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Abstract

Objectives—To determine the effect of trophic feeding on gastric emptying and whole gut transit time in sick preterm infants.

Methods—A randomised, controlled, prospective study of 70 infants weighing less than 1750 g at birth, who were receiving ventilatory support, was performed. Group TF (33 infants) received trophic feeding from day 3 (0.5 ml/h if birthweight less than 1 kg, 1 ml/h if greater or equal to 1 kg) in addition to parenteral nutrition until ventilatory support finished. Group C (37 infants) received parenteral nutrition alone until ventilatory support finished. Expressed breast milk or a preterm formula were given according to maternal preference. Gastric emptying was assessed within 24 hours of nutritive milk feeding equal to 90 ml/kg/day, using ultrasound scans to measure the reduction in the gastric antral cross sectional area after a feed. Whole gut motility was assessed at both 3 and 6 weeks of age by measuring the whole gut transit time (WGTT) of the marker carmine red.

Results—There was no significant difference between groups in their gastric half emptying time, median difference (95% confidence interval) 2.6 (−5.9, 13.9) minutes. The WGTT was significantly faster (p<0.05) in group TF at both 3 and 6 weeks; median difference −13 (−47, −0.1) and −12.5 (−44, −0.5) hours, respectively.

Conclusions—Trophic feeding enhances whole gut motility but not gastric emptying. This effect could subsequently improve milk tolerance in sick preterm infants.
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Neonatologists recognise the importance of providing optimal nutrition to sick preterm infants but differ in their choice of method to best meet this difficult objective. A standard practice is to provide all nutritional requirements parenterally until the infant is well enough to be fed milk. Parenteral nutrition provides a secure source of nutrition, independent of gut function, and may reduce the incidence of necrotising enterocolitis, but is associated with several complications and drawbacks. Prolonged parenteral nutrition and enteral starvation has a deleterious effect on gut growth and development. Trophic feeding—minimal enteral feeding, gut priming, early hypocaloric feeding—is used to try and overcome this problem. The practice involves feeding small volumes of milk that are nutritionally insignificant but are thought to be of benefit to the developing gut. Typical volumes are 1 to 24 ml daily/kg/body weight.

Several small randomised studies suggest that trophic feeding improves subsequent tolerance of milk feeding. Evidence from manometric measurements has shown that trophic feeding results in earlier development of mature fasting proximal intestinal motility patterns. The effect of trophic feeding on gastric emptying or more distal intestinal motility has not been studied.

We performed a study consisting of two parts to determine the response of later gastric emptying (part 1) and whole gut motility, measured by timing the whole gut transit of a liquid marker (part 2), to trophic feeding of sick preterm infants.

Methods

Consecutive preterm infants were recruited and studied on the regional neonatal intensive care unit, St James’s University Hospital, Leeds. The routine policy on this unit was for all infants with respiratory distress syndrome of prematurity to receive total parenteral nutrition until ventilatory support was stopped, at which time milk feeding was introduced.

On day 3 of life, infants who satisfied all the following criteria were recruited into both parts of the study: gestation less than 37 completed weeks; birthweight less than 1750 g; respiratory distress syndrome requiring ventilatory support beyond the second day; and in receipt of no enteral feeding. Infants were excluded if they had clinically significant congenital gastrointestinal pathology, a lethal congenital malformation, or if they were receiving drug treatment known to interfere directly with gastric or intestinal motility. Treatment with caffeine was not an exclusion criterion as its use was routine to prevent apnoea of prematurity. Infants left the study if they were transferred to another hospital for further medical care. Data obtained before discharge were included for analysis.

Using data from previous relevant studies, the number of infants required to demonstrate a 10 minute difference in gastric emptying with 90% power was estimated at 40. Similarly, using previous study data, 30 infants was estimated as being required to demonstrate a 5 hour difference in the whole gut transit time (WGTT).

Informed parental consent was obtained before each infant was recruited to the study. The study was approved by the local research ethics committee.
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FEEDING SCHEDULE
Trophic feeding in group TF was started on day 3 of life at the rate of 0.5 ml/hour if birth-weight was less than 1 kg, or 1 ml/hour if birth-weight was equal or greater than 1 kg. Expressed breast milk (EBM) or preterm formula milk (Nutriprem; Cow & Gate Nutricia) was given according to maternal choice. If EBM was unavailable preterm formula was given. All milk was administered as hourly bolus feeds through a 5 or 6 French gauge silastic nasogastric tube (Vygon (UK) Ltd.). Trophic feeding was continued at the same rate until intermittent positive pressure ventilatory support stopped. Feeding was omitted while infants received continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) support because of the possible increased risk of milk aspiration. Once ventilatory support was no longer required nutritive milk feeds were introduced and increased until full enteral feeding was established. The rate of increase was determined by feed tolerance and was at the discretion of the attending nurse, but was generally 3–4 hourly.

The regimen of parenteral nutrition used for both groups was the same and consisted of a solution of amino acids (Vaminolact, Pharmacia-Upjohn), 10% dextrose, electrolytes, trace minerals and water soluble vitamins, plus a fat emulsion Intralipid 20% (Pharmacia-Upjohn) with added fat soluble vitamins. Parenteral nutrition was started on day 2 of life and the content was incrementally increased to a maximum on day 7. The rate of increase and total fluid intake for both groups was matched and included that contributed by trophic feeding.

No attempt was made to blind carers to infant group allocation. It was considered both impractical and unethical to pass nasogastric feeding tubes and give sham milk feeds to infants in group C.

PART 1: ASSESSMENT OF GASTRIC EMPTYING
Gastric emptying was assessed within 24 hours of the first occasion that each infant received a milk intake equal to or exceeding 90 ml/kg/day (at least a 4 ml/kg hourly bolus feed). Gastric emptying was assessed ultrasonically by serially measuring the change in the gastric antral cross sectional area (ACSA) following a feed.\(^\text{i}\) ACSA was measured by obtaining real time, ultrasound, cross sectional images of the gastric antrum with the infant lying in the right lateral position. Vertebral bodies, the aorta, and the superior mesenteric artery were used as constant anatomical landmarks to ensure the same cross sectional plane of the gastric antrum was measured on each occasion. Images were obtained using the Hewlett Packard Ultrasound Imaging System, model HP Sonos 100, with a 7.5 MHz probe. Software available on the machine allowed images to be frozen on the screen, the gastric antrum cross sectional circumference to be marked with a steeerable cursor, and the calculation of the ACSA.

Before each study the stomach contents were aspirated up the nasogastric tube. The ACSA was measured before the test feed. A bolus feed of 4 ml/kg of whichever milk the infant was receiving was given over a period of 10 minutes. Immediately the feed was completed the ACSA was remeasured. ACSA was subsequently measured at 10 minute intervals until 30 minutes had elapsed, and then at 15 minute intervals until 2 hours after the feed, or the pre-feed value was reached. Fifty per cent AACSAs, defined as the time taken for the ACSA to decrease by half of the maximum change seen during gastric filling, was calculated. This value provides a simple, single measurement of gastric emptying allowing subsequent infant comparison.\(^\text{ii}\)

PART 2: ASSESSMENT OF WHOLE GUT TRANSIT TIME
WGTT was measured by timing the transit of the marker carmine red through the gut. Carmine red is an inert non-absorbed dye, which is bright red in colour and readily identifiable in neonatal stools.\(^\text{iii}\) WGGT was assessed in each infant on two occasions, at 3 weeks and at 6 weeks of postnatal age. Carmine red (125 mg) was syringed over 1 minute down the nasogastric tube. Carmine red was visible in the stool within 2 hours and the presence of carmine red was confirmed by an investigator (RM) and the time elapsed since administration recorded. WGTT was defined as the time between carmine red administration and its first appearance in the stool.

Carmine red was always given at about 3 pm to minimise any effect of circadian rhythm. In infants receiving enteral feeds carmine red was given at the mid point between feeds. Nappy change frequency was at the discretion of the attending nurse, but was generally 3–4 hourly. Stool inspection was continued for a maximum of three weeks. If no carmine red was seen by this time then it was felt likely that passage had not occurred.
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significant adverse event directly attributable to No infant was noted to have any clinically significant di...part 1, were recruited into part 2. There was no apparent difference between the two groups. Again no significant differences were noted between groups. There were no significant differences in any variable, including the CRIB prognostic score for mortality.

DATA ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was performed using the computer software program Excel 5.0 (Microsoft Corporation). The two tailed Student’s t test was used for comparison of means of variables approximating a normal distribution. For non-normal variables, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. Fisher’s exact test was used for categorical variables. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Where appropriate non-parametric tests were used. The median difference, with 95% confidence interval, was also calculated using the software Confidence Interval Analysis (BMJ Publishing Group).

Results

Fifty one infants were recruited into part 1 of the study. Seventy infants, including all 51 in part 1, were recruited into part 2. There was no apparent difference in the spectrum of complications suffered as a result of their prematurity. No infant was noted to have any clinically significant adverse event directly attributable to either parenteral or enteral feeding.

PART 1: GASTRIC EMPTYING

Twenty five infants were recruited into group TF, of whom 21 were assessed. Twenty six infants were recruited into group C, of whom 21 were assessed. Nine infants died before becoming eligible for study. The baseline characteristics of the two groups are summarised in table 1. No significant difference was noted in any variable, including the CRIB prognostic score for mortality.

Trophic feeding of infants in group TF was generally well tolerated but had to be omitted or reduced on 166 days out of a possible 457. Feeds were omitted because of the need for CPAP (97 days), abdominal distension (12), recurrent apnoea (12), non-absorbence of milk (15) or worsening illness (32). The median weekly energy intake was higher in group TF (756 kcal/kg/week compared with 707 kcal/kg/week).

Infants tolerated measurement of gastric emptying well. No ill effects from the procedure were noted. The median gastric emptying curve for each group is shown in fig 1. The median (interquartile range) 50% ΔACSA for group TF was 24.7 (17.5–50.8) minutes. This was not significantly different from that measured for group C, 23.4 (16.4–35.1) minutes (p=0.6), median difference (95% confidence interval) was 2.6 (–5.9, 13.9) minutes.

PART 2: WHOLE GUT TRANSIT TIME

Thirty three infants were randomised into group TF and 37 into group C. Twenty six infants and 31 infants were studied at three weeks, respectively. Thirteen infants died before study. A further 25 infants were transferred before the second study at six weeks, resulting in 15 infants from group TF and 17 infants from group C being assessed at this time. No ill effects from administration of carmine red were noted. The baseline characteristics of the two groups at 3 weeks of age are summarised in table 2. Again no significant differences were noted between groups. There remained no significant differences at 6 weeks of age (data not shown).

Trophic feeding of infants in group TF had to be omitted or reduced on 304 days out of a possible 526. Feeds were omitted because of the need for CPAP (201 days), abdominal distension (20), recurrent apnoea (26), non-absorbence of milk (47) or worsening illness (20). The median weekly energy intake was higher in group TF during each week before study, but this difference was again only

---

Table 1  Characteristics of infants at time of initial assessment for parts 1 and 2 of study: median (interquartile range)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Part 1 of study</th>
<th>Part 2 of study</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Part 1 of study</th>
<th>Part 2 of study</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:Female ratio</td>
<td>1:1.1</td>
<td>1:1.3</td>
<td>*1</td>
<td>1:1.8</td>
<td>1:1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation (weeks)</td>
<td>29(28, 30)</td>
<td>29(27, 30)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>28(26, 26.9)</td>
<td>29(26, 29.5)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight (kg)</td>
<td>1.18(0.97, 1.39)</td>
<td>1.13(0.86, 1.48)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.04(0.9, 1.37)</td>
<td>1.08(1.3, 1.35)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight ratio</td>
<td>0.86(0.71, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.89(0.81, 1.06)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.86(0.73, 1.01)</td>
<td>0.96(0.77, 1.16)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIB score</td>
<td>4(3, 7)</td>
<td>3(2, 4)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4(3, 7)</td>
<td>4(2, 5)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenstrual age (weeks)</td>
<td>32.9(31.7, 33.9)</td>
<td>32.7(31.9, 34.3)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>32(30, 33)</td>
<td>31(29, 32.5)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal age (days)</td>
<td>27(20, 34)</td>
<td>26(14, 37)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight at study (kg)</td>
<td>1.31(1.15, 1.62)</td>
<td>1.49(1.16, 1.67)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4(1.2, 1.63)</td>
<td>1.52(0.95, 1.68)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed amount (ml)</td>
<td>9(4, 7)</td>
<td>9(4, 6)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9(7, 10)</td>
<td>8(7, 10)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days to full milk feeds</td>
<td>26(23, 31)</td>
<td>31(16, 40)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>29(22, 40)</td>
<td>34(26, 48)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal dexamethasone (doses)</td>
<td>1.5(1, 2)</td>
<td>2(0, 2)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1(0, 2)</td>
<td>2(0, 2)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal dexamethasone (days)</td>
<td>14(0, 26, 5)</td>
<td>5(0, 31)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>14(0, 21)</td>
<td>21(0, 45)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast milk:preterm formula milk ratio (majority feed)</td>
<td>1:1.1</td>
<td>1:1.63</td>
<td>0.8*</td>
<td>1:0.8</td>
<td>1:1.2</td>
<td>0.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fisher’s exact test

---

Figure 1  Median postprandial decrease in ACSA as a percentage of maximum postprandial value.
Trophic feeding enhances the development of gastrointestinal motility.20 21 Our study showed no effect on motility of the developing intestine. No difference in WGTT was demonstrated, except for the third week (749 kcal/kg/week compared with 700 kcal/kg/week).

A comparison of WGTTs measured in each group is shown in fig 2. The median (interquartile range) WGTT for group TF at 3 weeks was 32 (21, 61.5) hours. This was significantly less than the 49 (26, 218) hours for group C, median difference (95% confidence interval) -13 (-47, -0.1) hours, p=0.04.

For both groups the median WGTT at 6 weeks was less than that at 3 weeks. Median WGTT at 6 weeks was 21 (17, 42.5) hours which was again significantly less than for group C, 33 (23.8, 106.5) hours, median difference -12.5 (-44, -0.5) hours, p=0.04.

Discussion
Trophic feeding enhances the development of motility patterns at the gastroduodenal junction.11 12 Our study shows, for the first time, that whole gut transit is more rapid in infants receiving trophic feeding.

The technique of using carmine red to measure WGTT is simple but relatively crude and has a wide variability.16-17 Despite this, a large difference in WGTT was demonstrated, emphasizing the effect of trophic feeding on intestinal motility.

The previous mean WGTT measured by Ernst et al, using carmine red in preterm infants, was 30 hours,16 longer than that found in term infants.7 38 In our study transit times at 6 weeks and particularly those recorded at 3 weeks were longer than measured in the above studies. The discrepancy may be due to the healthier status of the previously studied infants. All this data taken together suggest that WGTT decreases with increasing postmenstrual age, a notion supported by previous data on the development of gastrointestinal motility.20 21

Several studies have shown that subsequent milk tolerance is improved by trophic feeding.7-11 Our study showed no effect on gastric emptying. Enhancement of intestinal motility seems to be solely responsible for the improved tolerance.

It is perhaps surprising that gastric emptying was unaffected while trophic feeding had a significant influence on intestinal motility. The ultrasound technique used for assessing gastric emptying has been used successfully before to detect a difference in the emptying of comparable feed volumes of EBM and formula milk in preterm infants.14 It is unlikely, therefore, that the small feed volumes used prevented detection of an effect. However, gastric emptying could only be assessed once infants were tolerating 90 ml/kg/day of milk. Unfortunately, no alternative technique has been shown to be able to measure smaller volumes. Any advantage from trophic feeding might no longer be evident once infants tolerate this much milk.

Postprandial motor activity is thought to be non-propulsive, and fasting motor activity, characterised by the migrating motor complex, is responsible for aboral movement of intestinal luminal contents.22 "Trophic feeding, therefore, is likely to accelerate intestinal transit by enhancing the migrating motor complex. The mechanisms by which trophic feeding exerts its influence are unknown. Trophic feeding is responsible for surges in the plasma concentration of several enteric hormones and peptides which are known to alter gut motility: motilin, gastrin, neurotensin, and peptide YY.23 Trophic feeding may cause stimulation of the enteric nervous system, either directly via neuroreceptors or indirectly via hormone release. In the preterm intestine, trophic feeding can directly stimulate motor activity, independent of hormonal responses.24

Other factors known to alter fasting intestinal motor activity include antenatal steroid exposure, illness, dietary fibre, drugs, bacterial volume, metabolites and endotoxins, nutrient absorption, dietary osmotic load and bile salts. Most of these seem to be controlled for but it is possible that trophic feeding influenced several of these.

It was surprising that a difference still existed between groups at 6 weeks of postnatal age. Almost all infants could tolerate full milk feeds by 6 weeks and median postnatal age at 6 weeks was 34 weeks for each group. Development of the fasting migrating motor complex is incomplete at this postnatal age.20 21 Despite both groups tolerating full feeds, the effect on motility development due to trophic feeding was still evident at this age. A possible explanation is that motilin is still significantly increased up to 3 weeks in ill VLBW infants fed enterally as opposed to total parenteral nutrition.25

In this study the volumes of trophic feeding were small and many infants, reflecting their clinical instability, received less milk than intended. This suggests that the gut is very sensitive to the presence of milk. Although direct comparison was not made, EBM and formula milk in this study seemed to have similar effects on WGTT. This suggests that the component(s) responsible for altering motility are present in both.

Trophic feeding can have a significant effect on motility of the developing intestine. No adverse effects were attributable to trophic feeding. These data support the use of trophic

---

**Figure 2** Individual whole gut transit time at 3 and 6 weeks postnatal age. Broad horizontal bars represent interquartile ranges; narrow horizontal bars represent median WGTT at 6 weeks was 21 (17, 42.5) hours. Almost all infants could tolerate full milk feeds by 6 weeks and median postnatal age at 6 weeks was 34 weeks for each group. Development of the fasting migrating motor complex is incomplete at this postnatal age.20 21 Despite both groups tolerating full feeds, the effect on motility development due to trophic feeding was still evident at this age. A possible explanation is that motilin is still significantly increased up to 3 weeks in ill VLBW infants fed enterally as opposed to total parenteral nutrition.25

It is perhaps surprising that gastric emptying was unaffected while trophic feeding had a significant influence on intestinal motility. The ultrasound technique used for assessing gastric emptying has been used successfully before to detect a difference in the emptying of comparable feed volumes of EBM and formula milk in preterm infants.14 It is unlikely, therefore, that the small feed volumes used prevented detection of an effect. However, gastric emptying could only be assessed once infants were tolerating 90 ml/kg/day of milk. Unfortunately, no alternative technique has been shown to be able to measure smaller volumes. Any advantage from trophic feeding might no longer be evident once infants tolerate this much milk.

Postprandial motor activity is thought to be non-propulsive, and fasting motor activity, characterised by the migrating motor complex, is responsible for aboral movement of intestinal luminal contents.22 "Trophic feeding, therefore, is likely to accelerate intestinal transit by enhancing the migrating motor complex. The mechanisms by which trophic feeding exerts its influence are unknown. Trophic feeding is responsible for surges in the plasma concentration of several enteric hormones and peptides which are known to alter gut motility: motilin, gastrin, neurotensin, and peptide YY.23 Trophic feeding may cause stimulation of the enteric nervous system, either directly via neuroreceptors or indirectly via hormone release. In the preterm intestine, trophic feeding can directly stimulate motor activity, independent of hormonal responses.24

Other factors known to alter fasting intestinal motor activity include antenatal steroid exposure, illness, dietary fibre, drugs, bacterial volume, metabolites and endotoxins, nutrient absorption, dietary osmotic load and bile salts. Most of these seem to be controlled for but it is possible that trophic feeding influenced several of these.

It was surprising that a difference still existed between groups at 6 weeks of postnatal age. Almost all infants could tolerate full milk feeds by 6 weeks and median postnatal age at 6 weeks was 34 weeks for each group. Development of the fasting migrating motor complex is incomplete at this postnatal age.20 21 Despite both groups tolerating full feeds, the effect on motility development due to trophic feeding was still evident at this age. A possible explanation is that motilin is still significantly increased up to 3 weeks in ill VLBW infants fed enterally as opposed to total parenteral nutrition.25

In this study the volumes of trophic feeding were small and many infants, reflecting their clinical instability, received less milk than intended. This suggests that the gut is very sensitive to the presence of milk. Although direct comparison was not made, EBM and formula milk in this study seemed to have similar effects on WGTT. This suggests that the component(s) responsible for altering motility are present in both.

Trophic feeding can have a significant effect on motility of the developing intestine. No adverse effects were attributable to trophic feeding. These data support the use of trophic
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**Figure 2** Individual whole gut transit time at 3 and 6 weeks postnatal age. Broad horizontal bars represent interquartile ranges; narrow horizontal bars represent median WGTT at 6 weeks was 21 (17, 42.5) hours. Almost all infants could tolerate full milk feeds by 6 weeks and median postnatal age at 6 weeks was 34 weeks for each group. Development of the fasting migrating motor complex is incomplete at this postnatal age.20 21 Despite both groups tolerating full feeds, the effect on motility development due to trophic feeding was still evident at this age. A possible explanation is that motilin is still significantly increased up to 3 weeks in ill VLBW infants fed enterally as opposed to total parenteral nutrition.25

It is perhaps surprising that gastric emptying was unaffected while trophic feeding had a significant influence on intestinal motility. The ultrasound technique used for assessing gastric emptying has been used successfully before to detect a difference in the emptying of comparable feed volumes of EBM and formula milk in preterm infants.14 It is unlikely, therefore, that the small feed volumes used prevented detection of an effect. However, gastric emptying could only be assessed once infants were tolerating 90 ml/kg/day of milk. Unfortunately, no alternative technique has been shown to be able to measure smaller volumes. Any advantage from trophic feeding might no longer be evident once infants tolerate this much milk.

Postprandial motor activity is thought to be non-propulsive, and fasting motor activity, characterised by the migrating motor complex, is responsible for aboral movement of intestinal luminal contents.22 "Trophic feeding, therefore, is likely to accelerate intestinal transit by enhancing the migrating motor complex. The mechanisms by which trophic feeding exerts its influence are unknown. Trophic feeding is responsible for surges in the plasma concentration of several enteric hormones and peptides which are known to alter gut motility: motilin, gastrin, neurotensin, and peptide YY.23 Trophic feeding may cause stimulation of the enteric nervous system, either directly via neuroreceptors or indirectly via hormone release. In the preterm intestine, trophic feeding can directly stimulate motor activity, independent of hormonal responses.24

Other factors known to alter fasting intestinal motor activity include antenatal steroid exposure, illness, dietary fibre, drugs, bacterial volume, metabolites and endotoxins, nutrient absorption, dietary osmotic load and bile salts. Most of these seem to be controlled for but it is possible that trophic feeding influenced several of these.

It was surprising that a difference still existed between groups at 6 weeks of postnatal age. Almost all infants could tolerate full milk feeds by 6 weeks and median postnatal age at 6 weeks was 34 weeks for each group. Development of the fasting migrating motor complex is incomplete at this postnatal age.20 21 Despite both groups tolerating full feeds, the effect on motility development due to trophic feeding was still evident at this age. A possible explanation is that motilin is still significantly increased up to 3 weeks in ill VLBW infants fed enterally as opposed to total parenteral nutrition.25

In this study the volumes of trophic feeding were small and many infants, reflecting their clinical instability, received less milk than intended. This suggests that the gut is very sensitive to the presence of milk. Although direct comparison was not made, EBM and formula milk in this study seemed to have similar effects on WGTT. This suggests that the component(s) responsible for altering motility are present in both.

Trophic feeding can have a significant effect on motility of the developing intestine. No adverse effects were attributable to trophic feeding. These data support the use of trophic
feeding in the critically ill preterm infant receiving parenteral nutrition.

This work was generously supported by a grant from the Nutrition Research Foundation. We are indebted to the staff members of the regional neonatal intensive care unit at St James’s University Hospital, Leeds for their help and cooperation.

Randomised controlled trial of trophic feeding and gut motility

R J McClure and S J Newell

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 1999 80: F54-F58
doi: 10.1136/fn.80.1.F54

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://fn.bmj.com/content/80/1/F54

These include:

References
This article cites 24 articles, 6 of which you can access for free at:
http://fn.bmj.com/content/80/1/F54#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- Childhood nutrition (297)
- Childhood nutrition (paediatrics) (125)
- Child health (1515)
- Infant health (857)
- Infant nutrition (including breastfeeding) (241)
- Neonatal health (928)
- Clinical diagnostic tests (720)
- Clinical trials (epidemiology) (252)
- Radiology (692)
- Radiology (diagnostics) (637)
- Reproductive medicine (1433)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/