Theophylline and gastric emptying in very low birthweight neonates: a randomised controlled trial
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Background: Theophylline treatment causes side effects such as tachycardia, hyperglycaemia, abdominal distension, and vomiting. The latter two are probably the result of delayed gastric evacuation.

Objective: To study the effect of theophylline on gastric emptying time in preterm infants.

Patients: The subjects were 18 premature neonates with a mean (SD) birth weight of 1302 (240) g and a mean (SD) gestational age of 28.7 (1.9) weeks.

Main outcome measures: In each case, gastric emptying was measured on two occasions: once when the newborns were being treated with theophylline and once when they were not. Half of the cases were randomised to receive theophylline before the initial measurement. The opposite was applied for the rest. Gastric emptying was assessed ultrasonically by measuring the change in antral cross sectional area (ACSA) at regular intervals over 120 minutes.

Results: The mean (SD) ACSA half time in the newborns receiving theophylline was 52 (19) minutes compared with 37 (16) minutes in those not receiving theophylline. This difference is significant (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: Treatment with theophylline seems to delay gastric emptying in very low birthweight neonates, and this must be taken into consideration when this drug is used to treat apnoea of prematurity.

In the neonatal intensive care unit, theophylline continues to be helpful in treating apnoea of prematurity and is also often used for its prevention. In addition, it is useful for achieving earlier extubation in preterm infants and treating babies with bronchopulmonary dysplasia.

Theophylline treatment can cause the following adverse side effects in newborns, adults, and experimental animals: tachycardia, hyperglycaemia, gastric complications with regurgitation and vomiting, seizures, increases in the basal metabolic rate, and calcium excretion. Aminophylline is also a mild gastric irritant which affects gastric acid secretion when administered orally or intraperitoneally.

The effects of theophylline on the gut system in general and on the stomach in particular were found in children to be due to reduced basal lower oesophageal sphincter pressure. However, there is no evidence that this is the specific cause of the general effect of theophylline on the gut of newborns.

The aim of our study was to investigate whether theophylline treatment has any effect on the gastric emptying time of preterm newborns.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The subjects were 18 premature neonates (12 boys and six girls) with a mean (SD) birth weight of 1302 (240) g and a mean (SD) gestational age of 28.7 (1.9) weeks. None were small for gestational age. Corticosteroids had been administered once or twice antenatally in 13 cases. The median Apgar score for the subjects was 7 (range 3–8) in the first minute and 8 (range 6–9) in the fifth minute. Ten developed hyaline membrane disease, and two had transient tachypnoea. Four of them developed bronchopulmonary dysplasia (O2 supplementation > 28 days of life).

Theophylline was used for treatment rather than for prevention, according to our protocol. We used theophylline after the first apnoea attack, regardless of the severity of the episode, with a loading intravenous dose of 5 mg/kg and thereafter an intravenous dose of 1.5 mg/kg/8 h for two to three weeks depending on the baby’s maturity. These newborns did not require any other treatment apart from theophylline. Gastric emptying was measured in every newborn on two occasions, once during theophylline treatment and once during a period of no theophylline treatment. Half of the cases were randomised to receive theophylline before the initial measurement. Randomisation was carried out by using sealed envelopes. The opposite was applied for the rest. The second measurement in every newborn was performed two to three days after the first. Gastric emptying was first measured at 18 (7) days and then at 21 (8) days (mean (SD)).

Several methods have been proposed for the measurement of gastric emptying. Newells and colleagues devised an ultrasound method, which is simple, with minimal handling of the newborn, and is performed near the cot without causing any discomfort. The only drawback is that it is time consuming. The transducer takes regular measurements of the antral cross sectional area (ACSA) for a period of up to 120 minutes, depending on the gastric emptying time. The measurements are made with the newborn in a right lateral position; transverse sections of the pyloric antrum are taken, with the vertebral column and the origin of the superior mesenteric artery used as guiding points.

In our study, the first measurement was taken before a milk feed. After feeding, measurements were taken every 10 minutes for the first 30 minutes and thereafter every 30 minutes, a total of seven measurements (in about two hours). The newborns were stable for the duration of the measurements.

On both occasions the newborn was given the same quantity of a preterm formula containing 81 kcal/100 ml milk, which corresponded to at least two thirds of the total daily fluid requirement (> 120 ml/kg/day; median 15 ml/kg/2 h, range 11–17). Throughout the study, infants were observed for gastric residue, regurgitation, vomiting, and abdominal dissention. Gastric aspirates were measured every four hours before the feed. A gastric aspirate of more than 30% of the previous four hour feed volume was regarded as significantly large. Abdominal girth was also measured, before feeds, at 12 hour intervals. An increase in abdominal
The girth of more than 1.5 cm within one 12 hour period was considered abnormal. Any episodes of vomiting/regurgitation and bile stain aspirates during the study were recorded. The doctor who performed the ultrasound was not aware of whether the newborn was receiving theophylline when the measurements were taken.

Approval was given for the study by the ethics committee of our hospital, and informed parental consent was also obtained.

As each newborn served as his/her own control, necessitating two groups of measurements, Student’s paired t-test was chosen for statistical analysis.

RESULTS
The half time for gastric emptying (measured as the change in ACSA) was longer in 13 of the 18 subjects during theophylline treatment; in the remaining five, it was longer when they were not receiving theophylline (fig 1). The mean (SD) half times in the neonates receiving theophylline was 52 (19) minutes v 37 (16) minutes in those not receiving theophylline. This difference is significant (p < 0.05).

Serum theophylline concentrations in newborns treated with theophylline varied between 6 and 11 µg/ml and therefore fell within normal therapeutic concentrations. There was no correlation between theophylline concentration and gastric emptying time. Theophylline concentrations during gastric emptying measurements in those newborns that were not receiving theophylline at the time were less than 2 µg/ml. Vomiting and other gut complications were no more prevalent when the subjects were receiving theophylline than when they were not.

DISCUSSION
Recently there have been several meta-analysis studies on the use of theophylline in newborns. They show that treatment for apnoea of prematurity is only effective in the first seven days. Moreover, results on the use of theophylline for prevention of apnoeas are not convincing. However, theophylline does help in weaning preterm infants off the ventilator, especially in those with birth weight < 1000 g and age < 7 days. The side effects of theophylline (seizures, gut complications, hyperglycaemia, tachycardia, decreased cerebral blood flow) often appear at blood concentrations above 20 µg/ml and are rare at concentrations below 13 µg/ml. Patients have occasionally exhibited gastrointestinal side effects, including vomiting, at theophylline concentrations of less than 10 µg/ml. Concern has also been expressed about theophylline treatment in premature infants at risk of necrotising enterocolitis.

Another important effect of theophylline treatment is the slowing of gastrointestinal motility, which has been clearly shown in guinea pig taenia coli. Our results tend to confirm this animal trial, in that there was prolonged gastric emptying in most of the premature infants receiving theophylline. The mean half time was significantly longer, and 13 of the 18 subjects showed delayed gastric emptying time during theophylline treatment, despite the fact that all had normal theophylline concentrations. None had symptoms such as vomiting or gagging any more often when they were receiving theophylline than when they were not; this applied even to the newborn with the most delayed gastric emptying time.

All our subjects received theophylline intravenously because of the increased gastrointestinal side effects of per os administration. From our results it would therefore be fair to conclude that intravenous theophylline at therapeutic blood concentrations often affects gastric motility, as it does in experimental animals, but this is not always followed by obvious clinical symptoms. The delayed gastric emptying may be related to the effect of the drug on cAMP, calcium influx, or potassium induced membrane depolarization.

In view of our results, account should be taken of the gastric emptying delay that often occurs with theophylline use. It is therefore advisable to use this drug with caution if there are signs of enteral feeding intolerance in premature neonates, and also in conditions such as gastro-oesophageal reflux, which are known to cause delayed gastric emptying.
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