Early postnatal hypotension and developmental delay at 24 months of age among extremely low gestational age newborns
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To evaluate in extremely low gestational age newborns, relationships between indicators of hypotension during the first 24 postnatal hours and developmental delay at 24 months of age.

Methods The 945 infants in this prospective study were born at <28 weeks, were assessed for three indicators of hypotension in the first 24 postnatal hours, and were evaluated with the Bayley Mental Development Index (MDI) and Psychomotor Development Index (PDI) at 24 months corrected age. Indicators of hypotension included: (1) mean arterial pressure in the lowest quartile for gestational age; (2) treatment with a vasopressor; and (3) blood pressure lability, defined as the upper quartile for the difference between the lowest and highest mean arterial pressure. Logistic regression was used to evaluate relationships between hypotension and developmental outcomes, adjusting for potential confounders.

Results 78% of infants in this cohort received volume expansion or vasopressor; all who received a vasopressor were treated with volume expansion. 26% had an MDI <70 and 32% had a PDI <70. Low MDI and PDI were associated with low gestational age, which in turn, was associated with receipt of vasopressor treatment. Blood pressure in the lowest quartile for gestational age was associated with vasopressor treatment and labile blood pressure. After adjusting for potential confounders, none of the indicators of hypotension were associated with MDI <70 or PDI <70.

Conclusions In this large cohort of extremely low gestational age newborns, we found little evidence that early postnatal hypotension indicators are associated with developmental delay at 24 months corrected gestational age.

INTRODUCTION

The term ‘cerebral blood flow autoregulation’ is used to indicate that compensatory mechanisms can assure normal cerebral blood flow even when systemic blood pressure is reduced. Some preterm infants have impaired cerebral autoregulation, while others may have a blood pressure below the autoregulatory plateau.1 2 However, clinical identification of these physiological impairments remains elusive. Nevertheless, clinicians frequently choose to treat hypotension in the preterm newborn in order to avoid brain damage that might result from impaired cerebral perfusion. Conflicting results have been obtained in small observational studies of the association of low arterial blood pressure (variably defined) and brain damage. In some studies,3–9 but not in others,10–19 low blood pressure has been associated with a higher risk of intraventricular haemorrhage or white matter damage identified by cranial ultrasound. The few studies reporting an association between hypotension and developmental delay were small and do not appear to have adequately controlled for potential confounders.20–22

METHODS

The ELGAN Study was designed to identify characteristics and exposures that increase the risk of structural and functional neurological disorders in ELGANs. During the years 2002–2004, women whose babies were delivered before 28 weeks gestation were asked to enrol in the study. The project was overseen by the National Institutes of Health, the institutional review boards of the 14 participating institutions, and an external Performance Monitoring and Safety Board (members appointed by the National Institutes of Neurologic Disorders and Stroke) at Children’s Hospital Boston. All variables and outcomes were defined a priori, and research personnel were trained prior to the start...
of the study. This study is a post-hoc epidemiological analysis of prospectively acquired data from the original sample of 1506 infants born in 14 centres in 11 cities in the USA.

**Study participants**

Mothers of eligible infants were approached for consent either upon antenatal admission or shortly after delivery, depending on clinical circumstance and institutional preference. In all, 1249 mothers consented to participate, and their 1506 infants (1002 singletons, 504 twins or higher order) were enrolled. There were 260 mothers who were missed or did not consent. All infants were born at Level III neonatal intensive care units, in either urban or suburban academic institutions.

**Demographic, pregnancy and delivery variables**

The clinical circumstances that led to each maternal admission and ultimately to each preterm delivery were operationally defined using data from a structured maternal interview and abstracted from the medical record.23 Shortly after the mother’s discharge, the research nurse reviewed the maternal chart using a second structured data collection form. The medical record was relied on for all events and characteristics following admission. Variables evaluated as potential confounders included, but were not limited to, pregnancy complications and exposures (ie, antenatal steroids and magnesium), placental characteristics (ie, choioamnionitis, funisitis and thrombosis) and neonatal characteristics ascertained at birth (ie, gestational age, race, gender and anthropomorphic measurements).

**Newborn variables**

The gestational age estimates were based on a hierarchy of the quality of available information. Most desirable were estimates based on the dates of embryo retrieval, intrauterine insemination or fetal ultrasound before the 14th week (62%). When these were not available, reliance was placed sequentially on a fetal ultrasound at 14 or more weeks (29%), dates of the last menstrual period without fetal ultrasound (7%) and gestational age recorded in the log of the neonatal intensive care unit (1%). The birthweight Z-score and head circumference Z-score represent the number of SDs the infant’s weight or head circumference is above or below the mean of infants at the same gestational age in a standard data set.24

**Hypotension indicators**

The frequency of hypotension indicators was higher in the first 24 postnatal hours than on subsequent days. So was the severity of hypotensive episodes. Because brain damage that can be attributed to severe hypotension was most likely to have occurred then, we studied the lowest mean arterial pressure (MAP) measured during the first postnatal day. Because no single definition of hypotension is widely accepted,19 25 we examined three indicators of hypotension in the first 24 postnatal hours, including: (1) MAP in the lowest quartile for gestational age (23–24, 25–26 and 27 weeks); (2) treatment for hypotension using a vasopressor (dopamine, dobutamine, epinephrine); and (3) blood pressure lability, defined as the upper quartile for the quantity (highest MAP–lowest MAP).

Data forms were developed prior to the start of the study, and multiple training sessions were held to train research personnel in standardised approaches to data collection from the hospital chart. The lowest, highest and mode blood pressure measurements for each day were abstracted from the medical record. We did not collect information about the method used for measuring blood pressure (oscillometry vs intra-arterial catheter). MAP in the lowest quartile represents the lowest quartile blood pressures for a broad sample of ELGANs. Vasopressor use served as a ‘functional’ definition of hypotension; regardless of how the clinician arrived at the decision to treat, he/she deemed the infant hypotensive enough to require treatment. Blood pressure lability was chosen because it has been associated with intraventricular haemorrhage.17 Because 75% of the infants in this study received volume expansion in the first 24 h, receipt of volume expansion was not included as a variable in analyses.

**24-Month developmental assessment**

A developmental assessment was performed by certified examiners at 24 months corrected gestational age. Families were invited to bring their child for a developmental assessment, which included the Bayley Scales of Infant Development–second edition (BSID-II).32 Only 2% of examiners indicated at the time of the examination that they had more than a limited amount of information about the child. Before testing, examiners were told the child’s age. After completion of testing, examiners were told the gestational age so that the Mental Development Index (MDI) and Psychomotor Development Index (PDI) could be appropriately age-adjusted.

Developmental delay was defined as either an MDI <70 or a PDI <70. The child was classified as ‘not testable’ on a scale if her/his impairments prohibited standardised administration, or more than two items were judged to be ‘not applicable’. On the basis of their Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales Composite score, 26 of 33 children considered ‘not-testable’ were assigned an MDI of <70 (N=23) or ≥70 (N=3).37 On the basis of the motor scale (#4) of the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales, 32 of 38 considered ‘not-testable’ were assigned a PDI of <70 (N=27) or ≥70 (N=5).

**Data analysis**

We evaluated the null hypothesis that children with an indicator of hypotension during the first 24 postnatal hours were no more likely than their peers to have developmental delay at 24 months.

In order to detect potential confounders, we compared the distribution of other characteristics and exposures among children who had each indicator of hypotension to the distribution among children who did not. We then compared the distribution of these characteristics and exposures among children who did and did not have an MDI <70 or a PDI <70. To be identified as a potential confounder, characteristics and exposures of the pregnancy, delivery and postnatal period had to be associated with both the exposure (hypotension indicator) and the outcome (low Bayley score) with a p value ≤0.25.28

Outcome measures were modelled as dichotomies (eg, MDI <70 and MDI ≥70). We fit six logistic regression models, one for each of two outcomes with each of the three hypotension indicators. Each model was adjusted for identified potential confounders and included a hospital cluster term to account for the possibility that infants born at a particular hospital are more like each other than infants born at other hospitals.29

Here, we present data adjusted for gestational age in groups of weeks (ie, 23–24, 25–26 and 27 weeks). Early analyses included an adjustment for illness severity (SNAP-II).30 However, because lowest MAP in the first 12 h is a component
of SNAP-II, it was removed from the model. Removal of the adjustment for illness severity resulted in no difference in adjusted ORs (data not shown).

We present, graphically, the ORs and 95% CIs for MDI <70 and PDI <70 related to each of the three indicators of hypotension.

RESULTS
The original ELGAN sample consists of 1506 infants born to 1249 mothers. The sample for this analysis included the 945 infants (from 783 mothers) who were evaluated with the BSID-II at 2 years corrected age and for whom we had our three measures of hypotension (see figure 1). Of the 945 infants who were evaluated at follow-up, 629 were singletons and 316 were multiples.

To evaluate the potential for bias due to surviving infants who were lost to follow-up at 24 months of age, we compared characteristics of infants who returned for follow-up to those who did not (table 1). Mothers who brought their child for a developmental assessment were more educated and more likely to be married. Their babies were born later in gestation, and were more likely to have a hypotension indicator.

In the first 24 postnatal hours, 741/945 (78%) of infants in this cohort received either volume expansion or vasopressor support. Seventy-five per cent received volume expansion and 26% received one or more vasopressor. All infants who received a vasopressor were treated with volume expansion. Twenty-two per cent were hypotensive, defined as MAP in the lowest quartile for gestation, and 64% were hypotensive, defined as MAP (in mm Hg) less than gestational age (in weeks). Due to the high proportion of infants with MAP less than gestational age, any relationship between MAP in the lowest quartile for gestation and other characteristics, exposures and abnormal development, would be statistically stronger than a relationship identified with MAP less than gestational age. We report only the results for hypotension defined as MAP in the lowest quartile for gestation.

Infant characteristics
Twenty-five per cent of the study cohort had blood pressure in the lowest quartile, whereas 21% of survivors had a blood pressure this low, indicating an association between blood pressure in the lowest quartile and mortality (table 2, figure 2). Twenty-six per cent of infants were treated with vasopressors and 24% had labile blood pressure. Twenty-six per cent of infants had an MDI <70 and 32% had a PDI <70.

The rate of MDI <70 was higher in males, but males were only minimally more likely to have a hypotension indicator.
Multivariate relationships: hypotension indicators and developmental delay

In the unadjusted models for MDI <70, use of vasopressors approached, but did not reach, statistical significance with an OR of 1.4 (95% CI 0.98 to 2.0) (figure 3). After adjusting for confounders, none of the indicators of hypotension studied here were significantly associated with an MDI <70 or a PDI <70. Similar results were obtained when infants who were considered ‘not-testable’ were excluded from analyses.

DISCUSSION

In this study, 78% of infants received some treatment (volume expansion or vasopressor therapy) for hypotension. The proportion of infants treated for hypotension varied greatly among the 14 centres, even after adjustment for maternal and neonatal risk factors. Although we do not know what provoked the majority of clinicians to initiate treatment for hypotension, it is likely that the perception of harm to the central nervous system was a key motivation. In this large cohort of extremely preterm infants, a majority of whom were treated with volume expanders, we found little evidence for an association between early postnatal hypotension indicators and developmental delay.

The correlation of systemic blood pressure with indicators of cerebral and systemic blood flow has been studied using several techniques, including near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), trans-cranial Doppler, superior vena cava blood flow and ventricular output. Of these, NIRS and Doppler are the only techniques capable of providing a direct and continuous measure of cerebral perfusion. This could explain why studies employing the continuous measurement of blood pressure and NIRS seem to favour a correlation between blood pressure and cerebral perfusion, while studies employing the intermittent collection of blood pressure and NIRS seem to favour a correlation between blood pressure and cerebral perfusion, and other indicators of upper body blood flow and cerebral perfusion frequently do not. Nonetheless, blood pressure might not be a sensitive indicator of the putative effect of insufficient cerebral perfusion in the preterm brain, as abnormalities of cerebral blood flow have been associated with cranial...
ultrasound lesions, while systemic hypotension has not. Moreover, just as the aetiology of brain damage in preterm newborns is multifactorial, so are the clinical factors that modify cerebral perfusion.

Our finding that early postnatal hypotension is not associated with developmental delay at follow-up agrees with conclusions from one prior study and contrasts with the conclusions of several others. 

Studies reporting an association between hypotension and developmental delay were small, single-centre studies, decreasing the likelihood that multiple potential confounders could be adequately controlled. Hypotensive infants are frequently treated with vasopressors, yet only two of the studies reporting an association between hypotension and developmental delay adequately controlled for treatment. Further, two of the studies favouring an association between hypotension and developmental delay reported composite developmental outcomes. Because individual outcomes do not contribute equally to composite measures, the overall effect estimate for a composite measure cannot be assumed to apply equally to each of its individual outcomes.

Our failure to detect an association between early postnatal hypotension indicators and developmental delay has several possible explanations. The first is that early postnatal hypotension is not associated with brain damage in preterm newborns. The second is that if poor cerebral perfusion is associated with brain damage in preterm newborns, then early postnatal hypotension is not a reliable indicator of poor cerebral perfusion. The third is that if poor cerebral perfusion is associated with brain damage in preterm newborns, and hypotension is a reliable indicator of poor cerebral perfusion, then our intermittent and crude collection of blood pressure is not a reliable indicator of hypotension, and by extension, an inadequate indicator of poor cerebral perfusion.

Because none of the potential confounders are in any way negatively associated with low blood pressure or low BSID, we do not consider negative confounding an explanation for our failure to detect an association between early postnatal hypotension and developmental delay. Our study sample was large enough to detect the confounding influence of treatment (with vasopressors) on outcome, and our results suggest a near-significant association between vasopressor use and developmental delay. A possible explanation for this finding is that use of vasopressors could have adverse effects. An alternative explanation is that the severe physiologic abnormalities that prompted therapy provide information about the risk of brain damage. This would occur if clinicians provided therapy to those infants at highest risk of morbidities, for example, infants born at lower gestational age, a bias referred to as confounding by indication.

The strengths of our study include the use of prospectively collected data from a large multicentre cohort, defined by gestational age (rather than birthweight), and the use of follow-up data collected by examiners who were trained in the standardised administration of the BSID-II. Examiners were masked to infants’ medical histories, including the infant’s corrected gestational age at the follow-up visit.

A potential limitation of our study is the lack of a consistent method for obtaining MAP. Some MAP measurements were obtained by intra-arterial catheters, others by oscillometry. The latter method overestimates blood pressure. The direction of the resulting bias would depend on whether an association exists between the method by which infants’ blood pressures were measured and their risks of developmental delay. Other limitations include the intermittent collection of MAP data, and the possibility that we missed the lowest MAP during the first 24 h. To the extent that early volume expansion ameliorates the adverse effects of hypotension, references...
Table 3  Maternal characteristics, indicators of hypotension and developmental delay (row per cents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal characteristics</th>
<th>Lowest ¼ile MAP*</th>
<th>Vasopressor†</th>
<th>Labile MAP‡</th>
<th>BSID &lt;70</th>
<th>MDI</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (High school)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12 to &lt;16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College grad</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self supported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>623</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>352</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primigravida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any conception assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>718</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5–25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>456</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.1–30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>883</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy complication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm labour</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pPROM</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abruption</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerv insufficiency</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal indication</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lowest ¼ile MAP: lowest mean arterial pressure recorded in the first 24 h, in the lowest quartile for gestational age.
†Vasopressor: treatment for hypotension in the first 24 h, using any vasopressor (dopamine, dobutamine, epinephrine).
‡Labile MAP: labile blood pressure, defined as the upper quartile of the difference in the lowest and highest mean arterial pressure.

BMI, body mass index; BSID, Bayley Scales of Infant Development; Cerv insufficiency, cervical insufficiency; MDI, Mental Developmental Index; PDI, Psychomotor Developmental Index; pPROM, preterm premature rupture of membranes.

from our study are most pertinent to populations in which volume expansion is used frequently, as 75% of ELGANs in this study received volume expansion in the first 24 h. If volume expansion is not beneficial, our inferences might apply more broadly. Finally, we acknowledge that the agreement between early developmental assessments (20–24 months) and school-age outcomes (5–8 years) is not strong.67

We did not report on the presence or absence of acidosis for two reasons. First, the available data did not permit a temporal correlation between recorded blood pressure and blood gas measurements. Second, hypotension is only one of a number of events that contribute to acidosis in the early postnatal period. These factors include, but are not limited to, uteroplacental insufficiency, abruptio-placenta, maternal medications and chorioamnionitis. Consequently, acidosis reflects much more than poor systemic perfusion in the early postnatal period, and cannot, in isolation, be viewed as a surrogate for poor perfusion. Therefore, we chose to address only the contribution of early postnatal hypotension to developmental outcomes.

Despite these limitations, our study has implications for researchers interested in preventing developmental delay in extremely preterm infants. Specifically, our findings do not support the concept that early postnatal hypotension is an important risk factor for such delays, or the corollary that vasopressor therapy can prevent such delays.

The implications for clinicians are important as well, as ELGANs are frequently given treatments to increase blood pressure under the assumption that these treatments decrease the risk of brain injury. This assumption is not supported by observational studies, and there is a paucity of support for therapies widely used to treat hypotension. Treatment for hypotension has been associated with abnormal development, hearing loss, and severe brain ultrasound abnormalities in low birthweight infants. Thus, while it is possible the decision to treat reflects the physician’s perception that the infant is vulnerable, it is also possible that these therapies are harmful.

In conclusion, we investigated the association between hypotension during the first day following birth and developmental delay, in a large cohort of extremely preterm newborns. We tested this association using three operational definitions. The first relied upon the distribution of MAPs and defined hypotension as the lowest MAP during the first day. The second defined hypotension based on the lability of MAP during the first day. The third was based on...
Table 4  Frequencies of indicators of hypotension and developmental delay (row per cents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposures and BSID outcomes</th>
<th>Lowest ¼ile MAP*</th>
<th>Vasopressor†</th>
<th>Labile MAP‡</th>
<th>BSID &lt;70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasopressor†</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labile MAP‡</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSID MDI &lt;70</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSID PDI &lt;70</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of infants</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row %</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lowest ¼ile MAP: lowest mean arterial pressure recorded in the first 24 h, in the lowest quartile for gestational age.
†Vasopressor: treatment for hypotension in the first 24 h, using any vasopressor (dopamine, dobutamine, epinephrine).
‡Labile MAP: labile blood pressure, defined as the upper quartile of the difference in the lowest and highest mean arterial pressure.

BSID, Bayley Scales of Infant Development; MDI, Mental Developmental Index; PDI, Psychomotor Developmental Index.
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