Background: Noise exposure in neonatal units has long been suspected of being a cause of hearing loss associated with such units. The noise intensity to which the neonate is exposed varies with the type of ventilatory support used. Also, the post-nasal space is an enclosed cavity that is close to the inner ear and an area of turbulent and hence potentially noisy airflow.

Aim: To determine noise intensities within the ear and post-nasal space in neonates on different modes of ventilatory support using probe microphones, measures previously not undertaken.

Methods: A portable instrument with a probe microphone was used for the measurements. Three groups of infants were included: (a) those receiving no respiratory support (NS); (b) those receiving conventional ventilation (CV); (c) those receiving continuous positive airways pressure (CPAP) support.

Results: The mean in-the-ear noise intensities (at 1 kHz) were 41.7 dB SPL (NS), 39.5 dB SPL (CV), and 55.1 dB SPL (CPAP). The noise intensities in the post-nasal space in those receiving CPAP support were higher than in the other groups, reached mean levels of up to 102 dB SPL at some frequencies, and increased with increasing flow rates.

Conclusions: The most important finding is the high noise intensities in the post-nasal space of those receiving CPAP support. Given the proximity of the post-nasal space to the inner ear, enough noise could be transmitted, especially in infants receiving the higher flow rates, to cause cochlear damage and hence hearing loss. It would therefore be wise, wherever possible, to avoid using the higher flow rates.

Figure 1 Prob microphone/tube used to measure noise intensity.
parents had had a chance to settle before being approached about the study. Three groups of patients, all of whom were nursed in incubators, were recruited: (a) those not having any respiratory support; (b) those on conventional ventilation (all were on the SLE 2000 ventilator); (c) those on CPAP support (all were nasal prong via Infant Flow Driver). During the period of the study, only two patients were placed on high frequency ventilation, but both were too unwell to be included in the study. Measurements were carried out using a probe microphone/tube (fig 1) and a Fonix FP40 analyser, which is capable of measuring noise intensities at 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 kHz. All measurements were made at the same time of day (0700-0730) to minimise variability caused by extraneous noise.

The probe tube is of narrow calibre and easily blocked by debris and mucus, which could result in falsely low measurements of noise intensity in the ear canal and post-nasal space. For this reason, two measurements were made for each ear: one at the opening of the ear canal and one within the external auditory meatus. Similarly, noise levels in the post-nasal space were determined first just outside the nasal opening and then by sliding the probe tube through the anterior nares, along the floor of the nasal cavity, and into the post-nasal space. The external measurement in each case served as a reference to indicate whether measurements in the ear canal and post-nasal space were realistic representations of the noise intensities in these sites. If the latter were substantially lower than the respective external reference measurements, it was highly likely that the probe tube had become blocked. In such cases, it was withdrawn and visually inspected. If it was blocked, it was replaced with a new one. If it was deemed patent, it was reinserted and the measurements repeated. If there were no discrepancies as just outlined, then a single measurement was obtained for each defined location. All the measurements were obtained by the same researcher.

If the latter were substantially lower than the reference value to indicate whether measurements in the ear canal and post-nasal space were realistic representations of the noise intensities in these sites. If the latter were substantially lower than the respective external reference measurements, it was highly likely that the probe tube had become blocked. In such cases, it was withdrawn and visually inspected. If it was blocked, it was replaced with a new one. If it was deemed patent, it was reinserted and the measurements repeated. If there were no discrepancies as just outlined, then a single measurement was obtained for each defined location. All the measurements were obtained by the same researcher.

The t test for independent samples was used to determine whether or not there was any significant difference between:

(a) noise levels outside and within the ear canal for each frequency and for each group of subjects;
(b) noise levels within the ear of those receiving no respiratory support and ventilated subjects;
(c) noise levels within the ear of ventilated patients and those on CPAP;
(d) noise levels within the ear of those with no respiratory support and those on CPAP;
(e) noise levels in the post-nasal space of those with no respiratory support and ventilated subjects;
(f) noise levels in the post-nasal space of ventilated subjects and those on CPAP.

RESULTS
Twenty two subjects were recruited to the study as follows: (a) five neonates on no respiratory support; (b) five neonates on conventional ventilation (SLE 2000 ventilator); (c) 12 neonates on CPAP support (nasal prong via Infant Flow Driver). The gestation range was 27–32 weeks.

Table 1 shows the mean noise levels for these three groups and gives the intensity levels for outside the ear (Out) and

### Table 1  Mean noise intensities in dBA SPL (in and out of ears)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (kHz)</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>52.38 (1.51)</td>
<td>52.59 (2.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>45.72 (1.15)</td>
<td>46.6 (1.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.68 (1.39)</td>
<td>39.18 (1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36.35 (1.74)</td>
<td>33.77 (1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>34.28 (1.62)</td>
<td>34.2 (1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34.87 (1.44)</td>
<td>31.61 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>38.51 (1.81)</td>
<td>35.98 (1.42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**  Comparison of mean in the ear noise intensities between groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (kHz)</th>
<th>No respiratory support v ventilated</th>
<th>Ventilated v CPAP</th>
<th>No respiratory support v CPAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). CPAP, Continuous positive airways pressure.

### Terminology

The range of sound pressures over which humans can hear is enormous; the largest sound pressure (loudest noise) that can be tolerated is 10 million times greater than the smallest (quietest noise) that can be sensed. For practical purposes, dealing with such a range of values is too cumbersome. To overcome this difficulty, the logarithmic decibel (dB) scale was introduced; on this scale the values are reduced to a more manageable 0 and 140. To be meaningful, a sound has to be compared with a reference value. The reference level most commonly used is the quietest sound pressure level that can be heard (20 µPa) and thus the sound is expressed as dB SPL (sound pressure level). Although the sound is measured in dB SPL (sound pressure level) and gives the intensity levels for outside the ear (Out) and
within the external canal (In). Comparison of these for each frequency, using the \( t \) test for independent samples, shows no significant difference between the two sets of means for each group.

Table 2 shows the results of statistical comparison between the mean noise levels in the ears for those on no respiratory support and those on conventional ventilation. It can be seen that there is no significant difference between the two groups. Table 2 also shows the results of comparison between those being ventilated and those on CPAP support and also a comparison between those on no ventilatory support and those on CPAP. It can be seen that, for frequencies 0.5–8 kHz, those on CPAP were exposed to significantly higher noise intensities than either those receiving no respiratory support or those being ventilated. For 0.2 kHz there was no significant difference in either comparison.

Comparison between the mean noise intensity levels, across the frequency range, outside the nose and within the post-nasal space for those on no respiratory support and those on conventional ventilation showed no significant difference. As the measurements in the post-nasal space were made at different flow rates for those on CPAP support, such a statistical comparison was not possible, but all outside measurements were found to be generally lower than the post-nasal ones, even at the lowest flow rates.

Table 3 shows that mean noise intensities in the post-nasal space of ventilated neonates were significantly higher at 0.5, 1, and 2 kHz than in those on no respiratory support. Table 3 also shows that mean noise intensities in the post-nasal space in the neonates on CPAP at the lowest flow rate (5 litres/min) was significantly higher across the frequency range than for those on conventional ventilation.

Table 4 shows the mean noise intensities in the post-nasal space for different flow rates of CPAP. The number of individual measurements for each flow rate are shown in parentheses.

DISCUSSION

In previous studies,\(^5\) noise intensities on neonatal units were determined using sound level meters measuring noise levels in dBA and are therefore not directly comparable with the measurements made in this study, which were in sound pressure levels (SPL) at discrete frequencies. However, the same reference measure is used in calibrating both the sound level meter and the Fonix FP40 analyser, and therefore the noise intensities at 1 kHz are comparable.\(^18\) The mean ear noise intensity found in this study (table 1) for the three groups of subjects at 1 kHz is considerably less than that found in other studies.\(^7\) Neonates on conventional ventilation were not exposed to significantly more noise than those who have no respiratory support. It should be noted, however, that, although not statistically significant, the real ear values for those on conventional ventilation are actually less, across the frequency range, than for those on no respiratory support. A
possible explanation is that the natural breathing of the neonates with no respiratory support creates turbulence in the upper respiratory passages and is thus actually noisier than the mechanical ventilation of those on a modern ventilator. In ventilated patients, the airflow and any turbulence generated is downwards, through an endotracheal tube, into the trachea and away from the post-nasal space and ears. Another possible factor is the quality of modern ventilatory equipment. Babies on CPAP support are exposed to significantly more noise than the other two groups. The noise intensities lie in the range 53–57 dB SPL (55 dB SPL at 1 kHz), a level considerably more than the other two groups. The noise intensities lie in the
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noise than the other two groups. The noise intensities lie in the
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induced hearing loss than the adult organ,

onates. Firstly, although animal experiments have indicated

the exact situation in humans is not entirely clear. Thirdly,

has been shown, again in animal models, that there is a

period after birth when the immature cochlea is especially

sensitive to acoustic trauma. Whether this is also true for

the human infant, particularly the preterm baby, is far from
certain. Finally, it is difficult to be sure, without any means of

accurate measurement, exactly how much noise is being

transmitted from the post-nasal space of neonates on CPAP to

the inner ear. Given these uncertain factors, further investiga-
tions into the possible role of CPAP, particularly in infants

nursed for long periods of time on it, in sensorineural hearing

loss associated with adverse perinatal factors and stay in a

neonatal intensive care unit seem warranted.
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