A manual of neonatal intensive care, 4th edition


As an SHO, I bought the first edition of the Manual in 1982. It was a survival guide which provided safe certainties in the small hours of the night. It was small, light, and compact. There was no competition: the Robertson Manual was the book to have! Nearly 20 years on, where has the 4th edition taken us? Bigger, certainly: a behemoth of a “small” manual with 550 pages. Not much taller or wider than its predecessors, but much thicker, the rather thin and closely typeset pages distinctly reminiscent of a Bible. Thirty four chapters and eight appendices. There’s an awful lot of information in here.

Road testing a book like this is quite a challenge. Clearly one should not ask it to perform in a manner for which it was not designed, and the authors helpfully explain in the preface that their aim is “to provide a guide for the management of the acute medical and surgical problems a resident is likely to encounter on a modern neonatal intensive care unit.” So I went for chapter 1, expecting it to plunge in where every resident is most nervous: resuscitation of the newborn.

Instead, I got “Organization of neonatal care”. Admittedly it is only six pages, but does a resident really need this in a practical manual? Especially since the big Robertson textbook is likely to be on hand in most neonatal units to provide this and much more detail on this subject. In the Manual, you have to wait until chapter 6 to get “Resuscitation”, “Temperature control”, “Fluid & electrolytes”, “Enteral nutrition and parenteral nutrition”, all packed with science and phthisiology. How much physiology do you want or need in a practical manual? Not much, I think.

So I tried again with the oxygenation index (OI). There must be many units where the OI is used as a pragmatic threshold for changing nitric oxide or high frequency oscillation, and of course for referring for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). The resident will want to find the page with the formula for calculating OI, and how to deal with mm Hg versus kPa for the oxygen tension. To the index then—but no entry for oxygenation index. To the glossary of abbreviations at the front: there, sure enough, is OI. But where is it in the text? I could not find it under PPHN, or RDS, or ventilation. Eventually, by close reading, I found it mentioned under Meconium aspiration, and also under ECMO, but nowhere could I find the formula for calculating OI. From this time, the luckless resident will have been called away to the next problem, and if the formula is indeed there, he/she will have lost interest in finding it.

Residents are increasingly likely to be faced with ventilators that read out the tidal volume, minute volume, and duty cycle—pressure-volume curves. They want to know how to use this information. They want to know what to do when babies on trigger ventilation drop their Pco2 to embarrassingly low levels. They want the formula for calculating the fractional concentration of oxygen, and the amount of sodium. They need to know that separate chest and abdomen radiographs give much better radiological information than “babygram” pictures. Sadly, they will be disappointed if they try to find such information in this book.

The 4th edition of the Manual seems to have lost the values of its roots. It feels like a pared down version of the big Robertson book, repackaged between smaller covers. It contains a level of detail that is unnecessary given the alternative sources of the material. It can be hard to find in a hurry the things you need, and some of the things you want are not there at all—or at any rate, I couldn’t find the information I wanted. And the index is terrible. On the other hand, if you want a comprehensive introduction to the subject of neonatal intensive care medicine for under £20, look no further. This is your book.

M P Ward Platt
Neonatal Service, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Queen Victoria Road, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 4LP, UK; m.p.ward-platt@ncl.ac.uk

Neonatology & laboratory medicine


Neonatology & laboratory medicine is a novel concept and a valuable addition to our literature. The book brings together a clinical biochemist, a neonatologist, and a medical microbiologist as authors in a successful attempt to describe appropriate laboratory investigation and clinical management of the neonate. This paperback aims to provide junior doctors, laboratory scientists, and neonatal nurses with background information that will help solve common neonatal problems. The chapters deal systematically with common biochemical and infective problems that may befall neonates. There are also sections on breastfeeding, parenteral nutrition, and therapeutics. Best of all it finishes with appendices including normal reference ranges and a useful glossary.

The expenditure of £30 rewards the reader with more than 300 pages which are clear and well arranged. Tables and flow diagrams are easy to dip into. More senior readers may be frustrated that the book is not referenced, but recommended reading is provided at the end of each chapter.

Three small criticisms and suggestions for the next edition:

- The chapter entitled “Drugs and the neonate” is too short. The figure referring to biochemical and haematological monitoring cites only 11 drugs, ignoring commonly used drugs such as vecuronium, insulin, surfactant, salbutamol, 5-fluorouracil, and steroids. Even those lucky 11 have curious omissions—for example, the oliguria and fluid retention associated with indomethacin.
- Secondly the book recurrently ignores the unusual demands of the extreme preterm infant—for example, dilutional exchange for polycythaemia is said to be carried out in 10 ml aliquots, and does not recommend smaller volumes of 500 g whose total blood volume may be little more than 40 ml.
- Thirdly the section on viral disease and transmission should be more detailed. “Low risk” is not quantitated, and CMV is described variously as “largely inactivated by freezing” and (one page later) “does not survive freezing”—an inconsistency that leaves the reader feeling insecure about such an important safety issue.

Nevertheless this is a volume that is informative and attractive, from the cartoon of a neonate’s head (front cover) to the photograph of the three distinguished and pathologically cheerful authors at the end. For all professional staff there are 300 pages of clear descriptions containing information that will prove useful in organising investigations in the neonatal unit. There are also modern data which can be used to defend the embalmed SHO against the moans of the consultant ward round. Every neonatal unit should purchase a copy. I predict that these valuable pages will be well thumbed within a month. I look forward to a further edition, and hope that it will extend its scope to include other laboratory disciplines such as genetics and electrophysiology. The three authors deserve success with this winner.

I A Laing
Simpson Centre for Reproductive Health, 51 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4SU, Scotland, UK; ian.laing@hft.scot.nhs.uk

Fetal and neonatal brain injury: mechanisms, management and the risks of practice, 3rd edition

Edited by D K Stevenson, W E Benitz, P Sunshine. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, £140.00, pp 926. ISBN 0521806917

Brain injury remains a common theme in a large proportion of survivors of extreme prematurity and/or neonatal encephalopathy. The headline rates of significant disability have been largely unchanged despite the enormous advances in neonatal intensive care of the post-surfactant era, and more subtle educational difficulties are later declared in many others. It is essential that clinicians continue to strive for a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of brain injury to not only guide conventional management, but also look ahead to the future strategies in which neuroscience advances may translate into plausible clinical strategies—for example, promoting the regrowth of damaged cortical neuronal axons from intact cortical neurones across an area of periventricular leukomalacia.

The strength of a textbook such as this is to give an in depth overview of many aspects of brain injury. This is accomplished well by a distinguished list of mostly United States based contributors, who consider the many aspects of neonatal brain injury in terms of aetiology, epidemiology, diagnosis, management, and
long term outcome. A section on medico-legal issues makes interesting reading, although it is not directly applicable to the British judicial system. Surprisingly little—greater use of illustrations is expected well illustrated. However, it leaves account of an area of vital importance to the neuroprotective effect of brain cooling.

Weaknesses are few. The section on imaging of brain injury is thorough, and as expected well illustrated. However, it leaves the reader wishing for more information on the prognostic value of MRI in particular. Other sections would have been enhanced by greater use of illustrations—for example, I was disappointed that a section on congenital malformations fails to include a single illustrative image.

In summary, this is a comprehensive account of an area of vital importance to obstetricians, neonatologists, and paediatric neurologists. It should prove to be a useful reference for specialists in these fields.

M Smith
Newcastle General Hospital, Queen Victoria Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4LP, UK; martijn.smith@ncl.ac.uk

LETTERS

Thickening milk feeds may cause necrotising enterocolitis

Extremely low birthweight infants have the highest risk of developing necrotising enterocolitis (NEC). We report on two infants who developed fatal NEC while established on enteral feeds. A common antecedent was cow milk. An 820 g boy and a 752 g girl, both of 25 weeks gestation, were fully established on enteral feeds with expressed breast milk by day 12 and 18 respectively. Non-specific symptoms were attributed to gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR), which was empirically treated. Small vesicles first appeared on the face, hands, and legs of a Chinese full term baby boy on day 3 of life, which evolved into bullae over the next 6 days. He presented with stridor on day 10 and went to NEC as a result of bowel obstruction with subsequent bacterial overgrowth and following direct mucosal injury. We attempted to feed thickener but without success. Bacterial overgrowth is plausible because feed thickeners have been shown to significantly increase microbial population and enzyme activities in the weanling rat cecum. Enterocolitis has previously been reported in an infant and had a similar course to that described in this case. Thickening feeds with carob bean gum is of unproven value in GOR. We feel that in preterm infants the feed thickener may not be free from serious adverse effects and should not become widely adopted without a formal randomised trial.

P Clarke, M J Robinson
Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Hope Hospital, Salford, UK; M6 8HD; paul.clarke@srht.nhs.uk
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Linear IgA bullous dermatosis in a neonate

We encountered a neonatal case of linear IgA bullous dermatosis. Only one other case of the disease diagnosed in the neonatal period has been reported, so we felt it was important to describe this case. Small vesicles first appeared on the face, hands, and legs of a Chinese full term baby boy on day 3 of life, which evolved into bullae on day 13. New bullae continued to erupt until day 18. By day 25, all the skin lesions had crusted, and skin healing was complete without scar formation. Besides skin erosion, the most overwhelming feature of the course was mucosal involvement. The infant presented with stridor on day 10 and went into respiratory failure requiring intubation. On day 30, bronchoscopy revealed a swollen larynx and a vesicle on the left atri-epiglottic fold. He was extubated on day 58 in the middle of a three week course of prednisolone. After extubation, stridor gradually subsided in a couple of weeks.

The diagnosis of linear IgA bullous dermatosis was made by skin biopsy on a bulla. Histological sections showed splitting of the skin at the dermo-epidermal junction with predominant polymorph infiltrate. Immuno-fluorescence showed a linear deposit of IgA at the dermo-epidermal junction. Staining for IgG and C3 was also positive.

Linear IgA bullous dermatosis commonly occurs in childhood with onset from 6 months to 10 years. It classically runs a relapsing course with complete remission attained after puberty. The overall incidence of involvement of mucous membranes of the oral cavity, eyes, and external genitalia is 57%, 40%, and 72% respectively. However, the mucosal involvement is not life threatening.

The other neonatal case of linear IgA bullous disease reported in the literature also showed serious mucosal involvement. It manifested as respiratory failure requiring treatment by extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, oesophageal dysmotility with choking during feeding, and blindness as a result of conjunctival scarring. In both these neonatal cases, complete remission was attained after the unsettled neonatal period. Hence, linear IgA bullous disease with onset in the neonatal period contrasts sharply with the classical presentation of the childhood disease in having serious mucosal involvement and a non-relapsing course.

We hope that our report serves as a reference for neonatologists and dermatologists who may encounter similar cases in the future.

S Y R Lee, C Y Leung, C W Leung, C B Chow, K M Leung, Q U Lee
Princess Margaret Hospital, Princess Margaret Hospital Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong; leesy@netvigator.com
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Vertical transmission of Citrobacter freundii

An infant developed early respiratory distress after delivery at 34 weeks gestation after prolonged rupture of membranes. Citrobacter freundii was cultured from a maternal midstream urine sample at delivery. C freundii, resistant to ampicillin but sensitive to gentamicin, cephalosporin, and ciprofloxacin, was isolated from neonatal blood cultures taken on admission. Gram negative rods were seen on microscopy of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), with no white cells and 730 red cells per high power field. CSF protein was 1.26 g/l and glucose 3.0 mmol/l, with blood glucose of 4.9 mmol/l. No organisms grew on CSF culture. Ampicillin and gentamicin were discontinued, and ciprofloxacin and cefotaxime started for a three week course. Serial cranial ultrasound and computed tomography scans showed no evidence of intracranial abscess or ventriculitis. At 1 year of age the infant is neurodevelopmentally normal.

Neonatal infection with Citrobacter species is usually acquired in a nosocomial fashion, and causes septicemia, meningitis, and brain abscesses associated with a high morbidity and mortality. Eleven cases of vertically acquired Citrobacter koseri infection have been reported. However, the only previous report of vertical transmission of C freundii describes a 32 week infant with BCG infection whose organism was identified from maternal high vaginal swab and infant gastric aspirate, but not from blood cultures. Neonatal septicemia with meningitis, as in our patient, has not been previously described. C freundii differs from other organisms causing neonatal meningitis by being able to
Recruitment failure in early neonatal research

Rates of neurodevelopmental handicap are high among extremely low birthweight survivors, and the first 48 postnatal hours probably give the greatest opportunity for preventing damage. However, at this time, families are in turmoil and may have difficulty in coming to terms with a small baby and we would like to share this experience, and its implications, with the research community.

We needed parental consent for the study, which had local research ethics committee approval. Babies had to be < 1500 g birth weight, > 25 weeks gestation, < 48 hours old, ventilated, with an arterial line, and no prior intervention for circulatory compromise. The last two requirements meant that, in reality, babies had to be recruited within the first 12 hours. A non-invasive measure of peripheral oxygen consumption was to be made regularly over 24 hours. We aimed to recruit 50 babies over two years.

When an eligible baby was admitted, the parent(s) were given further information before consent was sought a minimum of four hours later. Parental consent for the study, which had local research ethics committee approval, was considered in the treatment of this condition.

With additional local research ethics committee permission, we tried to recruit women at high risk of delivering before term from 25 weeks gestation. The consent process was more complex in this group, as the explanation had to include information about standard neonatal care and procedures. Parents in this group were given 24 hours to come to a decision.

Figure 1 shows that, of 28 eligible babies, only five were recruited. Eight out of nine mothers approached antenatally gave consent, but only two of their babies were studied, as three did not meet the entry criteria and the other three were born elsewhere.

What went wrong? Since the Griffiths report, the emphasis has been on obtaining fully informed parental consent, and the research team has to ensure that the parents thoroughly understand the research and its implications. Research where parents signed consent forms, but later claimed that they did not understand the research, was heavily criticised. Consequently researchers are reluctant to approach parents who are in any way distressed, because of the difficulty in ensuring valid consent. If it is important for early neonatal research to continue, we urgently need agreement on a sensitive, humane, and realistic framework that is acceptable to both parents and clinical researchers alike.

S Nicklin, S A Spencer
Neonatal Unit, University Hospital North Staffordshire (NHS) Trust, Newcastle Road, Stoke on Trent ST4 2SG, UK; andy.spencer@uhns.nhs.uk
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Gestational age in the literature

In neonatology, the correct gestational age (GA) is extremely important, as the viability and survival of the premature baby depend on it. A difference of a few hours or a day can have a substantial impact on the survival and long term morbidity of premature babies.

Doctors are trained to report the GA of a premature baby in exact days—for example, 26+4 (GA = 26 completed weeks and 4 days). Reporting the GA in this format helps in understanding and assessing the postnatal and maturational age of premature babies. One would therefore expect GA to be reported exactly in the literature, especially in articles, studies, and trials dealing with survival and morbidity of premature babies. In fact, descriptions of GA are extremely ambiguous in most articles. An example of this ambiguity is survival at 26 weeks GA is possible, but not with certainty.
interpretation. It could mean that 108 of 122 healthy asymptomatic babies drawn from the results of the study, treating with antibiotics. This inference is lacking that information but also supports the problem is to decide on the treatment. 

Fever in the neonatal period
This is in reference to the recent article by Maayan-Metzger et al.1 The clinical implication of the study is questionable. It is difficult to make a prospective decision on retrospective data. What should a clinician do if a 26th day old baby has a fever of 37.9°C? There is no problem in labelling the infant as having non-specific fever of 37.9°C? There is no problem in labelling the infant as having non-specific fever. I do not think that there is much controversy about investigating a febrile neonate. With present knowledge, any febrile neonate with fever, irrespective of symptoms, should be investigated appropriately with full blood count and blood and urine cultures. It is the treatment that is the root of the controversy and needs further evaluation. However, in view of the present study, in spite of a promising conclusion, fever in healthy neonates should not be treated as something benign and dealt with casually. Having said all this, I appreciate the methodology of the study and the authors’ endeavour to look further into the issue of fever in neonates. I hope my suggestion will generate intense discussion and not just be taken as a critical review of the paper. Lastly, in my view reviewing the above paper in detail, dehydration still remains a diagnosis of exclusion, just as we take transient tachypnoea of the newborn as a diagnosis of exclusion in cases of respiratory distress in neonates.

Home phototherapy in the United Kingdom
Although successful home treatment of neonatal jaundice using fibre-optic phototherapy units has been reported elsewhere,2 we are not aware of any such provision in the United Kingdom. We have introduced a regional home phototherapy programme in Tayside, Scotland and wonder if our initial experience would be of interest to others. Before introducing the service, hospital and community midwives undertook training covering inclusion criteria (physiological jaundice in well, term infants), the treatment protocol, equipment (mainly blue light, shiabihman@hotmail.com), and the assessment of parental competence. The protocol conditions were: a daily capillary serum bilirubin (SBR), discussing all results with a paediatrician; basing treatment on SBR results and not infant’s weight, and an SBR measured after discontinuing phototherapy. Parents underwent a one hour “training” session (equipment use and advice on feeding, skin care, and temperature control) and were given written advice. Tayside Committee on Medical Research Ethics advised that ethical approval for the programme and written consent were not required, as the treatment being offered was not novel.

Between February and August 2002, 28 families were offered home phototherapy in Tayside: six refused (difficulties with feeding, distance from home to hospital, and parental choice). The mean birth weight was 3245 g (range 2240–4220), with a median gestation of 38 weeks (range 35–41). Mean maternal age was 30 years (range 17–41). Twenty (91%) infants were breast fed. Ten were first born. Seven families lived in affluent areas and two in areas of high deprivation.3 Phototherapy started at a median age of 5.5 days (range 1–13). Eight infants received all their phototherapy at home. Mean treatment duration was 47.3 hours (range 17.5–97.0) with a median decrease in SBR of 16 μmol/l per day (ranging from a fall of 50 μmol/l to a rise of 53 μmol/l in one case). Community midwives spent about 60 minutes on the first home visit. Subsequent visits were shorter. Poor compliance, without compromise to either infant, was identified in two families and rectified quickly. No other adverse incidents were reported, and there was no equipment failure. All parents preferred home phototherapy to inpatient treatment. Community midwives have been happy to continue the programme.

We believe this is the first report of a home phototherapy programme in the United Kingdom. With appropriate training and enthusiastic community support, it appears to be feasible, safe, and well accepted by families and staff. We would encourage others to consider establishing such programmes.

We are grateful to the rest of the Tayside Home Phototherapy Project Team (J. Dalzell, A Jarvis, M. Meldrum, V. Samson) and the community midwives who contributed to the success of the project. This project was supported by a grant from the Scottish Executive Health Department – Innovative Fund for Children’s Services.
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