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Medication errors are a common occurrence in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Although this high risk, fragile patient population is prone to a wide array of errors, medication errors are particularly common. Medication errors were the most common error type submitted to the Vermont Oxford Network’s NICQ.org voluntary reporting system.1 Kaushal and colleagues2 identified errors in 5.5% of NICU medication orders. Of note, potential adverse drug events (errors that had the potential to harm the patient but were intercepted, or potentially harmful errors that reached the patient but fortuitously did not result in injury) occurred eight times more often in NICU patients than in adults in hospital. Neonates, especially very low birthweight babies, are particularly vulnerable to adverse sequelae of medication errors as they have a limited ability to “buffer” such mistakes.

Nursing practice has long recognised the need for extreme vigilance and a structured approach to preventing medication errors. The five “Rights” provide a framework for improving medication safety in nursing. These basic principles of standard operating procedure try to address all of the steps in the medication process: ordering, dispensing, administering, and monitoring drugs. Nurses attempt to ensure that the Right drug is given in the Right dose at the Right interval via the Right route to the Right patient.

Although nurses focus on providing error-free care, research into human factors teaches us that dedication, training, and vigilance are not enough to prevent errors in complex systems.3 Error prevention must be a multidisciplinary process, involving doctors, pharmacists, and nurses working as a team. The team must be backed up by robust healthcare delivery systems operating in a “culture of safety”, providing staff with a working environment that provides safeguards against human fallibility. Nowhere in the hospital is the challenge greater than in the NICU.

The repertoire of drugs prescribed in the NICU is relatively limited compared with adult and older paediatric populations, but the process of ordering, dispensing, and administering them is more complex in newborns. The process for ordering drugs in the NICU is uniquely complex: more than three quarters of medication errors occurred during this stage.2 As doses are calculated according to the infant’s weight, virtually all prescriptions require patient specific calculations and may need to be updated as the infant gains or loses weight. Weight and gestational age are not the only factors that need to be considered. For premature infants, doses must also be modified on the basis of the developmental maturity of specific metabolic and excretory pathways.

Drugs prescribed in the NICU are often used in an off label or unlicensed fashion.3 As a result, no comprehensive and authoritative standards for doses exist. Therefore clinicians are often confronted by a dizzying array of published reference standards for a single drug. Recommendations are surprisingly variable even for drugs that have been studied in neonates and approved for use by the Food and Drug Administration. For example, widely used references in the United States suggest total daily ampicillin doses that vary by a factor of 3–4 for the same 1 kg patient.9,10 Certainly, for a drug with a wide therapeutic index, this difference may not be clinically significant. However, the lack of a single dosing standard within a hospital can complicate the development of error reduction strategies in which doctors, nurses, and pharmacists verify doses.

NICU drug dispensing is also complex. Pharmacists often have to dilute stock solutions in order to provide doses that are extremely minute compared with adult standards.3 In this issue of the journal, Chappell and Newman10 document the potential for 10–100-fold dosing errors associated with the use of stock drug concentrations intended primarily for use in adults. Of particular concern is the fact that three of 10 drugs at risk of 10-fold dosing errors and all four at risk of 100-fold errors are high alert drugs as defined by the Institute for Safe Medication Practice.11 Even more alarming is the fact that these decimal point errors represent only a portion of the calculation errors that can complicate the ordering and preparation process.

Errors in the route of administration of drugs and enteral nutrition are also common, complicated 13.3% of potentially harmful medication errors seen in two NICUs in the United States.12 In another report, Suresh and colleagues1 noted potentially very serious administration errors, such as infants fed expressed breast milk intravenously. Unlike adult care units, enteral feeding tubes and intravenous lines are often of the same calibre and appearance and have hubs of similar size. This type of error could be prevented by adopting administration systems with “forcing functions” that prevent feeding pumps and syringes from being attached to intravenous lines. Regrettably, these systems are not in widespread use in NICUs, in part because of incompatibilities with existing equipment and workflow processes.

Finally, patient misidentification occurs commonly in the NICU. One quarter of the serious medication errors reported in this issue by Simpson et al13 involved patient misidentification. Similarly, Suresh et al1 found that 11% of NICU errors involved misidentification. The increasing incidence of multiple gestations with premature births is in part responsible for these errors, but suboptimal systems for identifying babies contribute to the problem. Analyses by the Center for Patient Safety in NICU care suggest that as many as one half of infants in the NICU are at risk of misidentification on any given day (unpublished work).

The patient safety movement has highlighted numerous approaches to preventing medication errors, but which interventions have the potential to have the greatest impact? Fortescue and colleagues12 have identified three interventions with the largest potential to decrease NICU medication errors: ward based clinical pharmacists, computerised physician order entry (CPOE), and improved communication among NICU clinicians.

The involvement of clinical pharmacists in intensive care unit rounds significantly reduces dosing and other types of error in adult care.14 In this issue, Simpson et al13 conclude that similar improvements can be achieved through the input of an NICU based clinical pharmacist. Although their data are encouraging, confidence in their
conclusion must be tempered by several methodological concerns. Multiple interventions were applied during the study, and the exact timing and interaction of these interventions are unclear. Some discussion of the background and expertise of the pharmacists participating in the intervention would have been valuable as neonatal expertise and experience are almost certainly important. Unfortunately, the authors expressed the major outcome measure as the absolute number of medication errors, rather than error rates per number of patient days or per number of orders written. We hope that these important denominators remained relatively stable during the study period. In addition, it is unclear to what extent the ascertainment methods used, which relied on voluntary reporting by clinicians, were accurate and unbiased. Voluntary reporting, although valuable on many levels, cannot be relied on to provide accurate incidence data. Finally, the authors provide no statistical measures of differences between the periods before and after intervention.

Implementation of CPOE in the NICU presents special challenges. Systems designed for use in older patients may not adequately address the unique aspects of NICU medication ordering. Unfortunately, development of systems appropriate for use in paediatric and neonatal patients has lagged. Industry must be challenged to provide software applications that are appropriate for NICUs. CPOE almost certainly will have to be integrated with other hospital clinical information systems to have maximum impact on error prevention. Adequate, built in decision support, using population specific knowledge bases, is essential for detecting medication interactions, out of range doses, and other prescribing problems. The LeapFrog Group,\textsuperscript{11} a consortium of Fortune 500 companies, has urged hospitals in the United States to adopt CPOE. Given Leapfrog's leverage and influence, recognition of the unique needs of NICUs would be welcome.

Neonatal nutrition

Taurine in neonatal nutrition — revisited

W C Heird

Recommendations for no minimal taurine content of infant formulas should be reconsidered.

Taurine (2-aminothethesulphonic acid) was isolated from ox (Bos taurus) bile in 1827\textsuperscript{1} but, until the mid to late 1970s, it was thought to be merely a byproduct of sulphur amino acid metabolism. In 1973, it was noted that taurine deficiency in cats was associated with retinal degeneration, which was reversed by taurine supplementation.\textsuperscript{2} This observation coupled with the high concentration of taurine in the developing brain\textsuperscript{3} and mature retina\textsuperscript{4} raised suspicion that taurine may play an important role in brain development. This was supported by observations that brain taurine concentration of several species decreased during the weaning period\textsuperscript{5} and that taurine was the primary free amino acid in the milk of most mammals, including humans.\textsuperscript{6} Moreover, labelled taurine injected intraperitoneally into lactating rats was found in the milk

\textsuperscript{10}Chappell K, Newman C. Potential tenfold drug overdoses on a neonatal unit. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonat Ed 2004;89.
of the dam as well as the brain of the suckling pups, suggesting that adequate intake of taurine was important for maintaining brain taurine content. Shortly after the observation that taurine deficiency in cats resulted in retinal degeneration, evidence that taurine may be a conditionally essential nutrient for the human infant began appearing. The first such evidence came from a study in Scandinavia showing that plasma and urinary taurine concentrations of formula fed infants were lower than those of infants fed human milk, whereas the plasma and urinary concentrations of all other amino acids were higher in formula fed infants.8,9 This was attributed to the presence of taurine in human milk but not formulas. Subsequently, it was shown that prolonged taurine-free parenteral nutrition resulted in severe and these seem to be well tolerated during initial hospital admission but similar scores in infants assigned to a nutrient enriched compared with a term formula.8,9,10

Although the possibility that the paradoxical neurodevelopmental outcomes were related to taurine intake during infancy was suggested in reviews by Sturman and Chesney in 199512 and Chesney et al in 1998,13 Wharton and et al provided the first indication that this explanation may be valid. They show that the Bayley mental developmental index at 18 months of age and the WISC-R arithmetic subtest score at 7 years of age are correlated with plasma taurine concentrations during infancy. They also report that the positive association of neurodevelopment with own mother’s milk14 was not significant after plasma taurine concentration had been allowed for. These findings are attributed to the presence of taurine in the preterm formula and human milk but not in the term formula.

As the authors emphasise, these findings are far from robust. Firstly, they are not derived from a randomised, controlled trial but, rather, from a retrospective analysis of existing data. Second, the strength of the reported relations is modest (r = 0.28 and 0.22). Nonetheless, they support the hypothesis that low neonatal taurine status adversely affects later neurodevelopment of preterm infants and that the neurodevelopmental advantage of human milk may be related to its taurine content. Thus the new data provide further support for the view that taurine is a conditionally essential nutrient for the preterm infant. They also provide an additional example of apparent long term effects of short term early differences in nutrient intake.

The findings of Wharton et al also present a quandary. Randomised, controlled trials of taurine supplementation for both preterm and term infants should clearly be the next step, but would either trial now be ethical? Like so many other issues in neonatal nutrition and, indeed, all of clinical medicine, it is unlikely that the role of taurine in infant nutrition will ever be evaluated in a randomised controlled trial.
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