Urea and its bioavailability in newborns

Dr Jackson comments: Dr Kalhan's comments revisit a longstanding controversy which simply underlines the need for good data in a difficult area of investigation. There are three points of importance: whether salvage of urea-nitrogen has functional importance under any circumstances; whether it is important in infancy; and the nature of the importance. We have formally addressed the methodological criticisms raised.

Letter to the editor

Urea and its bioavailability in newborns


EMLA and informed consent in neonates


Fresh frozen plasma and neonatal sepsis


Letter to the editor


Fresh frozen plasma and neonatal sepsis


Fresh frozen plasma and neonatal sepsis


Fresh frozen plasma and neonatal sepsis

Lipid peroxidation as a measure of oxygen free radical damage in the very low birthweight infant

Editor,—We read with interest the paper by Inder et al on lipid peroxidation as a measure of oxygen free radical damage in preterm infants. They showed a rise in malondialdehyde-detected by the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) test over the first week which was significantly greater in those infants developing chronic lung disease. We have also used the TBA test to detect lipid peroxidation in children in the first seven days after birth. Concentrations rose from a median of 2-13 mmol/l (163-277 range) on day 1 to 3-27 mmol/l (2-49-4-48) on day 7 in those not developing chronic lung disease and from 2-07 mmol/l (1-16-98) to 3-77 mmol/l (2-6-4-21) in the 40 infants who developed chronic lung disease. No significant difference was observed. It is of interest that our values for the TBA test were about 30 times lower than those of Inder et al, in keeping with other published values for the test. We used a fluorimetric method, but the HPLC technique used by Inder et al generally gives lower values than the fluorimetric method. Until these differences are explained, we cannot accept the authors’ findings as evidence for lipid peroxidation in very preterm infants.
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Dr McIntosh comments:
In our studies using EMLA cream we were attempting to reduce pain and distress (apparently unsuccessfully) in newborn infants receiving heel pricks. The parents were informed that EMLA cream had not been used other than in our own study on neonates, but that it was commonly used and with no problem in older children. We knew about the possibility of methaemoglobinaemia but at the time of starting the study there was only one report of this problem in a child who was also receiving a sulphonamide, so we did not believe that we ought to inform the parents of this specific but theoretical hazard.

Dr Inder and coauthors comment:
We are grateful for the query from Professor Cooke and colleagues which identified an unfortunate calculation error in our malondialdehyde-thiobarbituric acid (MDA-TBA) values that occurred during the conversion of our standard values in ng/ml to mmol/l. Due to this error the published MDA-TBA values were too high by a factor of 60, and should read for cord blood in full term infants (n=48) mean (SD) 1-05 mmol/l (0-16); preterm infants without chronic lung disease (n=6) from cord blood concentrations of 1-19 (0-1) mmol/l to 1-72 (0-1) mmol/l at 7 days and in premature infants with chronic lung disease (n=16) from cord blood concentrations of 1-42 (0-1) mmol/l to 2-66 (0-2) mmol/l at 7 days. These values are approximately half those found by Cooke et al in his premature infants. However, the significance of the raised MDA-TBA values in premature infants with chronic lung disease is unchanged. Why did our assay detect a significant difference in MDA-TBA concentrations? The key issue relates to the specificity of thiobarbituric assays for malondialdehyde as indicators of lipid peroxidation. Both the method we used1 and the method of Wong et al2 use HPLC to measure MDA-TBA, which eliminates inaccuracies due to interfering chromogens. However, there are several important differences. In our assay, no EDTA is added, plasma lipids are extracted before analysis, and ferric chloride (FeCl₃) plus butylated hydroxytoluene are added before heating with TBA. The rationale for adding FeCl₃ was to promote efficient breakdown of lipid hydroperoxides to MDA,3 but we are not sure that this is its only mode of action. The Wong method uses whole plasma and is thought to measure primarily protein bound MDA. Thus, although both are considered to be indicators of lipid peroxidation the two methods may clearly be measuring two different parameters. Further ongoing research in our premature infants continues to support the findings we have published. However, to understand the true nature of the MDA-TBA product measured, more specific analytical measures of lipid peroxidation products are awaited.
