Neonatal long lines
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Are they safe?

Central venous (CV) lines have been the subject of much professional debate and public exposure in the UK following an enquiry into the deaths of four children in Manchester as the result of cardiac tamponade. One of the recommendations of this review was that CV line tips should not be placed within the cardiac outline. This has been the recommendation of CV line manufacturers for some time.

In this issue, Beardsall et al report a retrospective questionnaire survey of pericardial effusion (PCE) and tamponade associated with percutaneously inserted central lines, together with a survey of current practice in percutaneously inserted central line use in neonatal units around the UK. They compare the incidence of PCE in units with different approaches to the use of percutaneously inserted central lines. Despite likely ascertainment and response bias, and unknown confounders, this study adds an important piece to the complex puzzle of PCE. Their incidence of PCE of 1.8% in percutaneously inserted central lines is at the upper end of that reported in case series of 0.5–2.0%. Most PCE occurred in units aiming to place the line tip in the caval veins; the risk was greatest in units with the lowest use of CV lines. The most important conclusion of this study is that, with the current approach to positioning of long lines, an attempt to site the line tip in the caval veins (rather than the right atrium) is not associated with a reduction in incidence of PCE.

Types of CV line

Several types of CV line are used in neonatal care:

1. Umbilical venous catheters (UVC)
2. Peripherally inserted central lines
3. Central lines inserted over a guide wire at puncture of a large superficial vein
4. Surgically inserted central lines.

Umbilical venous catheters

These are relatively easy to insert in the newborn and have been used in sick neonates for a long time. They are generally 3–5 Fr gauge and made of poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC) or polyurethane. Multiple lumen UVCs have been used to reduce the need for peripheral venous access in very sick and in extremely preterm infants.

Peripherally inserted central lines

These are made of silicone or polyurethane and are used commonly in neonates. Several developments have made the insertion technique easier: the two piece catheter joined by a hub, the peelable needle or cannula, and narrower gauge catheters.

Central lines inserted over a guide wire

These are usually placed at puncture of one of the neck veins or the femoral vein.

Surgically inserted central lines

These are inserted after direct cut down—this is usually done using a Hickman or Broviac catheter into a jugular vein.

Reasons for the use of CV lines

There are several reasons for the use of CV lines in neonatal care:

1. To provide secure venous access for administration of fluids and parenteral nutrition (PN) when it seems likely that full enteral nutrition will not be possible for some time.
2. To enable the safe and uninterrupted administration of clinically essential or locally toxic solutions (for example, inotropes or concentrated dextrose solution).
3. As a mode of venous access when peripheral options have been exhausted.

Larger bore lines can be used for other purposes such as exchange transfusion, central venous pressure monitoring, cardiac catheterisation, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, and haemofiltration.

Risks associated with CV line use

As the options for central venous access increase, and CV lines become technically easier for a novice to insert at the cot side, their risks should not be forgotten, and neonatologists should be on guard against inappropriate use. CV lines have been implicated in the causation of many different problems.

Direct tissue injury

Direct tissue injury at insertion is most likely with guide wire aided insertion, with blind needling of a chest vein, and with dilators sometimes used for Hickman lines. This may result in pneumothorax or injury to the heart or great vessels resulting in a pericardial or pleural effusion, stroke from carotid artery injury, or mediastinitis. PCE is nearly always bloodless, and is probably the result of the accumulation of infused fluid in the pericardium. This risk may be reduced by ultrasound or fluoroscopy guidance at insertion. Vascular perforation can also happen with UVCs whose larger bore makes them intrinsically less elastic.

The newer polyurethane lines soften following insertion, while PVC lines tend to become stiffer, probably because of leaching of plasticiser (the latter cost less and substantial numbers are still used).

Intravascular thrombosis

This is probably common, and is frequently associated with line related sepsis. It may result in pulmonary embolism or a vena cava syndrome. The complication appears to be more common with the catheter tip in the right atrium in adults.

Embolism

Air embolism, probably the result of leakage into a disconnected line, and embolisation of catheter fragments, with or without obvious trauma, have been described.

Risks of parenteral nutrition

The many risks of parenteral nutrition accompany the use of long lines for feeding. The high osmolarity of amino acid–glucose solutions may increase the risk of effusion by damaging the vascular wall.

Line related sepsis

This is probably the commonest serious complication of long lines. The incidence of line related sepsis appears to be 4–12 per 1000 catheter days. Its risk is increased by longer duration of catheterisation, and is probably influenced by catheter material, frequency of line breaks for infusion change and drug injection, the presence of multiple lumens, and by technique of catheter fixation. Line sepsis is frequently accompanied by thrombus formation. The rate of infection can be reduced by staff education. Incorporation in CV lines of heparin, silver, or other substances with
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antimicrobial qualities has shown promise in adult studies, but has not been investigated in the paediatric population.\textsuperscript{14}

**Delayed effusion**

Delayed effusion into a body cavity can occur, probably because of damage to the vascular wall by infused fluid. It may develop after a catheter (usually a fine bore peripherally inserted central line) passes into a small vein and then causes extravasation. Hypoglycaemia caused by interruption of the intravenous infusion may provide a clue to the problem. Accumulation of infusate has been described in the subarachnoid space (line tip in the lumbar plexus\textsuperscript{15}), renal pelvis (renal veins\textsuperscript{16}), peritoneal cavity, retroperitoneal space or externally from the abdominal wall (epigastric vein\textsuperscript{17}) with lower limb lines, and in the pleural space (pulmonary veins\textsuperscript{18}) with upper limb lines. Obtaining x ray pictures in more than one plane may be useful for positioning lower limb peripherally inserted central lines, although only repeated imaging will pick up catheter migration after insertion.\textsuperscript{19}

Pleural and pericardial effusion may develop after an interval with the line tip in the superior vena cava or atrium of the heart.\textsuperscript{20} There is uncertainty about the pathogenesis of this complication. It partly arises from erosion of the vascular or cardiac wall, with or without prior intravascular catheter migration. The risk appears to be greatest when the end of the catheter creates an acute angle to the vessel or cardiac wall. This may then cause injury because a jet of abrasive fluid is directed at a small area of the wall, assisted by reactive thrombus attaching the catheter tip to the endothelium. This is most likely with: (a) a redundant length of free catheter in the body or (b) a catheter tip in the left innominate vein at its junction with the superior vena cava (for pleural effusion).\textsuperscript{21}

**PCE with tamponade**

This is a rare complication, associated with a high mortality, partly because of delayed recognition. Nowlen et al recently described 14 cases in six Texas neonatal units and reviewed 47 in the literature, detailing their clinical characteristics.\textsuperscript{1} PCE occurred in 0.5–2% of CV lines, with an effusion similar in composition to the infusate in the vast majority. The median time from CV line insertion to presentation was 3 days (range 0–37), with nearly two thirds presenting as sudden cardiovascular collapse, and most of the rest having unexplained cardiorespiratory instability. At pathology, there may be associated vascular in inflammation and thrombosis caused by with or without perforation. It appears likely that there are two mechanisms involved: (1) perforation at the time of insertion\textsuperscript{22}; and (2) slow damage to the integrity of the vascular wall, resulting in either transmural diffusion of infusate or erosion of the line into the pericardial space. Several case reports describe a coexisting bacteremia, and one an active pericarditis.\textsuperscript{23} PCE is most commonly described with catheter tips placed within the heart outline on x ray examination, when endocardial damage from a fluid jet from looped catheter is the likely explanation.\textsuperscript{24} Extracardiac positioning does not, however, abolish the risk of PCE,\textsuperscript{25} probably because the pericardial reflection extends some way along the caval veins.\textsuperscript{26} The neonatal cardiac atria are probably easier to damage than in an older heart, with some areas having little muscle. The risk of effusion may be increased with upper limit CV lines because the position of the line tip changes considerably with arm position (up to 15 mm movement without any change in length of intravascular catheter).\textsuperscript{27} This has been said to justify strict arm positioning prior to x ray examination. There may be migration of the catheter over time.\textsuperscript{28} However, periodic x ray examinations do not seem justified in view of the dangers associated with the significant handling involved, including inadvertent dislodgement of the endotracheal tube and other intensive care equipment. In addition, adjustment of a central line after it is secured may threaten its integrity and security of fixation. Surgically inserted lines are cut to an estimated length prior to insertion, and are particularly difficult to adjust later because they have a subcutaneous cuff and a very short intravascular portion.

**POSITIONING OF CV LINES**

x Ray pictures give limited information about line positioning because of the difficulty of naked eye discrimination of catheter and soft tissues, and the inability of a 2D image to illustrate the complex 3D structure of the heart and great vessels. Contrast injection may under- or overestimate catheter length, because the catheter may be either partially filled or extrude a jet of contrast from the tip at the time of the x ray examination. There may be a case for the more widespread use of ultrasound\textsuperscript{29} and intravascular ECG\textsuperscript{30} to aid placement of CV lines. Manipulation of digitised images may also make line tip identification easier.

We must not become fixated on avoiding intracardiac positioning of lines and let this distract from other equally important issues in line positioning, and the more common complications of long lines. The controversy about line placement is not unique to neonatology—a recent editorial in an anaesthetic journal provides a balanced and sensible argument.\textsuperscript{31}

**ARE LONG LINES SAFE?**

The provocative question of the title remains to be answered: “Are long lines safe?” Of course they are not. There are very real dangers associated with introducing a plastic line through the bacteria colonised skin of an infant into its central circulation, and then infusing a concentrated mixture of sugar, amino acids, lipids and water.

Conversely, are they so dangerous that their use should be centrally governed or restricted? I would argue, no. In a baby at the borderline of viability, with fragile skin and vessels, who may need parenteral nutrition for more than two weeks, a long line may be a “lifeline” on which survival depends. The decision about inserting a long line in an individual baby is a difficult one, and like many clinical decisions involves balancing the unique risks and benefits. If we consider long lines for feeding, there are many imperfectly quantified risks affecting the choice of feeding route and method.\textsuperscript{32} These risks depend among other things on gestation, postnatal age, the degree of illness of the baby, the other invasive treatments used, the type of milk available for feeding, the type of line used, the position of the line tip, and the duration of line use. The alternative to the use of a long line is the use of peripheral venous cannulae, an approach which is associated with more frequent interruptions to nutrient supply when cannulae fail and an appreciable risk of permanent scarring from extravasation injury.

There is no explicit professional consensus on CV line insertion technique, method of fixation, indications for removal, or the need for parental information or consent. The potential for inconsistency is compounded by the problems with practical training created by the shift working of junior doctors. I would therefore suggest a requirement for:

1. Practical/video instruction at induction for junior staff about central lines
2. Clear local guidelines for the use of central lines and related clinical processes, including feeding
3. Consultant decision about insertion and removal of central lines and daily consultant review of any baby with such a line.

I believe that long lines should not be used when such supervision is not possible. Greater senior involvement in decision making is probably preferable to the imposition of national guidelines, which would of necessity be somewhat arbitrary.

There is a need for further research (mechanism of complications, effect of
Summary

- Central venous lines provide secure vascular access in newborn infants, but are associated with many serious complications.
- Line related sepsis, the commonest of these, may be minimised by using polyurethane or silicone CV lines, minimising line breaks, using single rather than multiple lumens lines, shortening duration of use, and staff education.
- Extravasation probably occurs as the result of the catheter tip being in a small vein or pointing at the wall of a large vessel or cardiac chamber.
- Pericardial effusions resulting in tamponade may be more likely when the catheter tip lies within the cardiac silhouette, particularly if there is a length of free catheter within the heart.
- Positioning the line tip outside the heart does not completely prevent cardiac tamponade and may cause other serious complications.
- Early signs of pericardial effusion should be recognised, including unexplained cardiovascular decompen-sation and enlarging cardiac silhouette on x-ray examination.
- Long lines should, where possible, be repositioned until the tip is outside the cardiac silhouette, avoiding small vessels and acute angles between catheter and vascular wall, with final tip position confirmed by x-ray examination or ultrasound.
- Parents should be informed about planned long line insertion, and individual units should consider formal consent.
- There should be senior involvement in the supervision of long line use, the setting up of feeding guidelines, and staff education.

pericardiocentesis and other incidents has led to a national survey of long line tip position, optimum imaging technique, effect of infused fluids) and surveillance/audit of practice. There should be a national survey of long line use and associated complications, documenting every long line used and ideally, all insertion attempts. Such a venture could involve a survey insert in the packaging of CV lines, which was returned when the line was removed. As part of such a survey, long lines should be left in situ for postmortem examina-tions, since in many case reports of complications an inference is made about catheter tip position from imaging done some time before clinical deterioration.

The publicity relating to the Manchester enquiry and other incidents has led to criticism of the paternalistic approach to the health service. This should make us question the indications for the invasive procedures we carry out, and our approach to parental information and consent. Formal consenting may be appropriate for the planned insertion of long lines and for other treatments which are non-urgent, especially if they are of disputed benefit and if controversy has reached public awareness. We may have to accept an accompanying increase in parental anxiety and a greater need for parental counselling and support.

Although they are now an essential element of neonatal care, CV lines are associated with serious complications, and should only be used with caution and experienced supervision. Positioning the tip outside the cardiac silhouette will not eliminate the risk of cardiac tamponade and no line tip position will avoid all serious complications. Once a line has been inserted, there should be regular review to justify its continued use.
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