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Abstract

**Background**—There is controversy over whether improved survival of preterm infants has resulted in a higher incidence of severe (grade 3 or greater) retinopathy of prematurity (ROP).

**Aim**—To compare survival rates and rates of ≥ stage 3 ROP—that is, with a high risk of sequelae—in preterm infants in five English cities where, anecdotally, the incidence of ROP is reported to show considerable variation.

**Methods**—All infants of birth weight <1500 g and gestational age <32 weeks, born in 1994 in one of the cities or transferred in within 48 hours, were studied. The populations were adjusted for case mix variation using CRIB (clinical risk index for babies, a disease severity scoring system). The incidence of severe ROP, the actual death rate, and that adjusted for disease severity were determined.

**Results**—The rate of severe ROP per 1000 births was higher in city 1 than in all the other cities. This increase in comparison with city 2 and city 4 was significant (city 1, 1.67; 95% confidence interval (CI) 96 to 260; city 2, 0.6; 95% CI 1.0 to 1.5; and city 4, 0.6; 95% CI 1.0 to 1.5). A significant difference was not seen between city 1 and cities 3 (23 (1 to 120)) and 5 (74 (21 to 79)). The relative risk of developing severe ROP in city 1 compared with all the other cities was 5.5 (2.5 to 11.9). The actual death rate per 1000 births in city 1 was significantly lower than that predicted by modelling death against CRIB score (city 1: actual 270, predicted 385 (95% CI 339 to 431)). In contrast, the other cities had actual death rates as predicted, or worse than predicted, by CRIB.

**Interpretation**—A significantly higher incidence of severe ROP was identified in one of the five cities studied. Variation in survival rates among high risk infants may explain this observation.

(Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2000;82:F145–F149)
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Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) is a disease of the developing retinal blood vessels. It was originally reported in 1942, and nine years later an association with supplemental oxygen treatment was suggested. The link to oxygen treatment was investigated experimentally by Ashton and Patz and in a number of clinical studies. This work led to oxygen restriction, and the incidence of ROP fell, although the death rate of preterm infants rose. From the late 1970s, as neonatal intensive care became established widely in the developed world, the incidence of ROP increased again. This observation has been the subject of much debate, with studies trying to interpret changes in the incidence of ROP against the following background.

(1) The mean gestation of infants requiring intensive care for respiratory distress syndrome fell because (a) the number of preterm infants ≤ 32 weeks' gestation admitted to intensive care units rose considerably and (b) respiratory problems of premature infants of > 32 weeks' gestation became less common.

(2) Units varied in their approach to the most immature babies, with some classifying a high proportion as livebirths and considering them for intensive care, whereas others treated most of these babies as stillbirths.

(3) Survival of premature infants improved.

Clearly individual study populations used to investigate the incidence of ROP have varied in the rate and extent to which they have been affected by these changes, with resultant conclusions on the important influences on current rates of ROP have varied, with some studies suggesting that improved survival explains the increased incidence of ROP and ROP induced blindness, whereas others take the opposite view. Central to the discussion are the questions of whether infants develop ROP because of care that is deficient in some way, and whether, with optimal support, ROP is always avoidable? In contrast, it is certainly plausible that high rates of ROP may be a consequence of excellent care which allows the least mature, most physiologically unstable, infants to survive and develop ROP.

Anecdotal reports suggest that the incidence of severe ROP varies between centres that provide routine screening for ROP in the UK. We wished to assess whether this apparent variation was real and whether, if present, it was the result of different rates of survival.

**Methods**

The study was conducted retrospectively. Data were collected from 11 neonatal intensive care units in five cities in England (Birmingham, Leicester, Liverpool, Nottingham, Sheffield). Each city was covered by a single screening
service for ROP. Infants included in the study were all livebirths born in 1994 in one of the hospitals collaborating (or were transferred in within 48 hours of age), and who were within the British Association of Perinatal Medicine/Royal College of Ophthalmologists ROP screening criteria (birth weight < 1500 g and/or gestational age < 32 weeks). The data collected were birth weight, gestational age, development of stage 3 ROP or greater, and clinical risk index for babies (CRIB) score. CRIB is a disease severity scoring system for preterm infants, and can be used to adjust for case mix in different populations.

Eye examinations were performed by senior members of the local ophthalmology team who had a special interest in screening for ROP. Findings were recorded using the internationally recognised classification. The examinations were performed by binocular indirect fundoscopy with or without a scleral indent by the unit’s usual technique. For each infant who received an eye examination, the maximum stage of ROP reached, the presence or absence of “plus” disease, and the need for treatment was recorded. Death before the first eye check was recorded. Infants were regarded as survivors if they lived beyond the time recommended for a first eye check. Death after this time was not included in the death rate analysis.

The ophthalmologists in the study agreed that, to limit the effect of interobserver error inherent in any clinical scoring system, data on the presence of ROP stage 3 and above would be most reliable. Less severe stages of ROP are not associated with a significant risk of progression to visual impairment, whereas infants who reach stage 3 ROP have a very high risk of future visual problems such as vision impairment, strabismus, and myopia. Thus it was of clinical importance to determine the incidence of this degree of ROP.

Infants who were transferred back to another hospital not in the study were traced to that hospital and the baby’s ROP staging was determined from the notes.

Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using SAS software. For the incidence of severe ROP, 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using exact methods for the binomial distribution. Logistic regression models were used to calculate the predicted death rate in each city, with adjustment for morbidity using the expected rates of incidence by CRIB score from the combined population, individual weeks of gestation, and a factor to adjust for the interaction between gestation and CRIB.

### Results

The results from the five cities are presented in anonymous form.

Data were retrieved on 1098 infants. No child of birth weight 1251 g or more had stage 3 ROP. The population studied was therefore limited to infants of less than 1251 g birth weight. This resulted in a secondary cohort of 620 infants, of whom 421 (67.9%) survived to the first eye check. Table 1 shows the number of live born infants and survivors in this latter cohort. The results of an eye examination were unable to be traced for 22 (3.5%) infants out of this group of 620 following transfer from one of the study hospitals. None of these infants later presented to the local ophthalmology teams with visual problems, and it was assumed that they did not have stage 3 ROP. These missing data were spread throughout the five cities. CRIB data were unable to be obtained for 131/620 (21.1%) infants, 118 (90%) of whom were from city 2. None of these infants had stage 3 ROP.

Table 1 shows the number of eligible infants, the number surviving to the first eye check, crude survival rate, and the number of cases of stage 3 retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) in each city.

#### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>No of infants</th>
<th>No of survivors</th>
<th>Crude survival rate per 1000 births &gt; 1250 g</th>
<th>No of cases of stage 3 ROP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Distribution of high risk characteristics (infants < 26 weeks' gestation, infants < 751 g birth weight, and infants with CRIB score > 10) between the five cities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>&lt; 26 weeks' gestation (%) (95% CI)</th>
<th>&lt; 751 g birth weight (%) (95% CI)</th>
<th>With CRIB &gt; 10 (%) (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.5 (31.5-63.9) n=10</td>
<td>41.2 (24.7-59.3) n=14</td>
<td>25.2 (17.6-34.2) n=29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.5 (24.0-52.7) n=18</td>
<td>41.8 (28.7-55.9) n=23</td>
<td>20.5 (13.6-29.0) n=24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.7 (0.2-36.0) n=1</td>
<td>20.0 (2.5-55.6) n=2</td>
<td>15.6 (7.8-26.9) n=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.3 (15.6-55.3) n=8</td>
<td>42.1 (20.3-66.5) n=8</td>
<td>19.0 (11.8-28.1) n=19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30.8 (14.3-51.8) n=8</td>
<td>23.1 (9.0-43.7) n=6</td>
<td>16.1 (9.3-25.2) n=15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, number of survivors.

### Table 3

Distribution of cases of stage 3 retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) by birth weight and city.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>500–749 g</th>
<th>750–999 g</th>
<th>1000–1250 g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City 1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stage 3 ROP</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total babies screened</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of screened babies with severe ROP</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>9.0 to 32.6</td>
<td>5.2 to 15.2</td>
<td>0.1 to 3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Distribution of cases of ≥ stage 3 retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) by gestation and city

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>22 weeks</th>
<th>23 weeks</th>
<th>24 weeks</th>
<th>25 weeks</th>
<th>26 weeks</th>
<th>27 weeks</th>
<th>28 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total no with ROP stage 3 or greater

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% with severe ROP

- 22 weeks: 100.0
- 23 weeks: 50.0
- 24 weeks: 33.3
- 25 weeks: 23.5
- 26 weeks: 9.8
- 27 weeks: 3.5
- 28 weeks: 2.6

95% CI

- 22 weeks: 2.5 to 11.9
- 23 weeks: 6.8 to 93.2
- 24 weeks: 11.8 to 61.6
- 25 weeks: 10.8 to 41.2
- 26 weeks: 2.7 to 23.1
- 27 weeks: 0.7 to 9.9
- 28 weeks: 0.3 to 9.2


Discussion

The results presented show a significant variation in the incidence of ≥ stage 3 ROP. This was highest in city 1 where the finding was accompanied by a death rate that was significantly lower than that predicted by the CRIB score. Cities 2, 3, and 4 had actual death rates within the range predicted by the CRIB score and an incidence of severe ROP far lower than that of city 1. These data suggest that improved survival and the development of severe ROP are associated. City 5 has a worse than predicted death rate but an incidence of severe ROP closest to that of city 1, albeit not significantly different from any of the cities. The major neonatal unit serving city 5 has a policy of assessing on the neonatal unit and recording as a live birth even the most immature infants born alive. CRIB was not developed to adjust for such an effect and we believe this policy is responsible for the higher than expected mortality.

We did not identify any infant over 1250 g birth weight with ≥ stage 3 ROP; however, this does not indicate that current recommendations for screening (all babies ≤ 1500 g) are too wide. It would not be appropriate to draw such a conclusion from a study that was not designed to investigate incidence in relation to birth weight.

Existing studies in the literature do not present a clear picture of the major influences on the incidence of ROP. Only two have reported conventional incidence studies making point estimates in geographically defined populations. The first used admissions to neonatal intensive care units as a denominator, and the second used all deliveries in the relevant birthweight category. It is known that differences in attitude towards viability are likely to have been of far greater importance in those studies that compared rates of ROP and or ROP blindness over time and which have drawn different conclusions over whether improved survival does or does not account for observed changes in incidence. Interpretation of these studies is made more difficult by additional factors. Over time, an increasing proportion of immature babies have been offered intensive care, but in addition a greater percentage of more mature babies have avoided the need for such support. As a result, the populations being compared in these investigations will be fundamentally different over time. Of equal importance in studies that have sometimes compared populations extending over 30 years are the major changes in the nature of intensive life support. Given these dramatic changes, it is possible that particular
treatments exerted a specific influence on the incidence of ROP, independently of survival per se. It has also been suggested that ROP is more common when preterm infants receive their care away from major centres. This concept may similarly not be sound. Population based studies in one region of the UK indicate that, currently, infants ≤ 28 weeks’ gestation transferred postnatally have a better outcome (corrected for disease severity) than similar infants whose care is entirely within one unit, small or large. This selection of infants may have an important influence on observed rates of ROP when transferred infants are analysed as part of the whole group cared for in the major centre(s) within a particular study.

In an attempt to deal with these various confounding issues, our study was based on the same 12 month period in all the units, and at a time when modern neonatal intensive care practice was well established and in general terms standardised in each of the participating centres. In addition, the comparisons were standardised in terms of survival using CRIB to adjust for case mix.

However, there are shortcomings within the approach we have taken. The study is limited by the small numbers of cases of severe ROP in cities 2, 3, 4, and 5 (10 in total) and by the fact that data are from one year only. We have used the CRIB score as a measure of initial disease severity and this scoring system was derived from infants who were generally of greater gestational age than infants in this study. We have made the assumption that basic differences in the population, such as levels of deprivation, will be manifest, and corrected for, through the severity of illness. Specific ethnic influences and variation in any genetic propensity to develop ROP have been ignored. In spite of these caveats, we feel the data show an important association between survival and the incidence of ≥ stage 3 ROP.

It is our belief that in any one setting it is a complex interplay between the presenting population and both the quantity and quality of intensive care available that determines the measured rate of ROP. Improved understanding of the pathogenesis of ROP supports the importance of cardiorespiratory stability. Observational studies confirm the association of physiological instability and the incidence of ROP. Therefore, even where neonatal intensive care facilities are well established, in the most immature infants, who are inherently unstable, the risk of ROP is high and will be manifested if they survive. Poor quality intensive care in such a setting may produce a range of effects depending on whether survival is jeopardised or not. In a situation in which the population is changing, with a more positive attitude being taken with regard to the care of immature infants, one would expect an increase in the incidence of ROP until both the change and the learning curve of the perinatal service is complete. For example, Gilbert et al studying blindness from ROP in “middle income countries” in Eastern Europe, Asia, Latin America, and Africa reported that, in those countries with lower infant death rates, ROP accounted for a greater proportion of the cases of severe visual impairment or blindness. The influence of new approaches to treatment, with no obvious direct effect on the eye, is more complex to predict. Higgins et al in a single centre study showed a decrease in severe ROP associated with antenatal dexamethasone administration. They did not, however, comment on whether the dexamethasone was associated with a concomitant increase in survival. Previously, the effect of antenatal corticosteroids on ROP was reported as inconclusive. It is likely that the measured effect of dexamethasone on ROP will reflect the extent to which improved survival alters the study population either directly or through a change in attitude to viability.

There seems to be no doubt that poor care of any newborn infant, for example inappropriate and uncontrolled use of oxygen, can lead to the production of ROP. However, for the most immature infants, with homoostatic mechanisms that are not fully developed, the aggressive interventions necessary for survival may be sufficient to initiate ROP. Hence excellent care with improved odds for survival may in fact carry with it an increased risk for development of ROP, and it is probable that, with current therapeutic strategies, we cannot always prevent this disease and continue to improve survival. The development of new interventions that will be able to deal with this specific risk has become the new challenge.
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