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Abstract

Aim—To examine the spectrum of neurological dysfunction and perceptual-motor difficulties at school age in a cohort of prematurely born children, and the relation of these measures to neonatal brain lesions, intelligence quotient, and behavioural adjustment.

Method—One hundred and eighty three children were tested at the age of 6 years using Touwen’s Examination of the Child with Minor Neurological Dysfunction, the Movement Assessment Battery for Children (Movement ABC), the Developmental Test of Visual-Motor Integration (VMI), British Ability Scales, and Rutter Scales.

Results—Twenty six children had definite cerebral palsy and one was blind. Of the remaining 156, the proportions falling below the 15th centile point were 31% on Touwen’s Examination, 44% on the Movement ABC, and 17% on the VMI. Forty two passed all three tests. No child with a normal ultrasound scan developed cerebral palsy, whereas nearly all those with major lesions did. Minor lesions, however, were not generally predictive of later outcome. Correlations between the tests were generally low.

Conclusions—These findings stress the need to assess neurological and perceptual motor functioning separately at school age and to monitor relationships with other aspects of development.

(Arch Dis Child 1997;76:F9–F14)
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The first study to suggest that preterm birth might affect a child’s later development was published in 1919. It was not until the 1960s, however, that more appropriate study designs, improved technology, and better measuring techniques allowed determination of clearer relations between early risk factors associated with prematurity and later outcome.

Improvements in neonatal care in the 1970s resulted in more preterm survivors. Longitudinal studies of these children initially suggested that the prevalence of cerebral palsy remained the same, but with the survival of smaller and smaller infants and longer follow up periods the picture began to change.2 Not only did the prevalence of children with clearly identifiable cerebral palsy increase but also more children were identified with subtle perceptual-motor difficulties. Although the motor difficulties are less severe than those of children with cerebral palsy, the “clumsiness” experienced by these children affects progress in school and adjustment in many.

The introduction of cranial ultrasonography in the 1980s allowed identification of brain lesions in vivo and studies of the association between these lesions and later outcome.6–16 Without exception, these studies reported that cerebral palsy is more common among preterm infants who had brain lesions than among those without. In contrast, not all studies have found a significant association between subtle neurological signs or perceptual-motor problems at a later age and the presence of early brain lesions.

We aimed to examine the extent of neurological dysfunction and perceptual-motor difficulties at 6 years of age in a cohort of highly selected preterm infants treated in a tertiary care neonatal unit, the relations between neurological and perceptual-motor measures, the associations between brain lesions detected in the neonatal period and later outcome, and the relations between the children’s performance on neurological, perceptual-motor, cognitive, and behavioural measures.

Methods

The study population comprised children born at or admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit of the Hammersmith Hospital in London between 1 January 1984 and 1 February 1986 who met the following criteria: (1) gestational age of less than 35 weeks; (2) a minimum of three cranial ultrasound scans 24 hours apart from each other; (3) no congenital abnormalities; and (4) a minimum of one examination in the follow up clinic between 40 weeks postmenstrual age and 2 years of age.

Three hundred and ninety seven babies of less than 35 weeks’ gestation were admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit, of whom 62 died during the neonatal period (16%). Among the 335 survivors were 219 who met all four inclusion criteria. When these children reached the age of 6, contact was sought with their parents/guardians. Twenty nine children (13% of eligible subjects) could not be traced, but the parents/guardians of all remaining 190 children agreed to participate in the study.

Seven children were excluded from all analyses because they had a known medical condition, or had clinical signs that suggested a condition that might interfere with their development (for example Silver-Russell syndrome, autism, severe dysmorphic features).
Table 1  Comparison between the study and reference groups on the measure; values are median (range)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurological and perceptual-motor functioning</th>
<th>Preterm</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Touwen</td>
<td>38.0 (17−46)</td>
<td>40.5 (31−46)</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement ABC</td>
<td>4.5 (0−23.5)</td>
<td>2.0 (0−26)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMI</td>
<td>8 (3−15)</td>
<td>9 (4−15)</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cognitive ability and behaviour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAS*</th>
<th>Rutter scale</th>
<th>Parents</th>
<th>Teachers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106 (12)</td>
<td>9 (2−30)</td>
<td>4 (0−21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115 (15)</td>
<td>12 (1−45)</td>
<td>4 (0−35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean (SD).

Of the 183 children remaining, 90 were boys and 93 were girls. At birth, the children weighed between 625 and 2500 g (mean 1304; SD 395). Gestational ages ranged from 25 to 34 weeks (mean 30; SD 2). At the time of testing, their mean age was 76 months (SD 3 months).

Because of the restricted age range of our cohort, we elected to use local norms for this study rather than those reported in the test manuals. For the measures of cognitive ability and behaviour the data from a sample of 215 full term children were already available in our department for comparison with the study group.

FOLLOW UP ASSESSMENT

The children were assessed using a structured neurological examination and standardised measures of perceptual-motor competence, cognitive ability, and behaviour.

Assessment of neurological functioning

Touwen’s Examination of the Child with Minor Neurological Dysfunction was administered. This examination consists of a number of items grouped under the following headings: sensorimotor apparatus, posture, balance of trunk, coordination of extremities, fine manipulative ability, (dys)kinesia, gross motor functions, quality of motility, associated movements, and the visual system. The examination was administered in full, with the exception of items assessing the visual system, which was evaluated with a more detailed examination. This left 46 items out of the 55 originally included. Optimality scores therefore range from 0 to 46 with lower scores indicating less optimal performance. According to the distribution of scores obtained by the reference sample, total scores of 36 or lower (15th centile) were considered as “borderline” and scores of 33 or lower (5th centile) as “abnormal” minor neurological impairment.

Assessment of perceptual-motor competence

Two assessments were used to provide contrasting but complementary information on perceptual-motor abilities. The first is a global test of motor competence, assessing both gross and fine motor coordination. The second test focuses on visual-motor integration, requiring a graphic response to a visual input.

Movement Assessment Battery for Children (Movement ABC)—This assessment comprises eight items which sample manual dexterity, ball skills, and balance. Raw scores on each item are converted to normative scores ranging from 0 to 5. These scores are then summed to produce a total score ranging from 0 to 40, with high scores indicating poor performance. According to the distribution of scores obtained by the reference sample, total scores of more than 5.5 (15th centile) were considered as borderline and scores of more than 10.5 (5th centile) as abnormal perceptual-motor performance.

Developmental Test of Visual-Motor Integration (VMI)—This is a paper and pencil task in which a child copies a series of geometric shapes of increasing difficulty. The standard score reflects the accuracy of the copies and can range from 0 to 19, the lower the score, the less competent the performance. According to the distribution of scores obtained by the reference sample, standard scores of 6 (15th centile) were considered as abnormal.

Table 2  Individual data of preterm children with mild to moderate cerebral palsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Touwen</th>
<th>Movement ABC</th>
<th>VMI</th>
<th>IQ</th>
<th>Rutter</th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Quadriplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Quadriplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Diplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Diplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hemi/triplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Diplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Diplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Diplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hemiplegia*</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hemiplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hemi/triplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Hemiplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Hemiplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hemiplegia</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Also visually impaired
Table 3 Number of preterm children without cerebral palsy (n=156) with abnormal, borderline, or normal scores on the Movement ABC and Touwen examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Touwen Examination</th>
<th>Movement ABC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal (n=26)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline (n=22)</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=108)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abnormal (n=30) 12 12 2
Borderline (n=39) 5 4 14
Normal (n=87) 14 23 71

Table 4 Number of preterm children without cerebral palsy (n=156) with abnormal, borderline, or normal scores on the Movement ABC and Touwen examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Touwen Examination</th>
<th>VMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal (n=15)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline (n=12)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=129)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Number of preterm children without cerebral palsy (n=156) with abnormal, borderline, or normal scores on the VMI and Movement ABC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement ABC</th>
<th>VMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal (n=30)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline (n=39)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=87)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results for the 156 preterm children without cerebral palsy were considered as borderline and 5 or lower (5th centile) as abnormal performance on this test.

Assessment of cognitive ability and behaviour

British Ability Scales (BAS)—Cognitive abilities were assessed using the short form of the BAS. This includes two verbal tests, involving naming vocabulary and the appreciation of similarities in the meanings of words, and two non-verbal tests, digit recall and matrices. The mean T score on these four scales is used to compute the short form intelligence quotient (IQ) estimate. According to the distribution of scores obtained by the reference sample, scores of 100 or lower (15th centile) were considered as abnormal or borderline and scores of 92 or lower (5th centile) as definite cognitive impairment.

Rutter Scales—The parents’ scale A consists of 31 brief statements, and parents/guardians are asked to indicate the extent to which each statement applies to their child. Items are scored on a scale of 0 to 2 and are summed to obtain a total score, with high scores indicating more behaviour problems. The teachers’ scale B consists of 26 statements and the same scoring system is used. With the use of the cut off points recommended by Rutter, children with total scores of 13 or more on the parents’ scale and 9 or more on the teachers’ scale were considered to show definite behaviour problems. No cut off point was available to determine borderline status.

DATA ANALYSES

Non-parametric (Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis) one way analysis of variance, Spearman’s correlation coefficients and parametric (one way analysis of variance) tests of significance were used to identify differences between the premature and reference groups. For those data not normally distributed median scores were calculated, otherwise mean scores are reported. Because of the large number of comparisons, the probability level was set at 0.01.

Results

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

Twenty six of the 183 children in the study group (14%) had cerebral palsy. Eleven of them and one blind child were so severely impaired that they were unable to perform any of the assessments. The remaining 15 children with cerebral palsy attempted parts of the battery.

Table 1 shows the results of the preterm group, excluding the blind child and all children with cerebral palsy, and reference groups. The differences between the groups were statistically significant on all measures, except the teachers’ ratings of the children’s behaviour on the Rutter Scales.

Details of the 15 children with mild to moderate cerebral palsy who could perform parts of the assessments are shown in table 2. As a group they differed significantly from preterm children without cerebral palsy on all measures except behaviour at home and at school.

The results for the 156 preterm children without cerebral palsy are described in tables 3 to 5, showing the overlap between two tests.

Tables 3 and 4 show that 48 of the 156 children (31%) had abnormal or borderline scores on the Touwen Examination which was associated with failure on the Movement ABC in 32 and on the VMI in 13. Of the 108 children who showed no evidence of neurological dysfunction, 37 had abnormal or borderline scores on the Movement ABC and 14 failed the VMI. Thirty nine of the 156 children (44%) had abnormal or borderline scores on the Movement ABC. A third of these failed the VMI (table 5). Forty six children (42%) passed all three tests. Spearman rank order correlations between the three tests are shown in table 10.
Fourteen children had cystic periventricular leucomalacia, subcortical leucomalacia, or a combination of these lesions. All 14 developed cerebral palsy, which was mild to moderate in three and severe in 11.

### CLINICAL ASSESSMENT IN RELATION TO COGNITIVE ABILITY AND BEHAVIOUR

Table 10 illustrates the relations between the BAS and Rutter scores for children without cerebral palsy and their performance on the Touwen examination, Movement ABC, and VMI. All three neurological and perceptual-motor tests were significantly correlated with each other, although the coefficients were generally low. The children’s scores on the Touwen Examination and the Movement ABC were unrelated to their IQ scores as measured by the BAS. In contrast, their VMI scores were correlated with IQ ($r=0.35$; $P=0.001$). Parents’ ratings on the Rutter scores were unrelated to any other score whereas teachers’ ratings related to both the Movement ABC ($r=0.27$; $P=0.004$) and VMI ($r=0.31$; $P=0.001$).

In table 11, the overlap between the tests is shown for the children whose scores fell into the abnormal range only. Of the 32% who had abnormal scores on the Touwen Examination, Movement ABC and/or VMI, half had similarly low scores on the BAS and/or Rutter Scales. In contrast, another 31% of children who fell below the 5th centile on the BAS and/or Rutter scales showed no neurological signs and passed the two perceptual-motor tests.

### Discussion

Several previous studies have shown that many preterm children without cerebral palsy show minor neurological signs and/or perceptual-motor difficulties at school age. However, none of these studies assessed both in the same population. While some authors used assessments such as Touwen’s Examination only, others evaluated perceptual-motor competence without a detailed assessment of minor neurological signs. This is the first study to employ a standardised neurological examination for major and minor signs as well as standardised perceptual-motor assessments in children born prematurely.

Our observations agree with previous studies showing a significantly higher prevalence of neurological signs at school age in preterm children compared with their peers. Some 14% of these children had clearly identifiable cerebral palsy while a further 16% fell below the 5th centile on the Touwen Examination. A similar proportion of the children without cerebral palsy had abnormal scores on the Movement ABC (19%). Although these two assessments were significantly correlated with each other, the value of this correlation was low, accounting for only 25% of the common variance. There were also children who failed on the Touwen Examination and passed on the Movement ABC and the converse. These findings suggest that, although both the Touwen Examination and the Movement ABC evaluate subtle levels of impairment, they measure...
different aspects of functioning and so both are needed to obtain an overview of a child’s difficulties. Although preterm children differed significantly from the reference group on the VMI, far fewer children fell below the 5th centile on this assessment. It is possible that this is because the scoring system of the VMI is so crude that it fails to identify minor impairment. Alternatively, there may actually be more children who can manage this very focused task.

The combination of neurological and perceptual-motor measures together with a detailed evaluation of cranial ultrasound scans was particularly useful in exploring the relationship between brain lesions and later outcome. Among children with major lesions who developed cerebral palsy but were able to complete parts of the tests, we found a wide range of neurological and perceptual-motor functioning. For example, four children with cerebral palsy passed the VMI without difficulty.

The combined assessments were also useful in showing that there is no simple relation between the presence or absence of a minor brain lesion and later outcome. As we have already reported in a group of children who showed persistent flares on neonatal ultrasonography, only a few developed cerebral palsy although the majority showed minor neurological signs and/or perceptual-motor problems. Similarly, although none of the children with minor haemorrhages developed cerebral palsy, one third showed difficulty on our assessments. We were particularly interested to note that no child with a normal scan developed cerebral palsy but many showed minor neurological signs and/or perceptual-motor problems, suggesting that the absence of an observable brain lesion in preterm infants does not guarantee a completely normal outcome.

Although the infants in our cohort with a relatively high birth weight or more advanced gestational age were the ones admitted or referred because of illness, it was still the children with the lowest birth weight and the lowest gestational age who were the most affected at 6 years of age in their neurological and perceptual-motor development. This finding is in keeping with other studies. When birth weight was considered in relation to gestational age, we found no difference between those children who were small for gestational age and the rest.

Table 9  Neonatal brain lesions in relation to outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ultrasound findings</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Cerebral palsy</th>
<th>Movement ABC</th>
<th>VMI</th>
<th>Normal on all three assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Severe Moderate A B A B A B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8 6 5 17 - 4 36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I haemorrhage</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 1 3 2 - 3 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II haemorrhage</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 - 2 - - - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flares</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 7 12 11 6 3 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I haemorrhage+flares</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 2 - 4 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II haemorrhage+flares</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2 2 3 2 - 2 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular dilatation at birth</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 2 1 2 1 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyst</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III haemorrhage</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>- 4 2 1 1 1 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarct</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>- 1 - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarct and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I haemorrhage+flares</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- 1 - - 1 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II haemorrhage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 - 1 - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I haemorrhage+flares+cyst</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVL and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II haemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II haemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II haemorrhage+flares+cyst</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVL+SCL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>15 11 26 16 30 39 15 12 55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in cells are not mutually exclusive. PVL = periventricular leucomalacia; SCL = subcortical leucomalacia.

Table 10  Spearman rank order correlation coefficients (P values) for all measures (n=156)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement ABC</th>
<th>VMI</th>
<th>BAS</th>
<th>Rutter Parents’ Scale</th>
<th>Rutter Teachers’ Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Touwen</td>
<td>-0.35 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.578)</td>
<td>-13 (0.099)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement ABC</td>
<td>-0.28 (0.0001)</td>
<td>-0.10 (0.232)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.321)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMI</td>
<td>0.35 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.265)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAS</td>
<td>0.09 (0.270)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.284)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11  Relation between definite neurological/perceptual-motor problems and definite cognitive/behaviour problems for preterm children without cerebral palsy (n=156); values are per cents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormal score on the Touwen Examination, Movement ABC, and/or VMI</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abnormal score on the BAS and/or Rutter Scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The relation between the children’s IQs and
their performance on the Touwen Examination
and the Movement ABC was negligible, suggesting
that cognitive factors had not influenced
our estimates of their neurological status
and perceptual-motor competence. In con-
trast, the correlation between IQ and VMI
was significant. Whereas the parents’ ratings
of their children’s behaviour were unrelated
to performance on the neurological and
perceptual-motor tests, teachers’ ratings
were related to the two functional tests, Movement
ABC and VMI.

From another perspective, it may be useful
to note that only 50% of the children with
abnormal neurological and/or perceptual-
motor performance in our cohort showed
additional cognitive or behaviour problems at 6
years of age. Many children identified as
crude at school age find it difficult to make
progress in school and often experience adjust-
ment problems, so it will be important to fol-
low these children for longer. In fact, in one
study, the presence of movement difficulties at
6 years was found to be the best predictor of
these children for longer. In fact, in one
plannedwhennecessary.

ence of these problems may a
major neurological impairment. As the pres-
ceptual motor di
high proportion of preterm children who
progressinschoolandoftentimesexperienceadjust-
ment problems at 6 years of age. Many children identified as
additionalcognitiveorbehaviourproblemsat6
years of age. Many children identified as
abnormal neurological and/or perceptual-
neurodevelopmental impairment at eight years. Dev Med Child Neurol 1993;35:755-68.

as our study group were sampled from a tertiary
care centre—that is, a highly skewed
population of at risk children, we expected that
their outcome would be more unfavourable
than in a population based study such as the
recently published Scottish Low Birth Weight
Study. Nevertheless, there was a surprisingly
high proportion of preterm children who
showed minor neurological signs and/or
perceptual motor difficulties in the absence of
major neurological impairment. As the pres-
ence of these problems may affect the children’s
ability to function in everyday life, it is
essential that they are comprehensively as-
sessed so that meaningful intervention can be
planned when necessary.
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