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ABSTRACT
An association between birth weight <1500 g (very low birth weight (VLBW)) and hearing loss has been long recognised. As universal hearing screening programmes have become widely implemented and the survival rate of VLBW babies in modern intensive care units has increased, we have gained a substantially better understanding of the nature of this problem. However, many gaps in our knowledge base exist. This review describes recent data on hearing loss in the VLBW population and explains the current level of understanding about the physiological basis underlying the auditory deficits in these patients. Although VLBW alone may not have a severe impact on hearing, it is commonly associated with multiple other risk factors that can alter hearing in a synergistic fashion. Therefore, the risk of hearing loss is substantially higher than in the general newborn population. Also, it is important to perform a more comprehensive audiometric evaluation than standard otoacoustic emission screening for infants who are in the neonatal intensive care unit in order not to miss hearing loss due to retrocochlear pathology. Furthermore, children with VLBW are also at increased risk of experiencing progressive or delayed-onset hearing loss, and thus should continue to have serial hearing evaluations after discharge from the neonatal intensive care unit.

The survival of very-low-birthweight (VLBW) infants has increased substantially over the past two decades because of multiple improvements in obstetrical and neonatal care.1 Even though a rational approach to reducing the risk of developing hearing loss in this population should be based on a sound scientific understanding of the pathophysiology, the association between VLBW and hearing loss remains poorly understood. Although our knowledge base about the causes of hearing loss has improved to some degree, a thorough understanding of the mechanisms underlying the development of hearing loss in VLBW infants is unfortunately lacking. A major impediment to studying these mechanisms of injury is that biopsies of inner ear tissue cannot be carried out on patients beginning to develop hearing loss because this would produce immediate total hearing loss. Also, current audiometric and radiological studies do not provide enough detail on subtle changes in the auditory system. Therefore, the most common research strategy for investigating normal inner ear physiology is the use of animal models; however, few animal models of hearing loss in VLBW infants or with other risk factors for hearing loss exist. This review summarises the epidemiology of hearing loss in VLBW infants and condenses the current level of knowledge on the causative factors and pathophysiology of the auditory system.

NORMAL HEARING PHYSIOLOGY
The process of normal human hearing requires proper function of the external ear, middle ear, inner ear (cochlea) and ascending brainstem pathways (fig 1A). The process of hearing is initiated as sound pressure waves travel through the external auditory canal and vibrate the tympanic membrane. The ossicular chain in the middle ear space then transmits the acoustic energy to the cochlea. There are three fluid-filled chambers within the cochlea (fig 1B). The scala tympani and scala vestibuli contain perilymph, a fluid similar to serum in which the predominant cation is sodium. These perilymphatic compartments are in electrical continuity with the rest of the body and so they are at 0 mV. The scala media contains endolymph, which is similar to intracellular fluid in that the predominant cation is potassium, and the calcium concentration is also lower than that typically found in extracellular fluid. Importantly, the endolymphatic compartment is electrically isolated from the other compartments in that there is an endocochlear potential of about +90 mV within the scala media. The electrical and chemical gradients between the endolymph and perilymph function to power the cochlea and are maintained by the stria vascularis.

The organ of Corti runs longitudinally along the length of the cochlea and contains one row of inner hair cells and three rows of outer hair cells which reside over the elastic basilar membrane. Longitudinal gradations in the mass and stiffness of the basilar membrane create regional tonotopic differences in vibratory properties and sound frequency sensitivity. Thus, the basal end of the cochlea responds to high-frequency sounds and the apical end to low-frequency sounds. The apical surfaces of the hair cells have stereocilia, which function to transduce mechanical acoustic energy into electrical energy. The inner hair cell stereocilia are in close approximation to the overlying tectorial membrane, and the outer hair cell stereocilia are attached to it. When a sound pressure wave is applied by the stapes to the oval window at the base of the cochlea, a travelling wave is generated that vibrates the basilar membrane maximally at the region tuned to the frequency of the sound stimulus. The vertical movements of the basilar and tectorial membranes generate shearing forces that deflect the hair cell stereociliary bundles.

Bending of the stereocilia opens mechanosensitive channels near their tips and allows the influx
of cations from the endolymph into the hair cell. In inner hair cells, the resultant depolarisation triggers synaptic neurotransmission to afferent auditory neurons. In contrast, outer hair cells generate unique forces that modify the physical properties of the organ of Corti and lead to frequency-selective amplification of the inner hair cell response. Sound information travels through the auditory nerve to the cochlear nucleus, and follows an organised path along multiple brainstem nuclei, ultimately conveying a signal to the auditory cortex, which lies within the temporal lobe adjacent to the Sylvian fissure.

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF HEARING IN NEWBORNS

Hearing screening of healthy term newborns is most commonly performed by measuring otoacoustic emissions (OAEs). OAE testing is based on the concept that outer hair cells within the cochlea can generate force in response to incoming sounds. By stimulating outer hair cells with a click or with two simultaneous tones of slightly different frequencies, the forces generated by the outer hair cells can be detected with a microphone in the ear canal as an emitted sound, the OAE. As OAE testing assesses the ability of the outer hair cells to receive an incoming sound wave and produce force in response to it, only cochlear function is tested (fig 1A, step 2). Thus, problems with neurotransmission from inner hair cells to the auditory nerve, the auditory nerve itself, or with the ascending auditory brainstem pathways are not detected (fig 1A, step 3). However, OAE testing depends on sounds passing through the ear canal and middle ear twice. The incoming sound goes through in a forward direction (fig 1A, step 1), and the emitted sound goes through in a reverse direction. Thus, any ear canal or middle ear pathology will have double the impact on the test results. As middle ear effusion affects 20–30% of neonates in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), their failure rate on OAE screening is quite high. However, the prevalence of middle ear effusion in healthy newborns is only ~5%. Thus, OAE testing is the most common screening test for newborns in well-baby nurseries because it does not require patient compliance, it is quick, and it can be performed by a technician rather than an audiologist.

The other common type of hearing assessment in neonates is the auditory brainstem evoked response (ABR). This technique involves measuring the electric field potentials generated by the brainstem in response to stimulating the cochlea with a click or short tone burst. Extrinsic electrical noise not synchronised to the stimulus is removed by averaging. ABR testing is more comprehensive than OAE testing because a normal test result requires normal function of the middle ear, cochlea, auditory nerve and ascending auditory brainstem pathways (fig 1A, steps 1, 2 and 3). Automated auditory brainstem response hearing screening devices are currently used for hearing screening in many centres. These devices do not require a fully trained audiologist to perform the test and produce a dichotomous result, pass or refer (ie, fail), as do OAE tests. ABR and OAE testing modalities are complementary in that a child with abnormal auditory nerve function (auditory neuropathy) typically has normal OAEs but absent ABRs. Therefore, the 2007 update of the Joint Committee on Infant Hearing position statement recommended separate screening protocols for the NICU and well-baby nurseries. Because of the higher prevalence of auditory nerve dysfunction in infants admitted to the NICU for more than 5 days, it was recommended that these patients have ABR testing as part of their hearing screening process, and not just OAE testing. In contrast, healthy newborns can be screened with OAE testing alone.

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF NEONATAL HEARING LOSS

Within the general population, the prevalence of permanent hearing impairment (congenital, progressive and acquired) in infants and young children in early studies from the 1980s and 1990s ranged from 0.1% to 0.2%. More recent studies have confirmed that this incidence has remained stable (table 1). However, there has been a decrease in the incidence of sensorineural hearing loss among NICU graduates when rates published in more recent reports (0.7–1.5%) are compared with those from previous decades (2.1–7.5%). This may be due to the successful preventive management of hearing loss risk factors, including quieter technology used in NICUs, better infection control, improved monitoring of oxygen supplementation and the routine measurement of serum aminoglycoside concentrations.

Recently, several large studies have focused on understanding the relationship between VLBW and hearing loss in the neonatal period and first year of life (table 2). One study compared results of newborn hearing screening tests of 1714 infants 36 weeks or older in an NICU and 25 288 infants from the well-baby nursery. Patients were considered to have failed their OAE screening test when either one or both ears had hearing loss. Seven percent of infants from the NICU failed the test, whereas only 1.9% of the infants from the well-baby nursery failed. Among the infants from the NICU, those with VLBW had a failure rate of 31.6%. This study, however, did not address the nature of the hearing loss (conductive hearing loss associated with middle ear fluid versus sensorineural hearing loss). Also, it did not specify how many of the patients with VLBW had other coexisting risk factors for hearing loss.

Fortunately, a large percentage of VLBW patients who fail the OAE hearing screen will be found by follow-up ABR testing to have only a mild conductive hearing loss due to middle ear effusion. Although middle ear effusions are an important cause of conductive hearing loss in neonates and need to be managed, most effusions in neonates resolve spontaneously within a few weeks of birth. The predominant concern of this review is sensorineural hearing loss because this typically does not improve with time. It is often difficult to distinguish whether a neonate from a well-baby nursery or NICU did not pass a hearing screening because of conductive or sensorineural hearing loss, and it is recommended that this assessment include careful diagnostic ABR testing by a qualified audiologist soon after hospital discharge.

Another study evaluated the prevalence of hearing impairment in a cohort of 337 VLBW infants who were cared for in the NICU and survived to discharge, as well as 1205 healthy newborns. The OAE hearing screening test failed rate was 7.8% in the healthy control group and 12.4% for the VLBW children. On follow-up ABR testing several weeks after discharge, only 3% of the VLBW patients were found to have hearing loss. The hearing loss was conductive in 2.7% of the VLBW patients (compared with 0.06% of the healthy newborns), and one VLBW patient (0.3% of all VLBW children) had bilateral moderate to severe sensorineural hearing loss. This study found no statistically significant differences in the prevalence of sensorineural hearing loss in the VLBW group (0.3%), the higher weight NICU group (0.99%) and the well-baby nursery group (0.1%). Caveats of this study are the fact that about 30% of its VLBW population was small for gestational age but not premature, and thus this cohort was neurologically more mature than those of prior studies. This may account for better performance on the newborn hearing screening tests. Furthermore, 1.5% of the VLBW cohort was found to have abnormal prolongation of ABR waveform latency despite normal auditory thresholds, suggesting that even though...
Cochlear function may have been normal, there may have been abnormal ascending brainstem pathways.

Lastly, hearing testing was performed in 2,995 infants at birth and at 8–12 months of age. In 535 infants with VLBW alone or with other risk factors, hearing testing at birth showed normal hearing in 92%, transient hearing loss in 7.8%, and permanent hearing loss in 2%. On follow-up audiometry at 8–12 months of age, the percentage of VLBW children with permanent hearing loss was unchanged.

RISK FACTORS FOR HEARING LOSS IN THE GENERAL AND VLBW NEONATAL POPULATIONS

In 1989, Epstein and Reilly\(^3\) investigated the incidence of the known risk factors for hearing loss among all babies born in the USA. They found that 10–12% of all babies had at least one established factor. The rate of sensorineural hearing loss among patients with one or more risk factors was 2–5%, which is at least tenfold greater than in the general population of children. The United States Joint Committee on Infant Hearing listed VLBW as a risk factor for neonatal hearing loss in four position statements from 1973 to 1994. However, VLBW was not specifically listed as a risk factor in the statements in 2000 and 2007 (box 1).\(^3\) Instead, other risk factors commonly found in neonates with VLBW are listed. This reflects the growing understanding that VLBW by itself probably does not cause hearing loss.

A large NIH-sponsored multi-centre study conducted between 1994 and 1996 evaluated the performance of newborns on OAE and ABR hearing screening and also reported the incidence of risk factors for neonatal hearing loss. In a total of 4,478 graduates from NICUs, 353 well babies with one or more of the risk factors for hearing loss established by the Joint Committee on Infant Hearing in 1994 (which included VLBW), Table 1 Incidence of congenital sensorineural hearing loss in the general population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Hearing loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mehl &amp; Thomson,(^12) 2002</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russ et al,(^9) 2002</td>
<td>Victoria, Australia</td>
<td>0.11–0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prieve et al,(^14) 2000</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watkin &amp; Baldwin,(^1) 1999</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wessex Universal Neonatal Hearing Screening Trial Group(^1)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maki-Torkko et al,(^3) 1998</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Naarden et al,(^3) 1999</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Incidence of congenital sensorineural hearing loss in infants with very low birth weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Hearing loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cone-Wesson et al,(^7) 2000</td>
<td>11/535</td>
<td>2 (Diagnostic ABR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ari-Even Roth et al,(^9) 2006</td>
<td>43/337</td>
<td>12.8 (OAE screening)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wessex Universal Neonatal Hearing Screening Trial Group(^1)</td>
<td>6/337</td>
<td>1.8 (Diagnostic ABR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korres et al,(^7) 2005</td>
<td>6/19</td>
<td>31.6 (OAE screening)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagnostic ABR is the gold standard for diagnosing sensorineural hearing loss. OAE screening detects both conductive and sensorineural hearing loss. ABR, auditory brainstem evoked response; OAE, otoacoustic emission.
and 2348 babies with no risk factors were assessed. One risk factor was found in 33.2% of NICU infants, and two or more in 26.2%. Within the NICU population, the most common risk factors were aminoglycoside use (44.4%), VLBW (17.8%), mechanical ventilation for more than 5 days (16.4%), and low Apgar scores (13.9%).

MECHANISMS OF HEARING LOSS AND RESEARCH GAPS

There are many different known causes of neonatal hearing loss (for a review, see Oghalai). Genetic defects are thought to be responsible for about half of the cases and are not specifically discussed in this review. Other causes are thought to be particularly important for hearing loss in infants with VLBW. Aminoglycosides and loop diuretics have long been recognized to have the potential for ototoxicity, and strict dosing guidelines are routinely measured, and the dose is adjusted accordingly to maintain therapeutic concentrations within the safety range. Research has shown that concentrations in the perilymph correlate with serum concentrations in rats and humans. However, although high concentrations of aminoglycosides cause nearly universal vestibulocochlear damage, most patients with sensorineural hearing loss after aminoglycoside administration never had high serum concentrations of the drug. This may represent varying genetic susceptibility to aminoglycosides, and certainly genetic mutations in mitochondrial DNA have been identified in families with a history of hearing loss after administration of low doses of aminoglycosides.

Therefore, monitoring of drug concentrations may give prescribing doctors a false sense of security. Monitoring and early detection of ototoxicity is best performed with serial hearing tests, particularly focused on high-frequency responses. In 1994, a committee made up of members of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association presented guidelines for monitoring hearing in patients treated with ototoxic drugs, including a first hearing test before the onset of the drug therapy, or within 72 h of initiation, for aminoglycosides and weekly follow-up hearing tests. Unfortunately, these tests are not often practical to perform or reliable in critically ill neonates with VLBW.

Typically, a dose of 4 mg/kg gentamicin is likely to give peak drug concentrations within the desired range in neonates. Thus, some authors have stopped routinely checking serum peak drug concentrations, while still checking trough concentrations, resulting in less need for blood sampling. Another relatively recent advancement in the use of aminoglycosides has been once-daily dosing. This regimen is more convenient and less costly. Two recent meta-analysis articles comparing randomised controlled trials of neonates with sepsis treated with gentamicin using “once a day” and “multiple doses a day” regimens found it to be safe and efficacious, although some authors still have concerns about ototoxicity given the higher peak concentrations reached with these regimens. Clearly, there is a need for further research to elucidate the critical factors regarding aminoglycoside ototoxicity in order to develop better monitoring

Box 1 Risk indicators associated with permanent congenital, delayed-onset or progressive hearing loss in childhood (*of greater concern for delayed-onset hearing loss*)

1. Caregiver concern* regarding hearing, speech, language or developmental delay.
2. Family history* of permanent childhood hearing loss.
3. Neonatal intensive care of more than 5 days or any of the following regardless of length of stay: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation,* assisted ventilation, exposure to ototoxic drugs (gentamicin and tobramycin) or loop diuretics (furosemide), and hyperbilirubinaemia that requires exchange transfusion.
4. In utero infections, such as cytomegalovirus,* herpes, rubella, syphilis and toxoplasmosis.
5. Craniofacial anomalies, including those that involve the pinna, ear canal, ear tags, ear pits and temporal bone anomalies.
6. Physical findings, such as a white forelock, that are associated with a syndrome known to include a sensorineural or permanent conductive hearing loss.
7. Syndromes associated with hearing loss or progressive or late-onset hearing loss,* such as neurofibromatosis, osteopetrosis and Usher syndrome; other commonly identified syndromes include Waardenburg, Alport, Pendred, and Jervell and Lange-Nielsen.
8. Neurodegenerative disorders,* such as Hunter syndrome, or sensory motor neuropathies, such as Friedrich ataxia and Charcot–Marie–Tooth syndrome.
9. Culture-positive postnatal infections associated with sensorineural hearing loss,* including confirmed bacterial and viral (especially herpes viruses and varicella) meningitis.
10. Head trauma, especially basal skull/temporal bone fracture,* that requires hospitalisation.
11. Chemotherapy.*

Aminoglycoside antibiotics

Aminoglycosides can be the best or only choice of antibiotic for certain infections. Unfortunately, they also damage both the cochlear and vestibular organs, although they typically affect one more than the other. The two preferentially vestibulotoxic agents are gentamicin (the most widely used) and tobramycin. Aminoglycosides that are more selective to the cochlea are neomycin, kanamycin and amikacin. These agents produce irreversible hearing loss by causing hair cell death (fig 1A, step 2). They block ionic currents through the mechanoelectrical transduction channels in the stereocilia and are taken up into the hair cells through apical endocytosis. They are thought to lead to the formation of free radicals, leading to cell damage via reactive species. The damage to hair cells from aminoglycosides affects high-frequency hearing initially and progresses to involve lower frequencies. Current research efforts are focused on reducing hair cell death from aminoglycoside-induced free radicals by providing free-radical scavengers.

In general, ototoxicity appears to correlate with duration of treatment, raised peak and trough concentrations, concurrent loop diuretics or vancomycin, underlying disease states, and previous exposure to aminoglycosides. Serum peak and trough concentrations are routinely measured, and the dose is adjusted accordingly to maintain therapeutic concentrations within the safety range. Research has shown that concentrations in the perilymph correlate with serum concentrations in rats and humans. However, although high concentrations of aminoglycosides cause nearly universal vestibulocochlear damage, most patients with sensorineural hearing loss after aminoglycoside administration never had high serum concentrations of the drug. This may represent varying genetic susceptibility to aminoglycosides, and certainly genetic mutations in mitochondrial DNA have been identified in families with a history of hearing loss after administration of low doses of aminoglycosides.

Therefore, monitoring of drug concentrations may give prescribing doctors a false sense of security. Monitoring and early detection of ototoxicity is best performed with serial hearing tests, particularly focused on high-frequency responses. In 1994, a committee made up of members of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association presented guidelines for monitoring hearing in patients treated with ototoxic drugs, including a first hearing test before the onset of the drug therapy, or within 72 h of initiation, for aminoglycosides and weekly follow-up hearing tests. Unfortunately, these tests are not often practical to perform or reliable in critically ill neonates with VLBW.

Typically, a dose of 4 mg/kg gentamicin is likely to give peak drug concentrations within the desired range in neonates. Thus, some authors have stopped routinely checking serum peak drug concentrations, while still checking trough concentrations, resulting in less need for blood sampling. Another relatively recent advancement in the use of aminoglycosides has been once-daily dosing. This regimen is more convenient and less costly. Two recent meta-analysis articles comparing randomised controlled trials of neonates with sepsis treated with gentamicin using “once a day” and “multiple doses a day” regimens found it to be safe and efficacious, although some authors still have concerns about ototoxicity given the higher peak concentrations reached with these regimens. Clearly, there is a need for further research to elucidate the critical factors regarding aminoglycoside ototoxicity in order to develop better monitoring.

Table 3 Prevalence of sensorineural hearing loss in children born with very low birth weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Patient age (years)</th>
<th>Hearing loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Davis et al., 2001</td>
<td>2/171</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doyle et al., 1992</td>
<td>4/42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.5†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional central auditory processing disorder in 4/155 (2.6%).
†Additional central auditory processing disorder in 20/42 (47.6%).
strategies that will permit the maximal dosing of the drug, while minimising the associated risks of toxicity.

**Loop diuretics**

Loop diuretics produce hearing loss by inhibiting ion transport within the stria vascula.ris, which reduces the electrochemical gradients that create the endocochlear potential (fig 1A, step 2). This type of hearing loss is reversible and thus is of minimal concern when treating critically ill neonates. More importantly, however, is the fact that loop diuretics potentiate the rate of aminoglycoside-induced permanent hearing loss.\(^5^7\) The mechanism for the aminoglycoside/loop diuretic interaction involves alterations in the blood–labyrinthine barrier, which facilitates aminoglycoside entry into the endolymphatic fluid compartment (reviewed by Ding et al\(^5^9\)). Also, loop diuretics alone may rarely cause permanent hearing loss in infants in the NICU through unknown mechanisms.\(^5^9\) A commonly used guideline for safe administration of furosemide or ethacrynic acid is a maximum of 2 mg/kg/dose every 12 h. However, a recent study for safe administration of furosemide or ethacrynic acid is a maximum of 2 mg/kg/dose every 12 h. However, a recent study showed that half this dose is associated with sensorineural hearing loss in neonates with hypoxaemia.\(^6^0\) Thus, major research gaps exist in our understanding of the pathophysiology of hearing loss secondary to loop diuretics.

**Noise-induced hearing loss**

Exposure to the constant background noise generated by contemporary life-support equipment in the NICU can produce hearing loss.\(^6^1\) The initial sign is outer hair cell damage (fig 1A, step 2). This may occur because the stereocilia of neonates are attached to the tectorial membrane and thus may be more easily over-stimulated. In theory, if all of the rest of the cochlea continues to function normally, this should only produce a partial hearing loss (−60 dB).\(^6^2\) However, many studies point out that noise trauma can also produce damage to the inner hair cells, stria vascularis, spiral ganglion cells and supporting cells. Recent studies suggest that this could be due to free-radical formation.\(^6^2\) As hair cells from the human cochlea lack the ability to regenerate, severe acoustic trauma or prolonged noise exposure may lead to complete sensorineural hearing loss. Similar to aminoglycoside ototoxicity, current research efforts are focusing on reducing free-radical damage using scavenging agents.\(^6^3\) Even more importantly, preventive bioengineering efforts continue to focus on reducing the intensity of the noise produced by machines in the NICU.

**Hyperbilirubinaemia**

Hyperbilirubinaemia can cause selective damage to the brainstem auditory nuclei and may also damage the auditory nerve and spiral ganglion cells\(^6^4\) (fig 1A, step 3). It does this by interfering with neuronal intracellular calcium homeostasis.\(^6^4\) In contrast, the organ of Corti and thalamocortical auditory pathways appear to be unaffected by bilirubin. Clinically, a common form of hearing loss caused by hyperbilirubinaemia is auditory neuropathy. Thus, OAE screening is normal but ABR testing is abnormal. This is one type of sensorineural hearing loss that may occasionally spontaneously resolve, typically by 12 months of age in our experience.

In preterm infants, the relationship between hyperbilirubinaemia and hearing loss is significant and is modulated by other risk factors. De Vries et al\(^6^6\) found that, among preterm infants with high bilirubin concentrations (14 mg/dl or greater), those with birth weight of ≤1500 g carry a higher risk of deafness than their healthy counterparts with birth weight >1500 g. Furthermore, among the high-risk patients, the mean duration of hyperbilirubinaemia was significantly longer in the deaf infants, and they appeared to have a greater number of acidotic episodes while they were hyperbilirubinaemic.

In full-term infants with birth weight >2500 g, the concentration of bilirubin required for ototoxicity to occur remains less clear. One study compared hearing in 99 full-term neonates (>37 weeks’ gestation, birth weight >2500 g) with moderate hyperbilirubinaemia (mean maximum concentration of 18.9 mg/dl), severe hyperbilirubinaemia (mean maximum concentration of 21.7 mg/dl), and super hyperbilirubinaemia (mean maximum concentration of 26.9 mg/dl) in the absence of congenital or metabolic anomalies, asphyxia, sepsis, meningitis, or other brain anomalies.\(^6^7\) This study found no differences in the prevalence of hearing loss at initial or follow-up hearing assessments between the groups with different concentrations.

**CMV**

Although CMV is known to cause white matter changes in the central nervous system, it causes sensorineural hearing loss by affecting the cochlea (fig 1A, step 2). Unfortunately, its pathophysiological mechanisms are poorly understood. The guinea pig is the only known animal model in which CMV can cross the placental barrier and infect the fetus.\(^6^8\) Injection of CMV into 5-week-old pregnant guinea pigs was found to result in severe fetal labyrinthitis.\(^6^8\) Immunohistochemistry detected viral infection of the endothelial cells surrounding the perilymph and of the spiral ganglion cells, but not within the organ of Corti. Loss of the spiral ganglion cells could partially account for the hearing loss in these patients. Clinically, however, the lack of OAEs suggests a cochlear mechanism for the hearing loss in humans. Therefore, the guinea pig model is not necessarily representative of the human condition and this constitutes a major research gap in this area. In terms of using human tissue to study the disease pathophysiology, the most obvious technique is through the study of archival temporal bones. However, there is a paucity of temporal bones from young children deafened because of congenital CMV infection. One case report describes loss of cochlear hair cells and strial atrophy along the entire length of the basilar membrane of the cochlea in an autopsy specimen of a 14-year-old girl with a history of congenital CMV infection.\(^6^9\)

**Hypoxia**

Hypoxia has a strong association with hearing loss. Adequate oxygenation and perfusion are essential for normal cochlear function.\(^7^0\) In newborn infants with hypoxia or asphyxia, the spiral ganglion cells appear to be affected first\(^7^2\) (fig 1A, step 3). More severe hypoxia may cause irreversible cellular damage to the cochlea, particularly to the outer hair cells and stria vascularis (fig 1A, step 2). However, there is no clear threshold level of hypoxia defining the point at which hearing is at risk. Also, there is a definite variability in the susceptibility of patients to develop hearing loss after hypoxia. The reasons for this are unclear. In addition to the effects of hypoxia, infants with respiratory failure are often treated with hyperventilation and/or alkalinisation, which may further decrease the oxygenation and perfusion of the cochlea and auditory pathway, leading to hearing loss.\(^7^3\) Although the prevalence of sensorineural hearing loss in NICU graduates is 1–3%,\(^7^4\) one study found that >50% of survivors of severe neonatal respiratory failure had sensorineural hearing loss at 4 years of age.\(^7^5\) Many of these patients did not begin to develop hearing loss until 2–4 years of age.
The pathophysiology behind the delayed nature of the hearing loss is unclear.

In addition, the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation has been found to increase the prevalence of sensorineural hearing loss among NICU survivors. In these patients, the prevalence of sensorineural hearing loss is in the range 3–26%. Figor et al? found that, among children who had received extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, ~70% with hearing loss had progressive worsening. Also, 35% of the children developed hearing loss in a delayed fashion, supporting the need for close monitoring of hearing throughout childhood in these patients.

**LONG-TERM EFFECTS OF HEARING LOSS IN CHILDREN WITH VLBW**

The long-term consequences of neonatal and infant hearing loss are now well recognised. It has become evident that hearing impairment early in life affects communication, cognition, behaviour, social and emotional development, academic outcomes and later vocational opportunities. Also, recent studies show that hearing loss can develop in a delayed fashion, and failure to diagnose this condition places children at an academic disadvantage. Fortnum et al? reported that the prevalence of permanent childhood hearing impairment continues to rise until the age of 9 years and may be as high as 205 per 100 000 for the general population. The relationship between VLBW and progressive or delayed-onset sensorineural hearing loss remains poorly understood. To date, few data correlating birth weight with progressive hearing loss are available (table 3).

One study found no significant differences in the rates of hearing impairment between children with VLBW and those with normal birth weight at 14 years of age. However, this study also measured central auditory processing and reported that children with VLBW had decreased ability to recall auditory information when their memory was overloaded with long sentences (2.6%). This was associated with poorer IQ, reading and spelling scores, as well as behavioural difficulties such as antisocial behaviour and feelings of social rejection. Another study has reported that the prevalence of central auditory processing disorder was even higher in VLBW children when they were only 8 years old (47.6%). Thus, the real impact of VLBW on the peripheral and central aspects of hearing by the time of early adulthood is not known at this time. The 2007 Joint Committee on Infant Hearing position statement clearly states that “Infants who pass the neonatal screening but have a risk factor should have at least one diagnostic audiologic assessment by 24 to 30 months of age”. However, these studies suggest that central auditory processing disorders may be present. Unfortunately, these problems would be missed by routine audiometric examination, which typically does not assess central auditory processing.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The prevalence of failed hearing screening in neonates with VLBW is significantly higher than in neonates with normal birth weight because they experience higher rates of transient middle ear fluid accumulation and conductive hearing loss. This temporary hearing loss usually resolves within weeks of discharge from the hospital. The extent to which VLBW alone increases the prevalence of sensorineural hearing impairment in the early neonatal period remains unclear. However, these patients are commonly exposed to other risk factors for hearing loss such as ototoxic drugs, hypoxia and hyperbilirubinemia, which may lead to early or delayed-onset sensorineural hearing loss as well as progression of a mild pre-existing sensorineural hearing loss years after hospital discharge. Furthermore, the presence of hearing loss in the early years of life can have additional negative effects on central auditory processing and intellectual functioning. Thus, long-term careful monitoring for hearing loss and the appropriate audiological management of hearing loss in children with VLBW as well as those with risk factors for hearing loss is essential.
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