Use of local neural tube defect registers to interpret national trends

K Hey, M O'Donnell, M Murphy, N Jones, B Botting

Abstract

To conduct a number of studies into the prevalence of neural tube defects (NTD) in the area covered by the Oxford Record Linkage Study (ORLS), multiple sources were used to build a local register of cases occurring in Oxfordshire and West Berkshire between 1968–1990. One source of potential cases – namely, termination and congenital malformation monitoring data available for the locality from the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys (OPCS) data – were kept separate. Comparison of the local cases recorded by OPCS and those known to the register from 1974–1990, using the method of capture-recapture, suggested that national data are only about two thirds complete, but that this underreporting is likely to be reasonably consistent from year to year. OPCS data can therefore be used to study NTD trends if not absolute risks. The local register seemed, by the same yardstick, to be very complete and is being used in a variety of studies of the occurrence of NTD. Survival to one year in this area, over the period 1968–1990, has only improved in the recent past, if at all. Most NTD pregnancies now end in termination rather than birth, and there has been a true decline in the occurrence of NTDs, and likewise the different subtypes. (Arch Dis Child 1994; 71: F198–F202)

The Oxford record linkage study (ORLS) is assembled from computed abstracts of hospital inpatient and day case records, together with birth registrations of all babies born in the area and death certificates for them and all current residents of the area. Data relating to the same individual can be linked together and the resulting records analysed to give unduplicated counts and time-sequenced information about people who have been admitted to hospital.1

The maternity subset of the ORLS at present includes all hospital maternity events in Oxfordshire and West Berkshire from 1970 to 1986, with 1965 to 1969 and post 1986 records expected to be added during 1994. From 1973 onwards maternity events preceded by a history of infertility and its treatment were noted, prompting us to conduct a historical prospective study of the relation between successful infertility treatment and subsequent outcome of the pregnancy as neural tube defect (NTD).2 For this we needed a register of babies with NTD, born or terminated in Oxfordshire and West Berkshire. We therefore supplemented the cases already known to the ORLS with data about others (largely terminations) from a variety of sources, and extended the period within which cases were defined. As a result, we identified nearly 900 cases across the two districts between 1968 and 1990, complementing and overlapping cases defined for the area in two earlier studies.3 4

Although the ORLS core data were supplemented by a number of information sources (notably the screening programmes), the major independent source of data was the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys (OPCS). Voluntary notification of birth defects to the national congenital malformation monitoring system, and statutory notifications of terminations and the grounds on which they were performed, provide an independent count of the prevalence at birth or at termination of NTD in local areas.

By distinguishing throughout, as part of the study design, between cases identified locally to build the register and national OPCS data available for the locality, we were able to apply the statistical technique of ‘capture-recapture’ to measure the relative completeness of each of these data bases.5–9 Capture-recapture methods derive their name from techniques used to estimate the size of wildlife populations. A sample of the population (of unknown size) is captured, marked, and released to mingle freely again. A second sample of the same population is then taken. Knowing the sizes of the two samples and the number of marked animals from the first sample found also among the second sample, the size of the unknown population can be estimated. Applied to two databases which separately estimate a true number of cases independently of each other, the parallels are the count of cases known to each register and the overlap between them. The central assumption of this technique is independence – that each case is equally likely to be enumerated in either database. This can reasonably be assumed here as birth notifications via the district community health offices and by the physicians undertaking the abortion are the usual source of the OPCS data and our local register was built entirely without recourse to those sources. We can therefore estimate more completely the true prevalence at birth or at termination of NTD locally and hence nationally throughout the study period, by considering the local areas as a typical sample of England and Wales.

We report here the results of building a register of NTDs. The capacity to monitor the trends locally and nationally may be of some value in estimating the effects of folic acid supplementation in the future. More detailed
consideration of local time trends, seasonality, and descriptive epidemiology will be published elsewhere (Seagroutt V, unpublished observations).

Methods
We examined all maternity records on the ORLS (undelivered, delivered, and admitted during the puerperal period) with a diagnosis of NTD, whether present in the delivered baby, detected by screening and terminated, or reported in a previous pregnancy. More detailed six digit codes for mother and baby, developed by Jean Golding from four digit codes in the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) revisions 8 and 9, were used at the ORLS from 1973 onwards, and provide even greater specificity.

We also searched the ORLS general hospital file (general hospital admissions, births, and deaths) for any therapeutic abortions performed in a gynaecology ward for NTD, and for any death or stillbirth registrations which reported NTD as a main or underlying cause of death. Until recently ORLS received from OPCS copies of all stillbirth and death certificates for babies born in the two districts and semi-multicause coding of death certificates is undertaken routinely locally. As well as computerised records, we also had available a card index system of congenital abnormalities noted on any ORLS record between 1963 and 1977, arranged by diagnosis.

The other major local source of cases was the records of the α fetoprotein (AFP) screening programmes, from 1976 in Oxfordshire and from 1977 in West Berkshire, which recorded the detection and outcome of most NTD pregnancies screened over the period. These records most importantly contributed details of terminations which, although usually present on the ORLS general hospital file as operations, tend not to be recorded as NTD related, and hence were unidentifiable.

Although most of the local register was assembled from these two sources, we also acquired cases from perinatal pathology reports and paediatric surgery records. We also checked home birth, delivery suite, operation and abortion registers, and the records of the regional genetics unit including an extant review of about 150 NTD pathology reports. The third major source of information was OPCS. It is a statutory requirement that all abortions be notified to the chief medical officers of England and Wales who pass them to OPCS for analysis, and one of us who works at OPCS (MM) was able to check details of anonymised data on NTD terminations for the two districts from 1968 to 1990. We were also able to check similar data from 1974 to 1990 on babies born with NTD in the two districts, notified to the voluntary OPCS national congenital malformation monitoring system. Matching both these datasets against the local register and ORLS general hospital files, using the woman’s date of birth, date of operation or delivery, malformation type and geographical code, established a few new cases and the extent of overlap of the local register and nationally derived local dataset.

The woman’s general hospital and maternity notes were examined for every potential case to confirm the NTD diagnosis, and to extract details of the index pregnancy, the mother’s gynaecological history and her previous and subsequent obstetric history. Post mortem examination reports were available for 60% of the non-surviving cases, to verify or to expand diagnoses; similarly, several sets of case notes for the surviving children were checked to confirm diagnosis and outcome.

Results
The NTD register comprises 880 cases identified in Oxfordshire and West Berkshire among residents and non-residents between 1968 and 1990.

Where more than one NTD was present in an individual, anencephaly was counted as the definitive condition in combination with other neural tube defects, while spina bifida aperta or cystica was treated as definitive in combination with any defect other than anencephaly. The register thus comprises 372 anencephalics, 426 cases of spina bifida aperta or cystica, 51 encephaloceles and 31 cases of spina bifida occulta. Three hundred and fifty seven cases had one or more additional defects, such as hydrocephalus (153 instances), talipes (109 instances). Figure 1 shows the distribution over time for types of NTD in the study period.
Table 1  Survival of NTD livebirths to one year, 1968–1990, in Oxfordshire/West Berkshire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Dead under 1 year</th>
<th>Dead %</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Dead under 1 year</th>
<th>Dead %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968–71</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972–76</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977–81</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982–90</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Trend (1 df) 2.2 (p=0.14) 1.0 (p=0.3)
* Heterogeneity (3 df) 9.9 (p=0.02) 4.4 (p=0.2)

Table 2  Completeness of ascertainment of NTDs occurring in Oxfordshire and West Berkshire by ORLS register and OPCS data for locality 1974–90

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NTDs known to OPCS</th>
<th>NTDs known to ORLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(a=352, b=182)</em></td>
<td><em>(e=15, d=176)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True number of NTDs (T) = a + b + c + d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Known to ORLS = 534 96%
Known to OPCS = 367 86%
Known to both = 352 63%
Known to both ORLS/OPCS combined = 549 99%
True number (T), with 95% confidence interval = 957 (550–564) 100%

NTDs other than anencephaly. There is no obvious trend in improved survival across the period, though some evidence from the heterogeneity of the proportions surviving that there has been an improvement in the 1980s.

Figure 3 compares the total number of cases in the local register with the number of local cases notified as births or abortions to OPCS in each year 1974–90. There is a greater number known to the register than to OPCS, suggesting that national data are incomplete, but this is relatively consistent from year to year.

Table 2 shows the total number of cases 1974–90 known only to our local register, the number known only to OPCS, and the overlap group ascertained by both the register and OPCS. Assuming the independence of the probability of ascertainment of each NTD case by OPCS or the local register, capture-recapture calculation shows that the local register ascertained perhaps 96% and OPCS perhaps 66% of the true number of local cases. Enhancing the local register cases with data from OPCS suggests the local register is then 99% complete, and that the true number of NTDs over the period (with 95% confidence interval) is 557 (550–564).

Figure 4 shows the most precise estimate of total NTD prevalence rates from 1974–92 in England and Wales that may be determined at the moment using the OPCS abortion and malformation surveillance notifications coded to the eighth and ninth revisions of the ICD. If the underascertainment of NTD cases by OPCS in the Oxford and West Berkshire areas was typical then the true NTD prevalence at birth or termination nationally is about half as much again.16,17

Judgment about the contribution made by the different data sources to our register is to some extent arbitrary, because to the extent that there was substantial overlap between some of them, a primary contribution was made by the sources accessed first. The Hospital Activity Analysis (HAA) data of the ORLS was our starting point and contributed 618 cases between 1968 and 1986. The records of the screening programmes contributed a further 224 cases (as well as 375 overlap cases with ORLS) between 1973–1990. The card indexes yielded seven new cases, and there were 13 stillbirth and death index cases, five paediatric surgery record cases, and five delivery register cases. Perinatal pathology reports contributed two new cases, and two unreported instances of recurrence were found in the case notes.

The OPCS data for the locality identified four notified terminations, previously unknown to the local register, but otherwise largely confirmed cases already known to it. The local register had 55 NTD live or stillbirths apparently unreported to the OPCS malformation surveillance system.

Discussion

There are comparatively few opportunities with local or national routine information systems to check the quality of the recorded
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Figure 4 NTD prevalence (at birth/termination) in England and Wales derived from OPCS abortions and birth notifications 1974–92.

The 29 ORLS false positive results arose during the process of trained staff extracting data from case notes and coding it before input by key to disk. There were four extraction errors where case notes did not confirm the diagnosis, 12 coding errors, such as looking up ‘hydromyelocele’ when the extractor had written ‘hydrocele’, and 13 coder’s or keyer’s number inversion – where 765 100 (premature baby) was recorded but taken as 756 100 (spina bifida occulta).

A more subtle check on data quality was a comparison between the diagnostic code of confirmed cases known to the ORLS maternity file and the detailed diagnosis given in the case notes; in other words the fifth and sixth digit accuracy, beyond the basic ICD9 identification. The ORLS maternity file extraction produced 518 validated cases between 1970 and 1985. Of these, 17 had extraction errors, involving misspellings or imprecise terms. There were also seven coder’s errors, usually failing to record that the spina bifida lesion was occulta. There were four incomplete diagnoses due to the design of the pre-1973 ORLS data collection forms, and a further three discrepancies arising from revisions of the clinician’s diagnosis after the maternity data had been recorded. In all, this gives a 6% rate of ‘fine-tuned’ diagnostic error – that is, the NTD condition was correctly identified but the detailed diagnostic coding could have been better.

This overall picture of diagnostic coding in ORLS is broadly reassuring, given that NTDs are often complicated to classify. On the basis of this study, coding, keying, or clerical error caused the ORLS maternity file to miss about 6% of cases (29 of 518 validated cases), to ascribe adequate but imperfect codes to another 6% (31 of 518), and to include 5% of false positives (29 of 586 extracted possible NTD cases). A comparison was also made of other variables extracted for the 429 validated cases for whom clinical details were already known to the ORLS, having been added to the database over the previous 20 years. This, too, was reassuring, reaching a high level of exact agreement for a number of variables, such as blood group 99%, sex 98%, delivery date 100%, mother’s age 97%, and parity 94%.

Home births presented further difficulties, particularly during the early years of the study when they were relatively numerous. They were not routinely recorded on the ORLS maternity file, and we had to assemble them from a range of sources. Individual midwives kept their own records of home deliveries, but these were not centralised or easily available to us within the two districts. Death and stillbirth indexes, the congenital anomalies card index, and paediatric surgery records yielded a total of 13 cases born at home, but we have no direct indication of the extent to which this may underestimate the true number of home delivered babies with NTDs.

Another area of concern was the identification of NTD terminations, particularly before systematic screening began. Although some terminations appear on the ORLS maternity file with an NTD diagnosis, many appear only on the general hospital admissions file, and usually without enough diagnostic data to identify them. For example, eight abortions known to OPCS, the α fetoprotein screening programme, and confirmed in the case notes, were entirely absent from the ORLS general hospital file, although the women had other general and maternity events on file; a further 18 cases were on the general hospital file, but with no indication of NTD. The methods and quality of recording of NTD abortions on ORLS vary greatly between districts and between hospitals within districts, which implies that this is more a problem of source information than of ORLS coding or linkage.

Hence data on terminations obtained from Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) or HAA data may not represent a gold standard. Nevertheless, in general we found that HAA-type data may be a valuable resource for building a register retrospectively, when supplemented by other sources.

Comparison with the local register suggests that OPCS data about prevalence of NTD at birth or termination has some limitations, in that, if Oxfordshire and West Berkshire are typical, the national rate is underestimated by about one third. However, underreporting
seems to be reasonably consistent year by year, and OPCS national data tell the same story, in terms of trend, as the ORLS register from 1974 to 1990. The statistical stability of national data must be greater, and we judge that it is likely that OPCS data for England and Wales can be used to identify trends, if not absolute risks. The reasons for the fall in NTD prevalence at birth or termination remain unclear, as does the stability of rates in other areas, because of issues related to updated data from the screening programme there. We also thank the obstetricians and gynaecologists of Berkshire for allowing us access to their patients’ case notes.
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