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Abstract

Aims—To compare the visual function of a cohort of very low birthweight (VLBW) children in early adolescence with that of their normal birthweight peers; to correlate visual impairment in this group with available perinatal data; and to examine the relation between the visual ability of VLBW children and their cognitive and motor skills.

Methods—As part of a long term neurodevelopmental study, 137 VLBW children and 163 normal birthweight controls were visually assessed between the ages of 11 and 13 years. Their eyes were examined for strabismus and movement disorders, and the use of visual correction for refractive errors was noted. Measures were made of visual acuity, stereopsis, and contrast sensitivity. All children had standardised tests of motor ability and cognitive skills. Perinatal data, including cranial ultrasonography results, had been obtained from the children’s notes. No data were available however, regarding retinopathy of prematurity as screening was not established when these infants were born.

Results—On all measures, the visual function of the VLBW children was poorer than that of the controls. Reduced visual function was present in 63.8% of VLBW children compared with 36% of controls. Poor contrast sensitivity and strabismus were predictive of poor motor skills in the VLBW children. Poor contrast sensitivity and poor visual acuity (at 0.3 metres) were predictive of lower IQ. Low birthweight, intraventricular haemorrhage, intraventricular leucomalacia (PVL) have also been associated with ocular morbidity. In increased ambient light within the neonatal unit and phototherapy have also been proposed as possible adverse factors in retinal development.

In the worst instances blindness may occur secondary to cicatricial ROP or intracerebral haemorrhage affecting the visual centres. Less severe visual impairments, including reduced visual acuity, refractive errors, strabismus, and nystagmus have been found in larger numbers of VLBW children than in normal birthweight children. The reported incidence of these visual impairments varies from 15-33% depending on the visual measures used, the age at testing, and the entry criteria.

More recently, a few reports have noted the presence of more subtle impairments of visual function among VLBW survivors of neonatal intensive care. These have included abnormalities of colour vision, stereo-acuity, and contrast sensitivity. These abnormalities may be present even in those children with otherwise apparently normal visual function. These studies have therefore found a higher incidence of overall visual impairment of 45-59%. It is not clear from these studies whether these subtle impairments are related to adverse perinatal events, although one study of more mature infants implicated high levels of illumination in the nursery to impaired contrast sensitivity. Nor is it clear whether such subtle abnormalities are relevant to neurodevelopmental outcome.

This study examines the ocular morbidity and visual function, at 11-13 years, of a large cohort of VLBW children, for whom detailed perinatal data including cranial ultrasonographic studies were available.

Methods

The population studied was derived from two hospital based cohorts of VLBW children treated at the Mersey regional neonatal unit. Both groups have already been part of separate neurodevelopmental follow up studies, and have been combined in the present study to increase the numbers for analysis. The first group were children with a birthweight of <1251 g were born between January 1980 and June 1981 inclusive. These children had been
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had been seen at 6 years of age.21

A normal birthweight control population was recruited, at the time of the initial studies, from classmates of the same sex and similar age to the VLBW children. This was found at the time to provide close matches for socioeconomic as well as educational variables. These original controls were also traced and, where possible, used for the present study. As the overall study also looked at educational and cognitive outcome, VLBW children who were now at different schools from their controls, had a new control selected from their present school to match for educational experience. Overall, 160 control children were assessed, of which 100 were original controls.

Informed written consent was obtained from the parents of all the children involved in the study. The children were seen and assessed in their schools. Further information was also sought from the parents in the form of a health questionnaire.

All assessments were made with the children wearing their usual visual correction, where appropriate. It was not possible within the scope of this study to refract the children to assess the appropriateness of their prescription.

Monocular visual acuity was assessed in each eye using Snellen charts at 6 metres and 0.3 metres. Also noted was whether the glasses worn were for correction of myopia or hypermetropia. Acuity was considered abnormal if either eye had an acuity of 6/12 or worse.

Cover testing was performed for the detection of strabismus. When present, the side and nature of the strabismus were noted. Examination for ocular movements was also performed.

Stereopsis was assessed using the TNO random dot test.2 The test pictures are viewed through red/green lenses worn over the children’s glasses where appropriate. The test identifies the level of stereo-acuity between 30 and 480 seconds of arc. Normal stereo acuity on this test is defined as a resolution of 60 seconds of arc or better, and reduced stereo acuity as a resolution of 90 to 480 seconds of arc.

Contrast sensitivity was tested at 18 inches using the Functional Acuity Contrast Test (FACT).23 The contrast threshold for each eye is tested at five spatial frequencies: 1.5, 3, 6, 12 and 18 cycles per degree (CPD). The contrast threshold is considered abnormal if it is higher than the 95th centile of the population on which the test was standardised.

Gross and fine motor skills were assessed using age band 4 of the Movement Assessment Battery for Children (Movement ABC).24 As this test is used to identify motor impairment, higher scores represent poorer performance. A score of 13.5 or greater out of a possible total of 40 corresponds to the performance of the least able 5% of the population, thus it is considered to represent clinically significant motor impairment.

The children’s IQ was measured using a short form of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC III).25 This provides subscale measures of the verbal and performance elements of IQ as well as an overall, or full scale IQ.

The following perinatal variables had been recorded for the VLBW children and were used to examine the relation between adverse perinatal factors and visual impairment: Birthweight (kg); gestational age at birth (weeks); small for gestational age (>2 SD below mean for gestation)26; extremely low birthweight (ELBW <1000 g); fetal distress before or during labour; low Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes (<=2 and <=5, respectively); fits; intraventricular haemorrhage (excluding subependymal haemorrhage); cystic periventricular leukomalacia; confirmed episodes of sepsis; respiratory distress syndrome (defined using clinical and radiological criteria).

The results were analysed using SPSS for Windows. The numbers of children were analysed using χ² tests, and to predict visual impairment from perinatal data multiple logistic regression models were used.

Results

A total of 137 VLBW children were visually assessed. They had a median birthweight of 1100 g (range 620-1500 g) and a mean gestation of 28 weeks (range 24-35 weeks). All children had been admitted to the Mersey Regional Neonatal Unit and 84 children had required mechanical ventilation. One hundred and sixty three control children were also visually assessed, although three did not have contrast sensitivity measured due to unavailability of the chart on the days of their assessments. Health questionnaires were received from 130 (95%) of the VLBW children’s parents and from 150 (94%) of the control children’s parents. Median age (range) at assessment was 142 months (132-163) for the VLBW children and 143 months (130-164) for the controls.

The VLBW children had poorer vision than their normal birthweight controls. The questionnaire revealed that 49 of 130 (38%) VLBW children had failed an eye test at some point in their lives in contrast with 35 of 150 (23%) of the controls (χ²=6.84, P=0.009). More of the VLBW children, 41 of 130 (31%), had worn glasses at some point compared with 29 of 150 (19%) normal birthweight children (χ²= 5.53, P=0.02). Of the children still wearing glasses for refractive errors at the time of examination, there were more VLBW children than controls wearing correction for myopia. There were no differences in the number of children in each
Table 1  Prevalence in numbers (%) of visual impairments in VLBW children and normal birthweight controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VLBW (n=137)</th>
<th>Controls (n=163)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal visual acuity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 m</td>
<td>23 (17)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3 m</td>
<td>19 (14)</td>
<td>10 (6)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strabismus</td>
<td>13 (9.5)</td>
<td>4 (2.5)</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectacle wear</td>
<td>31 (23)</td>
<td>21 (13)</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>20 (15)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermetropia</td>
<td>11 (8)</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereopsis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>50 (36)</td>
<td>24 (15)</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>27 (20)</td>
<td>9 (5.5)</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor contrast sensitivity at spatial frequency:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 1.5 CPD</td>
<td>23 (17)</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 3 CPD</td>
<td>25 (18)</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 6 CPD</td>
<td>44 (32)</td>
<td>19 (12)</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 12 CPD</td>
<td>51 (37)</td>
<td>20 (12.5)</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 18 CPD</td>
<td>62 (45)</td>
<td>29 (16)</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 6 m</td>
<td>12/23 (56%)</td>
<td>23/112 (20%)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 0.3 m</td>
<td>10/19 (53%)</td>
<td>26/117 (22%)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squint</td>
<td>8/13 (61%)</td>
<td>27/122 (22%)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive error</td>
<td>14/31 (45%)</td>
<td>22/103 (21%)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereopsis</td>
<td>20 (14%)</td>
<td>15/85 (18%)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Prevalence of impaired motor performance, as assessed by the Movement ABC, in VLBW children with impaired visual function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Impaired</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acuity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 6 m</td>
<td>12/23 (56%)</td>
<td>23/112 (20%)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 0.3 m</td>
<td>10/19 (53%)</td>
<td>26/117 (22%)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squint</td>
<td>8/13 (61%)</td>
<td>27/122 (22%)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive error</td>
<td>14/31 (45%)</td>
<td>22/103 (21%)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereopsis</td>
<td>20 (14%)</td>
<td>15/85 (18%)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contrast sensitivity (CPD=cycles per degree):

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>at 1.5 CPD</td>
<td>9/21 (43%)</td>
<td>27/114 (24%)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 3 CPD</td>
<td>10/23 (43%)</td>
<td>26/112 (23%)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 6 CPD</td>
<td>21/40 (52%)</td>
<td>15/95 (16%)</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 12 CPD</td>
<td>21/44 (48%)</td>
<td>15/91 (16%)</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 18 CPD</td>
<td>24/50 (48%)</td>
<td>12/85 (14%)</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

group wearing correction for hypermetropia (table 1).

Examination showed that there was a higher incidence of strabismus among the VLBW children (table 1). Thirteen VLBW children had strabismus, of these most were esotropic, three right-sided and eight left-sided. Two children had alternating strabismus. Among the controls only four children had strabismus. Three children were esotropic, two left-sided and one right. The remaining child was exotropic. Only one VLBW child and no controls were found to exhibit nystagmus.

Visual acuity, both distant (6 metres) and near (0.3 metres), was poorer in the VLBW children than in their controls, despite the children wearing their usual corrective lenses. One VLBW child was blind in one eye (visual acuity worse than 6/60). Overall, there were more VLBW children than controls with impaired visual acuity (6/12 or worse) for both measures.

Table 3  Prediction of visual morbidity from perinatal data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual impairment</th>
<th>Perinatal factor</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity &lt;6/9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 6 m</td>
<td>Birthweight (kg)</td>
<td>−20.1 (−1.8 to −22.1)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at 0.3 m</td>
<td>No predictive variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor contrast sensitivity at any spatial frequency</td>
<td>Birthweight (kg)</td>
<td>−6.7 (−1.1 to −4.0)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>Birthweight (kg)</td>
<td>−9.9 (−3.3 to −28.0)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>5.4 (1.3 to 22.8)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVH</td>
<td>4.6 (1.1 to 19.3)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermetropia</td>
<td>No predictive variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squint</td>
<td>IVH</td>
<td>5.1 (1.2 to 21.5)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent stereopsis</td>
<td>Apgar score (1 minute)</td>
<td>−1.3 (−1.1 to −1.16)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SGA: Small for gestational age; IVH: intraventricular haemorrhage.
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Variables relating to other neonatal diseases: sepsis; respiratory distress syndrome (RDS). It should be noted that screening for ROP had not been established in this unit during this period. Poor visual acuity and abnormal contrast sensitivity were both significantly predicted by low birthweight alone. The presence of myopia was predicted by low birthweight, low weight for gestation (SGA), and by intraventricular haemorrhages. Strabismus was predicted by intraventricular haemorrhage alone. Absent stereopsis was predicted by low 1 minute Apgar score. The odds ratios and significance values are shown in table 3. No predictive variables were found for hypermetropia or for visual acuity at 0.3 metres, but the numbers of children with these problems were small.

Discussion

Abnormalities of visual function were detected more frequently among the VLBW children than their controls for all measures used. In the VLBW group 33% had abnormalities that could be detected by standard visual screening measures—acuity testing, cover testing, and refraction. This incidence is similar to that reported by Keith and Kitchen. Comparison of the overall incidence of visual impairment with the findings of other studies is, however, problematic. There are major differences between the various studies of visual morbidity in VLBW children, both in the range of measures used and in the entry criteria, with some studies including children with major neurological handicap. Gibson et al. found a much lower incidence (15%), but their study was conducted on infants under 12 months old, and this may be too early to detect some abnormalities of visual function which may develop subsequently, including myopia and strabismus.

Individual tests are easier to compare. We found reduced monocular visual acuity (6/12 or worse) in 17% of the VLBW group. This is higher than in the studies of McGinnity et al. and Gibson et al. (10.5%, 9.5%), however both these studies reported binocular visual acuity which may account for the lower incidence. In keeping with the study by Sebris et al., we found that even in the children with acuities in the normal range, the VLBW children had significantly lower acuities.

Strabismus was detected in 9.5% of our VLBW group. Previous studies have reported an incidence of 9.9-25%, with a higher incidence in children who had ROP. Our figures are at the lower end of this range and there may be a number of reasons for this. Firstly, our study excluded children with cerebral palsy, a group with a high incidence of strabismus. Secondly, the older age at examination may have missed some squints treated at an earlier age, and this may be reflected in the higher incidence of reduced or absent stereopsis.

Visual correction for myopia was worn by 15% of our VLBW group, which is comparable with that found in previous studies that have reported an incidence of myopia of 10-27%, with a higher incidence in the subgroup who had ROP. As our study relied on the numbers of children wearing visual correction, there may have been a number of children in our study with undetected refractive errors.

Among the children who would have passed these standard screening tests, we found a further 30% of the group to have reduced visual function on the more subtle tests, stereopsis, and contrast sensitivity. This finding is in keeping with that of Dowdeswell et al., who found a significant reduction in contrast sensitivity and stereopsis along with abnormalities of colour vision among premature children of less than 32 weeks gestation. When we include the outcome of these tests, we found 63.5% of the VLBW group had measurable abnormalities of visual function compared with 36% of controls.

The VLBW children in our cohort had a higher incidence of neurodevelopmental impairments, both in motor and cognitive areas (unpublished data). In this study we have demonstrated strong links between these abnormal outcomes and reduced visual function, particularly in relation to motor skills, IQ, maths and reading abilities. Associations were strongest in children with strabismus and those with reduced contrast sensitivity. This is in contrast to Dowdeswell et al., who found impaired contrast sensitivity in children without neurological impairment (or ROP), but this may reflect the more sensitive measures of neurodevelopment used in our study. There are two possible explanations for associations between visual and neurodevelopmental impairments. Firstly, both areas of disability may have a common aetiology, a consequence of neurological damage. Alternatively, poor visual function may directly affect the development of motor and cognitive skills.

Several studies have reported an association between visual impairment and major neurodevelopmental handicap in VLBW children with cerebral lesions detected by ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging—specifically intraventricular haemorrhage and cystic periventricular leucomalacia involving the parietal and occipital areas. Our study found similar links between intraventricular haemorrhage and the presence of strabismus, which in turn was associated with poor motor skills. We also found that low 1 minute Apgar scores and growth retardation were associated with absent stereopsis and myopia, respectively. Both these variables are related to fetal compromise in utero and are risk factors for ischaemic brain damage. These findings lend support to a common aetiology with motor impairment. We found no association, however, between intraventricular haemorrhage and periventricular leucomalacia and reduced contrast sensitivity, which was the most sensitive predictor of impaired motor and cognitive function in our cohort. Although this latter finding does not seem to support a common aetiology, the neurodevelopmental impairments studied in our cohort are of a more sub-
tle nature than the handicaps reported in the previous studies. They may, therefore, still be associated with more subtle lesions in the periventricular white matter not detected by the low resolution ultrasonography available in 1980-3. Magnetic resonance imaging scans have not yet been performed on our cohort.

The relatively weak association between visual impairments and neurological lesions in our group may, however, support the alternative hypothesis, that there is a direct, causative link between poor visual function and abnormalities of neurodevelopment. The age of our cohort means that at the time of their treatment as neonates there was no regular ophthalmological screening. Without data on ROP in this group, our ability to examine this hypothesis is limited. Our study does provide, however, some evidence in support of this hypothesis. Poor motor skills in our VLBW children were best predicted by reduced contrast sensitivity at the higher spatial frequencies. Abnormalities at these spatial frequencies are associated more with retinal pathologies rather than cerebral lesions, which preferentially affect contrast sensitivity at the lower spatial frequencies. Additionally, the areas of neurodevelopment most significantly predicted by lower contrast sensitivity in our study—motor skills and performance IQ—are those where visual input or visuo-spatial ability are important.

Abnormalities of visual attentiveness and visually guided behaviour have been described in children with poor contrast sensitivity. In addition, improvement of contrast sensitivity by refractive correction improved these behavioural problems, even where there was no measurable improvement in acuity. Such behavioural abnormalities may be a mechanism whereby subtle visual impairment may adversely affect the development of motor and cognitive skills.

As the VLBW children were not screened ophthalmologically while infants, we cannot determine how much of the long term abnormalities of visual function are the result of retinopathy or other ocular insults in the neonatal period. Several studies have shown a higher incidence of visual impairments in children with ROP as infants. These impairments described are similar to the types of problems seen in our cohort: reduced acuity; strabismus; and myopia. Our finding of an association between an increasing incidence of visual impairment with decreasing birthweight may relate, in part, to the inverse relation between birthweight and the incidence of ROP.

Retinopathy, however, is not solely responsible for ocular damage in the neonatal period. Very premature infants have a greater exposure to other adverse factors, some of which have been implicated in the aetiology of impaired vision. These factors include altered environmental temperature, high ambient lighting and phototherapy, impaired nutrition and prolonged oxygen dependency. Premature birth itself may adversely affect visual maturation.

The exposure of our cohort to these various adverse factors cannot be accurately assessed retrospectively. No measures of lighting levels were made at the time of our cohort’s treatment, but they will however, have been exposed to high ambient lighting day and night while in the intensive nursery and many will have received phototherapy, although standard eye patching was used. Very premature infants are inevitably exposed to impaired nutrition; total parental nutrition was used frequently among the very immature in our cohort, although lipid was used less frequently. For those able to take enteral nutrition, breast milk will have been used in most cases as there was a breast milk bank operating at the time. No data on the presence of bronchopulmonary dysfuntion were available, and we failed to find any association between impaired vision and the length of artificial ventilation or the presence of surfactant deficient lung disease in our cohort.

We feel that the tests for stereopsis and contrast sensitivity are useful additions to the standard battery of visual screening tests for VLBW children, who are at high risk of visual impairment. The use of these tests in high risk groups, such as children of very low birthweight, has been recommended elsewhere. They are easy to perform and identify a group of children whose visual function is not identified using the standard tests. They may help identify a group at risk of poor neurodevelopmental outcome and may be more useful in predicting such problems than the standard visual tests. Further work needs to be done to determine whether this association is causal and if so, to see if early intervention with refractive correction helps to improve neurodevelopmental outcome.

We acknowledge the secretarial help of Mrs S Longworth and Mrs D Bolger and the schools who gave their time and facilities for our assessments.
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