The use of 24 hour distal oesophageal pH monitoring as a diagnostic test for gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR) disease in infants, particularly premature infants, is controversial, with little consensus among investigators on the appropriate normal/abnormal diagnostic criteria applicable in these patients. Studies of intragastric pH in premature infants have reported that the average percentage recording time that the gastric pH was below 4 was low, 24.5–42.4%, and this has led to the derivation of “corrected” reflux indices (% time oesophageal pH < 4 when gastric pH < 4) for diagnosis of reflux. The utility of this approach has yet to be determined, but has led to a recommendation that oesophageal pH monitoring is deemed inappropriate in premature infants. It is also important to note in this context that a large study of 90 infants recently failed to find any effect of gastric buffering on reflux index. Therefore, the use of simultaneous gastric pH monitoring to correct for the effect of food buffering on gastric pH may be flawed. Furthermore, recent studies in preterm infants have used a gastric pH probe situated in the cardia. However, adult studies have previously shown that there are substantial regional differences in intragastric pH, with pH significantly less acidic in the cardia than in the body of the stomach.

The aim of this study was therefore to use a novel pH feeding assembly to measure intragastric pH at multiple sites within the stomach to determine the extent to which gastric pH differs regionally within the stomach, and how these differences may influence oesophageal acidity during GOR episodes.

METHODS

Subjects
Intragastric pH monitoring studies were performed in 15 preterm infants from the special care baby unit at the Women’s and Children’s Hospital who were healthy for relative gestational age and were receiving full enteral bolus feeds at four hourly intervals. The protocol for this study had been approved by the research ethics committee of the hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from the parents. The infants had a mean (SD) postmenstrual age of 36 (1) weeks (range 35–38) and a mean (SD) weight of 2614 (201) g (range 2330–3100). No infants had known gastrointestinal problems, feeding difficulties, or were receiving drugs that would interfere with normal gastrointestinal function. During the studies, the infants were fed 50–80 ml (22–30 ml/kg) of either non-fortified expressed breast milk (n = 13) or infant formula (n = 2).

Measurement techniques
Oesophageal and intragastric pH was measured simultaneously using a purpose built four channel antimony pH probe (2.2 mm outer diameter) with pH sensors located 0, 3, 6, and 9 cm below the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) and distal sensors 3, 6, and 9 cm below the LOS. The distance from the nares to the LOS was estimated using the established relation between body weight and LOS position. As the infants were healthy, positioning of the probe radiologically was deemed to be unacceptable. The Medtronic “Digitrapper” pH monitoring system (Medtronic, Stockholm, Sweden) was used to acquire the pH data, and analyses were performed using “Esophagram” program (Medtronic and Acqknowledge v3.0 (Biopac Systems Inc, Goleta, California, USA).

Gastric half emptying time (GE1/2) was measured using the $^{13}$C octanoic acid breath test as previously described.

Study protocol
At the beginning of the study, the infants were intubated with the pH probe and then positioned in the right lateral posture. After a 10 minute baseline pH recording, a bolus feed containing 100 µl $^{13}$C octanoic acid was administered.
over a period of 10–15 minutes. Oesophageal and gastric pH was then continuously recorded for four hours from the start of feeding.

Analysis of pH recordings

The raw pH data (acquired at 1 Hz) were averaged over consecutive 10 minute epochs to assess the pattern of change in intragastric pH over the four hour study period and determine values for baseline pH (before feed), maximum pH (after feed), minimum pH (after feed), time taken to reach maximum pH, time taken to reach minimum pH, and time taken to reach pH 4. Percentage time that pH > 4 was also calculated using automated analysis.

Acid GOR episodes were identified by falls in oesophageal pH of 1.0 pH unit or more over five seconds. GOR episodes with nadir pH > 4 were defined as “low acid” GOR, and episodes with nadir pH < 4 were defined as “acid” GOR. For each GOR episode recorded, the pH of the refluxate (represented by the nadir pH of the reflux episode) was compared with the pH of gastric contents recorded at each of the three intragastric pH sensors. The reflux index (% time oesophageal pH < 4) was also determined by standard automated analysis.

Relations between intragastric pH, GOR, and GEtt/2 were also examined.

Statistical analysis

Mean (SE) data were compared using the paired t test. Relations between variables were assessed using simple regression analysis. Coefficient of variation was used to assess variability among patients.

RESULTS

Gavage feeding produced a characteristic pattern of gastric pH increase followed by re-acidification. This pattern was present at all levels within the stomach in all infants studied (fig 2). Significant regional variations in the pattern of feeding buffering and re-acidification were observed, the proximal stomach (gastric 1) being more significantly buffered by feeding and slower to acidify postprandially than the mid to distal stomach (gastric 2 and 3; table 1). Gastric 2 appeared to be most optimally positioned to record maximum acidity, as gastric 3, although the most distal sensor, tended to record higher pH values during the period two to four hours postprandially (fig 2, table 1). The mean (SE) % time pH < 4 calculated using automated analysis was 42.2 (4.4)%, 58.7 (3.7)%, and 55.7 (5.1)% for gastric sensors 1, 2, and 3 respectively. The mean % time pH < 4 taken across all sensors ranged from 30.9 to 67.5% (mean 52.7 (3.1%); coefficient of variation (CV) 21.9%).

Reflux index (% time oesophageal pH < 4) ranged from 0 to 14.7% (mean (SE) 5.3 (1.4%)%). A total of 62 GOR episodes were observed (mean (SE) 4.5 (0.6) per patient). Of these, 35 were low acid and 27 were acid.

Over time, the nadir pH during all GOR episodes decreased, and the magnitude of the pH fall during GOR episodes increased, leading to an increase in the proportion of acid versus low acid GOR episodes. During the period 0–2 hours
Spatial variation in parameters of intragastric acidity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Gastric 1 (3 cm)</th>
<th>Gastric 2 (6 cm)</th>
<th>Gastric 3 (9 cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline pH (before feed)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.2)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum pH (after feed)</td>
<td>7.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.1)*</td>
<td>6.6 (0.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum pH (after feed)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to reach maximum pH (min)</td>
<td>35 (3)</td>
<td>24 (2)**</td>
<td>29 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to reach minimum pH (min)</td>
<td>197 (7)</td>
<td>161 (10)**</td>
<td>164 (12)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pH 0–1 hours</td>
<td>6.9 (0.2)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.2)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pH 1–2 hours</td>
<td>6.0 (0.2)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.4)***</td>
<td>3.7 (0.4)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pH 2–3 hours</td>
<td>2.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.3)**</td>
<td>2.7 (0.3)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pH 3–4 hours</td>
<td>1.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.5)*†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean (SE).
* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.005, *** p < 0.0005 compared with gastric 1.
† p < 0.05 compared with gastric 2.

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated intragastric pH changes and acid reflux in response to feeding in a group of healthy premature infants with normal gastric emptying. Gastric pH measurements were simultaneously recorded at multiple sites within the stomach, and these recordings showed significant spatial and temporal differences in gastric acidity. During reflux episodes, the acidity of the refluxate is more closely related to the acidity of the distal stomach contents than the acidity of the contents of the cardia.

The major finding of this study is that the position of a pH sensor within the stomach is a critical factor affecting pH measurement. As shown previously in adults, gastric acidity is lower in the cardia than the distal stomach. Two previous studies, which measured gastric pH in symptomatic preterm and term infants, positioned one gastric pH sensor in the cardia approximately 2.5–3 cm below the LOS. These studies reported average values for gastric % time pH < 4 of 24.5–42.4%. In our study, a sensor located in a similar position gave similar results (42.2%), but this sensor also overestimated the time required for gastric acidity to reach pH 4 by 36 min and underestimated the % time pH < 4 by 17%, compared with more distally located sensors. Our data suggest that the pH sensor was suboptimally positioned in previous studies, and this has led to an underestimation of gastric acidity and an overestimation of the impact of gastric pH buffering by feeds. In the patient group studied, the optimal location for the pH sensor appears to be 6 cm below the LOS for the 15 infants studied. At this location the gastric % time pH < 4 was 58.7%.

The previous studies also reported substantial variability among patients, the range of values for % time gastric pH < 4 being 1.7–98.8% (CV 68.4%) and 0.6–69.1% (CV 70.6%). In our study, the results were far less variable being 10.6–59.5% (CV 37.7%), 33.3–77.6% (CV 23.3%), and 15.3–97.7% (CV 34.5%) for 3, 6, and 9 cm below the LOS and 30.9–67.5% (CV 21.9%) for the mean taken across all sensors. The greater variability observed previously may be due to the studies being carried out over 24 hour in symptomatic rather than normal infants, meaning that the investigators were less able to control and standardise the feeding regimen.

Although these data suggest that the impact of feeding on gastric pH has been overestimated, it is clear that the way infants are fed reduces gastric acidity when compared with adults, where in the body of the stomach the median % time pH < 4 is about 88%. Feeding, however, also provides the stimulus for reflux to occur through gastric distension and stimulation of transient LOS relaxation and further...
stimulation of gastric acid secretion. In our study, the correlation coefficient between reflux index and % time intragastric pH < 4 was 0.189 (p = 0.530), suggesting that a reduction in the % time pH < 4 will not necessarily equate to a reduction in the reflux index per se.

Of the acid reflux episodes detected using our criteria (fall of 1 pH unit), 55% were acid and 45% were low acid. The nadir pH recorded during reflux correlated significantly with the average pH across all sensors, but on 45% of occasions the nadir pH fell to a level that was lower than the pH recorded 3 cm below the LOS. This type of event occurred predominantly in the first two hours after feeding. Such events are nevertheless interesting as they indicate that the gastric contents, which ultimately become refluxate, originate at a level that is below the cardia. Our multiple point measurements show that in these infants, who are receiving liquid feeds, gastric pH is clearly stratified and that expulsion of gastric contents during a reflux episode results in turbulent mixing as gastric content is expelled as shown in fig 3.

In conclusion, this study readdresses the issue of feed buffering and gastric acidity in the neonate. Our data indicate that previous studies may have underestimated the level of gastric acidity because of suboptimal positioning of the pH sensor within the stomach. We therefore recommend a dual sensor spacing of 8–9 cm rather than 5 cm to allow more optimal positioning of a sensor in each of the distal oesophagus and stomach. Recent commentary on the suitability of oesophageal pH monitoring, based on these previous reports, needs to be treated with caution in the light of our findings.
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