mc19@liv.ac.uk

PK-PD

Disentangling PK-PD in neonates

B Anderson

A commentary on the paper by Allegaert *et al*

Neonatal analgesia is a humane consideration, and paracetamol offers a reasonable alternative for mild to moderate pain. The investigation of propacetamol, an intravenous prodrug of paracetamol (acetaminophen), in premature neonates after

intravenous administration has received scant attention. We might expect propacetamol to offer advantages clinically because a plasma target concentration can be rapidly attained and variability due to absorption kinetics and relative bioavailability is reduced. In addition,

an intravenous drug offers a cleaner pharmacokinetic study that can further our knowledge of paracetamol pharmacokinetics in premature and term neonates.¹⁻⁵ Allegaert *et al* are to be congratulated for investigating propacetamol use in premature neonates. Neonatal pharmacokinetic studies are fraught with pitfalls.

Clearance often increases rapidly after birth (postnatal age) no matter what the gestational age at birth. This study gets around this by studying all infants in the first day of life and stratifies by gestational age. It is difficult to enrol neonates of different gestational ages into a longitudinal study. Population modelling—for example, NONMEM software—offers great potential here.

Population parameter estimates and their variability from rich or scant data involving a large number of individuals can be made.⁶ Missing data are tolerated. Infusion rather than instantaneous input can be examined. Covariate analyses can then be used to investigate the effects of age, size, sex, and concomitant drug therapy. Clearance changes with age are part of a continuum, rather than a cut off at 37 weeks gestation. This covariate analysis is difficult using the standard two stage approach of this study. It also ignores imprecision in the estimate of an individual's structural parameter, and, if the response in one individual is much more variable than in another, some form of weighting is required.

The per kilogram and surface area models may be inappropriate for scaling small children to adults. It is possible to show that in almost all species including humans, the log of basal metabolic rate plotted against the log of body weight produces a straight line with a slope of $\frac{3}{4}$. West *et al*⁸ have used fractional geometry to explain this phenomenon mathematically. A great many physiological, structural, and time related variables scale predictably within and between species with weight exponents of 0.75, 1, and 0.25 respectively. These allometric " $\frac{1}{4}$ power" models can be applied to pharmacokinetic parameter estimates in children—for example, clearance (0.75), half life (0.25), volume of distribution (1).⁹ If parameter values were standardised for a body weight of 70 kg using allometric " $\frac{1}{4}$ power models", then we can compare neonatal estimates with adult estimates and track the developmental changes with age. For example, a clearance of 0.2 litre/h/

kg, when standardised to a 70 kg person (14 litres/h/70 kg), is reduced in a 1.5 kg intrauterine growth retarded term neonate (5.4 litres/h/70 kg) when compared with a 4 kg term neonate (6.9 litres/h/70 kg). Clearance is not the same in the two neonates. Clearance rather than half life dictates maintenance dosing. Half life is confounded by volume of distribution, which changes with age in early life.

Paracetamol concentration does not correlate directly with analgesia: several authors have shown effect compartment delays ($T_{eq} \approx 1$ h) for analgesia and antipyresis in children,^{10 11} but data in neonates are lacking. Assessment of effect in neonates is difficult, and attempts to show concentration-response relations have been unfruitful,¹² as in the present study. Assessment tools may measure discomfort rather than pain, neonatal wind up may exist, pain is not constant, placebo effects contribute, and large interindividual variability has been reported for pharmacodynamic parameter estimates. Further, both the responses to a painful stimuli and pain pathway development may vary with age.

The present study is useful. Suggestions on dose regimens are practical. It does, however, raise some unanswered questions. For example, is the rate and extent of hydrolysis of propacetamol to paracetamol in neonates the same as in adults? What is the likelihood of hepatic damage if propacetamol is used in sick premature neonates? At what rate do clearance enzyme pathways mature? What is the target concentration in neonates? How effective is paracetamol in neonates and what effect end points should be used?

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2004;**89**:F3–F4

Correspondence to: B Anderson, Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; brian.a@adhb.govt.nz

REFERENCES

- 1 Anderson BJ, van Lingen R, Hansen TA, *et al*. Acetaminophen developmental pharmacokinetics in neonates and infants: a pooled population analysis. *Anesthesiology* 2002;**96**:1336–45.
- 2 Hansen TG, O'Brien K, Morton NS, *et al*. Plasma paracetamol concentrations and pharmacokinetics following rectal administration in neonates and young infants. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1999;**43**:855–9.
- 3 Van Lingen RA, Deinum HT, Quak CME, *et al*. Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of rectally administered acetaminophen in term infants. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1999;**66**:509–15.
- 4 Van Lingen RA, Deinum JT, Quak JM, *et al*. Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of rectally administered paracetamol in preterm neonates. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 1999;**80**:F59–63.
- 5 Lin YC, Sussman HH, Benitz WE. Plasma concentrations after rectal administration of acetaminophen in preterm neonates. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1997;**7**:457–9.
- 6 Whiting B, Kelman AW, Grevel J. Population pharmacokinetics. Theory and clinical application. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 1986;**11**:387–401.
- 7 West GB, Brown JH, Enquist BJ. A general model for the origin of allometric scaling laws in biology. *Science* 1997;**276**:122–6.
- 8 West GB, Brown JH, Enquist BJ. The fourth dimension of life: fractal geometry and allometric scaling of organisms. *Science* 1999;**284**:1677–9.
- 9 Holford NHG. A size standard for pharmacokinetics. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 1996;**30**:329–32.
- 10 Anderson BJ, Woollard GA, Holford NHG. Acetaminophen analgesia in children: placebo effect and pain resolution after tonsillectomy. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2001;**57**:559–69.
- 11 Brown RD, Kearns GL, Wilson JT. Integrated pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic model for acetaminophen, ibuprofen, and placebo antipyresis in children. *J Pharmacokinet Biopharm* 1998;**26**:559–79.
- 12 van der Marel CD, van Lingen RA, Pluim MA, *et al*. Analgesic efficacy of rectal versus oral acetaminophen in children after major craniofacial surgery. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2001;**70**:82–90.

Find out what's in the latest issue
the moment it's published

Email Alerts

Sign up to receive the table of contents by email every month. You can select from three alerts:
Table of Contents (full), TOC Awareness (notice only); *Archives of Disease in Childhood* related announcements.

www.archdischild.com